Washington office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1100 L Street, N.W., Room 10126; or may inspect the agreement at the Field Offices located at New York, N.Y., New Orleans, Louisiana, San Francisco, California and Old San Juan, Puerto Rico. Comments on such agreements, including requests for hearing, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., 20573, on or before September 2, 1975. Any person desiring a hearing on the proposed agreement shall provide a clear and concise statement of the matters upon which they desire to adduce evidence. An allegation of discrimination or unfairness shall be accompanied by a statement describing the discrimination or unfairness with particularity. If a violation of the Act or detriment to the commerce of the United States is alleged, the statement shall set forth with particularity the acts and circumstances said to constitute such violation or detriment to commerce.

A copy of any such statement should also be forwarded to the party filing the agreement (as indicated hereinafter) and the statement should indicate that this has been done.

Notice of Agreement Filed by:
Howard A. Levy, Esquire, Suite 727, 17 Battery Place, New York, New York 10004.

Agreement No. 7100-18 among the member lines of the above-named conference is an application for permanent extension of duration of the Conference's intermodal authority.

By Order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Dated: August 7, 1975.

FRANCIS C. HURNEY,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-21137 Filed 8-12-75;8:45 am]

PORT OF ASTORIA AND KEER GRAIN CORP.

Agreement Filed

Notice is hereby given that the following agreement has been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended (39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763, 46 U.S.C. 814).

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of the agreement at the Washington office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1100 L Street, N.W., Room 10126; or may inspect the agreement at the Field Offices located at New York, N.Y., New Orleans, Louisiana, San Francisco, California, and Old San Juan, Puerto Rico. Comments on such agreements, including requests for hearing, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., 20573, on or before September 2, 1975. Any person desiring a hearing on the proposed agreement shall provide a clear and concise statement of the matters upon which they desire to adduce evidence. An allegation of discrimination or unfairness shall be accompanied by a statement describing the discrimination or unfairness with particularity. If a violation of the Act or detriment to the commerce of the United States is alleged, the statement shall set forth with particularity the acts and circumstances said to constitute such violation or detriment to commerce.

A copy of any such statement should also be forwarded to the party filing the agreement (as indicated hereinafter) and the statement should indicate that this has been done.

Notice of Agreement filed by:
R. Grondale, Bunge Corporation, One Chase Manhattan Plaza, New York 10005.

Agreement No. T-3121, between Port of Astoria (Port) and Kerr Grain Corporation (Kerr) provides for Kerr to operate Port's grain elevator under an agreement providing generally for the parties to evenly divide gross operating profits from that operation. The facility will be used for the handling and storing of grain in bulk. Kerr will charge tariffs which are published by the Port and which are agreeable to both parties.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Dated: August 8, 1975.

FRANCIS C. HURNEY,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-21139 Filed 8-12-75;8:45 am]

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

CORPORATE PATTERNS SURVEY

Authorization of Collection of Economic Reports

Notice is hereby given that the Federal Trade Commission has given final approval to the Corporate Patterns Report survey of the 1,000 largest manufacturing companies. This survey, cleared by the General Accounting Office on March 24, 1975, will be conducted by the Bureau of Economics and will principally collect value of shipments data for the year 1972 for each of 1,300 manufacturing product classes. Corporate Patterns Report data will provide detailed market share information for corporations that account for more than three-fourths of the total assets of all manufacturing corporations. The forms will be mailed on Friday, August 22.

The Commission's resolution approving the survey specifies that no individual company data will be published before January 1, 1978, or five years after the 1972 year for which data will be collected.

In a supplementary statement on confidentiality, the Commission stated it would consider individual company data to be exempt from disclosure pursuant to a Freedom of Information Act request for access but noted it would comply with official requests for data from a Congressional committee or pursuant to a court order.

The 1972 Corporate Patterns Report is the first such survey since data for the year 1950 were collected in the initial survey of this type. In its statement to the General Accounting Office, however, the Commission indicated that it planned to collect 1977 data during 1978.

Besides value of shipments, the survey will also collect information about ownership links among the 1,000 largest manufacturers, about their interests in joint ventures, and about their sales in nonmanufacturing activities. The firms will be given ninety (90) days to complete the report forms. In order to reduce their burden, a screening form will be used to determine whether smaller, borderline companies have large enough manufacturing activities to be included in the survey.

The principal uses of the survey results will be to provide the Commission with a comprehensive data base for enforcement efforts, economic studies, and policy planning activities. The data will be essential in fully and promptly evaluating the competitive impact of mergers and other changes in market structure. In economic studies, the data will be used to relate market structure to diversification, integration, and merger activities.

The texts of the Commission's resolution and statement concerning confidentiality are as follows:

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE COLLECTION OF ECONOMIC REPORTS

Whereas, the Federal Trade Commission is authorized by Section 6 of the Federal Trade Commisison Act to gather and compile information concerning, and to investigate from time to time the organization, business, conduct, practices and management of corporations (as specified in the Federal Trade Commission Act) engaged in commerce, and their relation to other corporations and to individuals, associations and partnerships; and

Whereas, the Federal Trade Commission may require that such corporations file annual or special reports, or both, furnishing to the Commission such information as may be needed as to their organization, business, conduct, practices, management, and their relation to other corporations, partnerships and individuals; and

Whereas, it is deemed necessary in the public interest for the Federal Trade Commission to gather information as to the nature of business and the relation of such corporations and of corporations and businesses acquired by such corporations to other corporations, individuals, associations, and partnerships which are interrelated in varying degrees with other corporations, individuals, associations, and partnerships within the various industries and lines of commerce in the United States, as to their majority ownership interests, as to their value of shipments or production by product class in manufacturing, as to their sales, business receipts or other appropriate value measure by major group and more detailed classification in nonmanufacturing activities, as to their acquisitions and dispositions of companies or part of companies, as to their net sales and total assets, as to the financial and other characteristics of their minority-interest

companies, including buyer and seller relationships with their joint ventures for the purpose of making reports authorized under Section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act concerning concentration, diversification and integration of firms by product classes and major groups and for the purpose of obtaining precise information as to the position of firms and of competitive conditions in various product markets to the end that a proper determination of the effect on competition may be made in connection with the administration and enforcement of Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act and Sections 2, 3 and 7 of the Clayton Act, as amended.

Now, therefore, it is hereby resolved that the Federal Trade Commission, in the exercise of the powers vested in it by Section 6 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, and with the aid of any and all powers conferred upon it by law and any and all compulsory processes available to it, do forthwith proceed to investigate and collect information, including information in the form of reports, of the nature and for the purposes hereinabove stated, from such corporations engaged in commerce as may be designated by the Commission pursuant to general or special orders. It is further resolved that no information for individual companies will be published before January 1, 1978.

STATEMENT OF THE COMMISSION CONCERNING CONFIDENTIALITY OF INDIVIDUAL COMPANY DATA SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO CORPORATE PATTERNS REPORT (CPR)—1972

The Commission will not publish earlier than January 1, 1978, any individual company data submitted pursuant to CPR–1972. In addition, subject to the conditions noted below, the Commission pledges not to disclose earlier than January 1, 1978, any individual company data to persons not employed by the Commission. The Commission considers the individual company data to be confidential and exempt under 5 U.S.C. § 552 (b)(4) from disclosure pursuant to a Freedom of Information Act request for access.

This pledge will not apply to the Commission's furnishing individual company data in response to an official request from a committee or subcommittee of Congress or pursuant to court order. In the event of such release, the Congressional committee or subcommittee or the court will be advised that the Commission and company consider the material to be confidential.

Consultants retained by the Commission who pledge to maintain the confidentiality of the data may be given access to individual company data.

If the Commission decides to disclose individual company data to a Congressional committee or pursuant to a court order, the company will be provided with ten (10) days' prior notice where possible, and in any event as much notice as can reasonably be given.

Issued by direction of the Commission dated July 29, 1975.

CHARLES A. TOBIN,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-21209 Filed 8-12-75;8:45 am]

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[AA1921-Inq.-2]

NEW, ON-THE-HIGHWAY, FOUR-WHEELED, PASSENGER AUTOMOBILES

Notice of 30-day Inquiry and Hearing [1]

Having received advice from the Treasury Department on August 7, 1975, that, during the course of determining whether to institute an investigation with respect to new, on-the-highway, four-wheeled, passenger automobiles from Belgium, Canada, France, Italy, Japan, Sweden, the United Kingdom, and West Germany in accordance with section 201(c) of the Antidumping Act, 1921 (19 U.S.C. 160(c)), it concludes from the information available to it that there is substantial doubt whether an industry in the United States is being or is likely to be injured, or is prevented from being established, by reason of importation of this merchandise into the United States, the United States International Trade Commission on August 8, 1975, instituted inquiry AA1921-Inq.-2, under section 201(c)(2) of the Act, to determine whether:

There is no reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is being or is likely to be injured, or is prevented from being established, by reason of the importation of such merchandise into the United States.

Relevant portions of the Treasury Department advice are as follows:

According to the preliminary information thus far available to us, the domestic industry's production of subcompact-compact automobiles, that merchandise generally most competitive with imports, appears to have increased by 36 percent from 1972–1974, and in 1973 and 1974 for the first time in recent years, exceeded imports in absolute numbers. During 1972–1974, imports of automobiles increased only by 3.6 percent.

During the 1972–1973 period, U.S. production of intermediate-full size autos increased 3.6 percent. However, from 1973 to 1974, U.S. production of such autos declined 40 percent. While this data poses some difficulties of interpretation, we do not feel that standing alone it creates the substantial doubt requiring referral to the Commission.

However, both Mr. William Eberle, President of the Motor Vehicle Manufacturer's Association, and Mr. Elliot M. Estes, President of General Motors, have recently testified before Congress that the U.S. auto industry's problems are not due to imports. In addition, an April 1975 Staff Economic Report, of the Office of Economic Research, U.S. Department of Commerce (not an official Commerce Department position), supports Mr. Eberle and Mr. Estes. The Department of Labor, in its August 1, 1975 adjustment assistance decision for Chrysler workers stated that imports have contributed relatively little to the decline in the U.S. automobile industry as a whole, finding in one particular class of automobile that imports contributed to the decline in U.S. production. For compact, standard, and luxury autos, the Department found that the recession and other non-import factors were sufficient to explain the decline in output and employment.

I therefore conclude that these views minimizing the effect of imports on the present state of the domestic industry create the substantial doubt which requires reference of this case to the Commission for appropriate consideration pursuant to section 201(c)(2) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 160(c)(2)).

Preliminarily, alleged margins of sales at less than fair value, from petitioners' information, appear to range as follows:

Belgium—up to 43 percent on 1974 imports valued at $216 million.

Canada—up to 20 percent on 1974 imports valued at $3 billion.

France—up to 24 percent on 1974 imports valued at $45.5 million.

Italy—up to 41 percent on 1974 imports valued at $240 million.

Japan—up to 24 percent on 1974 imports valued at $1.7 billion.

Sweden—up to 43 percent on 1974 imports valued at $227 million.

United Kingdom—up to 35 percent on 1974 imports valued at $156 million.

West Germany—up to 73 percent on 1974 imports valued at $1.9 billion.

Total automobile imports during 1974 were valued at roughly $7.5 billion with domestic production during the same period estimated $20.8 billion.

Hearing. A public hearing in connection with the inquiry will be held in the Commission's Hearing Room, International Trade Commission Building, 701 E Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20436, beginning at 10:00 a.m., EDT, on Tuesday, August 19, 1975. All parties will be given an opportunity to be present, to produce evidence, and to be heard at such hearing. Requests to appear at the public hearing should be received by the Secretary of the Commission in writing, at its office in Washington, D.C., not later than noon Friday, August 15, 1975. It is suggested that parties who have a common interest endeavor whenever possible to arrange for a consolidated presentation of their views.

Requests to appear must contain:

a. The name and organization of the witness or witnesses who will testify, and the name, address, telephone number, and organization of the person filing the request.

b. An estimate of the aggregate time desired for presentation of oral testimony by all witnesses for whose appearance the request is filed.

Because of the limited time available under the statute, the Commission reserves the right to limit the time assigned to witnesses.

[1] This 30-day inquiry is being conducted under a new provision (section 201(c)) added to the Antidumping Act, 1921, by section 321 of the Trade Act of 1974 (88 Stat. 2043). If the determination to be made by the Commission under section 201(c) is negative, the current related antidumping investigations of the Department of Treasury will be permitted to continue; if, on the other hand, any determination to be made by the Commission is affirmative, the related Treasury investigations will be terminated.

Questioning of witnesses will be limited to members of the Commission and the Commission's staff.

Written statements. Interested parties may submit statements in writing in lieu of, and in addition to, appearance at the public hearing. A signed original and nineteen true copies of such statements should be submitted. To be assured of their being given due consideration by the Commission, such statements should be received no later than August 22, 1975.

Issued: August 8, 1975.

By order of the Commission.

[SEAL] KENNETH R. MASON, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.75-21215 Filed 8-12-75;8:45 am]

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON REACTOR SAFEGUARDS WORKING GROUP ON PLUTONIUM SHIPPING PACKAGES

Meeting

In accordance with the purposes of Sections 29 and 182b. of the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 2039, 2232 b.), the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards Working Group on Plutonium Shipping Packages will hold a meeting on August 28, 1975 in Parlors A and B of the O'Hare Hilton Hotel, O'Hare International Airport, Chicago, Illinois. The purpose of this meeting will be to develop information for consideration by the ACRS to evaluate the ability of the packages used for shipping plutonium and other comparably hazardous material to survive airplane crashes.

The agenda for the subject meeting shall be as follows:

Thursday, August 28, 1975, 9:00 a.m. until the conclusion of business. The Working Group will hear presentations by representatives of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Staff and the Energy Research and Development Administration (ERDA) Staff and their consultants and will hold discussions with these groups pertinent to its evaluation.

In connection with the above agenda item, the Working Group may hold Executive Sessions not open to the public, at 8:30 a.m. and at the end of the day to consider matters relating to the above evaluation. These sessions will involve an exchange of opinions and discussion of preliminary views and recommendations of Working Group members and internal deliberations for the purpose of formulating recommendations to the ACRS.*

In addition to the Executive Sessions, the Working Group may hold closed sessions with representatives of the NRC and ERDA Staffs and their consultants for the purpose of discussing privileged information concerning proprietary information and physical security, if necessary.

I have determined, in accordance with Subsection 10(d) of Public Law 92-463, that the above-noted Executive Sessions will consist of an exchange of opinions and formulation of recommendations, the discussion of which, if written, would fall within exemption (5) of 5 U.S.C. 552(b) and that a closed session may be held, if necessary, to discuss certain documents and information which are privileged and fall within exemption (4) of 5 U.S.C. 552(b). Further, any non-exempt material that will be discussed during the above closed sessions will be inextricably intertwined with exempt material, and no further separation of this material is considered practical. It is essential to close such portions of the meeting to protect the free interchange of internal views, to avoid undue interference with agency or Working Group operation, and to avoid public disclosure of proprietary information.

Practical considerations may distate alterations in the above agenda or schedule.

The Chairman of the Working Group is empowered to conduct the meeting in a manner that, in his judgment, will facilitate the orderly conduct of business, including provisions to carry over an incompleted open session from one day to the next.

With respect to public participation in the open portion of the meeting, the following requirements shall apply:

(a) Persons wishing to submit written statements regarding the agenda items may do so by mailing a readily reproducible copy thereof, postmarked no later than August 21, 1975 to the Executive Secretary, ACRS, NRC, Wash., D.C. 20555. Background information concerning items to be discussed at the meeting can be found in related documents on file and available for public inspection at the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Public Document Room, 1717 H St., N.W., Wash., D.C. 20555.

(b) Those persons submitting a written statement in accordance with paragraph (a) above may request an opportunity to make oral statements concerning the written statement. Such requests shall accompany the written statement so that appropriate arrangements can be made. To the extent that time available for the meeting permits, the Working Group will receive oral statements at an appropriate time chosen by the Chairman of the Working Group.

(c) Further information regarding topics to be discussed, whether the meeting has been cancelled or rescheduled, the Chairman's ruling on requests for opportunity to present oral statements, and the time allotted can be obtained by a prepaid telephone call on August 27th to the Office of the Executive Secretary of the Committee (telephone 202/634-1374, ATTN: Mr. Gary R. Quittschreiber) between 8:15 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Eastern Daylight Time.

(d) Questions may be propounded only by members of the Working Group and its consultants.

(e) Seating for the public will be available on a first-come, first-served basis.

(f) The use of still, motion picture, and television cameras, the physical installation and presence of which will not interfere with the conduct of the meeting, will be permitted both before and after the meeting and during any recess. The use of such equipment will not, however, be allowed while the meeting is in session.

(g) A copy of the transcript of the open portion of the meeting will be available for inspection on or after September 4th, 1975 at the NRC Public Document Room, 1717 H St., N.W., Wash., D.C. 20555. Copies of the transcript may be reproduced in the Public Document Room or may be obtained from Ace Federal Reporters, Inc., 415 Second Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20555 (telephone 202/547-6222) upon payment of appropriate charges.

(h) On request, copies of the minutes of the meeting will be made available for inspection at the NRC Public Document Room, 1717 H St., N.W., Wash., D.C. 20555 after November 28th, 1975. Copies may be obtained upon payment of appropriate charges.

Date August 7, 1975.

JOHN C. HOYLE, *Advisory Committee Management Officer.*

[FR Doc.75-21126 Filed 8-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. 50-452 and 50-453]

DETROIT EDISON CO. (GREENWOOD ENERGY CENTER, UNITS 2 AND 3)

Schedule for Conference of the Parties

A conference of all the Parties in the above matter will begin at 10:00 a.m., local time, on Tuesday, September 9, 1975, in Room 108, Federal Building, 526 Water Street, Port Huron, Michigan 48060.

Because of the impact of the schedule proposed by the Applicant on the interests of all the Parties, the purpose of this conference is to give all the Parties an opportunity to express their views with respect to it.

It is so ordered.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 7th day of August 1975.

For the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board.

JAMES R. YORE, *Chairman.*

[FR Doc.75-21130 Filed 8-12-75;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. 50-269, etc.]

DUKE POWER CO.

Proposed Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering the issuance of amendments to Facility Operating Licenses No. DPR-38, DPR-47, and DPR-55 issued to Duke Power Company (the licensee) for operation of the Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2, and 3 (the facility), pressurized-water reactors located in Oconee County, South Carolina, and each currently authorized for operation at power levels up to 2568 MWt.

In accordance with the licensee's application for license amendments dated July 9, 1975, the amendments would modify operating limits in the Technical Specifications based upon an evalua-