Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Parts 71 and 75) to establish a low altitude airway between Sea Isle and Millville, N.J., and also realign Jet Route J-121 from Norfolk, Va., to Sea Isle, N.J. Interested persons were invited to participate in this rulemaking proceeding by submitting written comments on the proposals to the FAA. No comments were received. Except for editorial changes, these amendments are those proposed in the notice. Sections 71.123 and 75.100 were republished in the FEDERAL REGISTER on January 3, 1977 (42 FR 307 and 707, respectively).

THE RULE

These amendments to Parts 71 and 75 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (FARs) establish a new low altitude airway between Sea Isle and Millville, N.J., and also realign Jet Route J-121 from Norfolk, Va., to Sea Isle, N.J. These actions are necessary in order to provide more efficient air traffic routings to aircraft transiting this area.

DRAFTING INFORMATION

The principal authors of this document are David F. Solomon, Air Traffic Service, and Jack P. Zimmerman, Office of the Chief Counsel.

ADOPTION OF THE AMENDMENT

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, Parts 71 and 75 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Parts 71 and 75) are amended, effective 0901 GMT, August 11, 1977, as follows:

Part 71: 1. Amend § 71.123 (42 FR 307) to add the following VOR airway: "V-284 From Sea Isle, N.J.; INT Sea Isle 008° and Millville, N.J., 150° radials; Millville."

Part 75: 2. Amend §75.100 (42 FR 707) as follows: In Jet Route No. 121 "Sea Isle, N.J., 212° radials; Sea Isle;" is deleted and "Snow Hill, Md., 211° radials; Snow Hill; Sea Isle, N.J.;" is substituted therefor.

(Sec. 307, Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)); Sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)); and 14 CFR 11.69.)

NOTE: The Federal Aviation Administration has determined that this document does not contain a major proposal requiring preparation of an Economic Impact Statement under Executive Order 11821, as amended by Executive Order 11949, and OMB Circular A-107

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 3, 1977.

EDWARD J. MALO,
Acting Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.77-16473 Filed 6-10-77;8:45 am]

Title 16—Commercial Practices

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

SUBCHAPTER A—PROCEDURES AND RULES OF PRACTICE

PART 4—MISCELLANEOUS RULES

Copies

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule amendment changes the number of copies of certain types of documents that should be submitted to the Secretary of the Commission. In the interests of administrative efficiency, the Commission hereby announces the following amendment to the present § 4.2(c).

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 13, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Oliver J. Trytell, Office of General Counsel, Federal Trade Commission, Washington, D.C. 20580, 202-523-3796.

Accordingly, 16 CFR 4.2(c) is amended to read as follows:

§ 4.2 Requirements as to form and filing of documents other than correspondence.

* * * * *

(c) *Copies*—Twenty (20) copies of all briefs before the Commission, applications for review, and motions for an Administrative Law Judge's certification of an interlocutory appeal pursuant to § 3.23(b) shall be filed; ten (10) copies of all other documents shall be filed, with the exception of notice of appearances and reports of compliance, as to which only two (2) copies of each need be filed. As to admissions and answers thereto, only one copy need be filed.

(15 U.S.C. 46(g))

By direction of the Commission.

JAMES A. TOBIN,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-16691 Filed 6-10-77;8:45 am]

PART 4—MISCELLANEOUS RULES

Time, Extensions

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule amendment deals with the situation where a motion to extend a time limit for filing of a document is itself filed out of time. In such a situation the movant will have to show that there was excusable neglect for the late filing.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 13, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Oliver J. Trytell, Office of General Counsel, Federal Trade Commission, Washington, D.C. 20508, 202-523-3796.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This amendment to § 4.3(b) tracks the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 6 (b), in enunciating the standard of excusable neglect where motions to extend are filed out of time. In such cases, the movant will have to meet the threshold test of excusable neglect before either the Administrative Law Judge or the Commission will undertake to determine whether there is good cause to extend a time limit.

Accordingly, 16 CFR 4.3(b) is amended to read as follows:

§ 4.3 Time.

* * * * *

(b) Extensions—For good cause shown, the Administrative Law Judge may, in any proceeding before him, extend any time limit prescribed or allowed by the rules in this chapter or by order of the Commission or the Administrative Law Judge, except those governing the filing of interlocutory appeals and initial decisions and those expressly requiring Commission action. Except as otherwise provided by law, the Commission for good cause shown, may extend any time limit prescribed by the rules in this chapter or by order of the Commission or an Administrative Law Judge. *Provided, however,* That in a proceeding pending before an Administrative Law Judge, any motion on which he may properly rule shall be made to him. Notwithstanding the above, where a motion to extend is made after the expiration of the specified period, the Administrative Law Judge or the Commission may consider the motion where the untimely filing was the result of excusable neglect.

(15 U.S.C. 46(g))

By direction of the Commission.

JAMES A. TOBIN,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-16692 Filed 6-10-77;8:45 am]

Title 18—Conservation of Power and Water Resources

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. RM76-17 Order No. 566]

UNIFORM SYSTEM OF ACCOUNTS FOR NATURAL GAS COMPANIES

Order Prescribing Changes in Accounting and Rate Treatment for Research, Development and Demonstration Expenditures

AGENCY: Federal Power Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: By this rulemaking the Commission amends its regulations in order to permit:

(1) Advance approval for rate base treatment for support of large scale demonstration facilities as well as for research and development expenditures (henceforth to be referred to as RD&D), and

(2) Advance approval for rate base treatment of RD&D expenditures by several utilities acting in concert and by RD&D organizations not themselves jurisdictional utilities but who are supported by jurisdictional utilities.

The purpose of the amendments is to provide additional procedures and guidelines whereby requests for advance assurance of rate treatment for research and development (R&D) expenditures may be used by jurisdictional companies to insure the support of well-planned and comprehensive R&D programs.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 3, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: