from, to, or between specified points and counties in CT, DE, GA, IL, IN, IA, KY, ME, MD, MA, MI, MN, NH, NJ, NY, NC, OH, PA, RI, SC, SD, TN, VT, VA, WV, and DC. Authority also sought for purchase by transferee of the transferor as set forth in permit MC 111720 (Sub-13), issued May 3, 1978, as follows: *Meat, meat products, meat byproducts and articles distributed by meat packinghouses,* from the facilities of Geo. A. Hormel & Co., at or near Fremont, NE and Ottumwa, IA, to points in VA, WV, and NC. Transferee presently holds no authority from this Commission. Application for temporary authority under section 210a(b) was granted August 17, 1978.

MC-FC-77815. Transferee: BANDERA DRILLING CO., INC., d.b.a. Bandera Trucking Co., P.O. Box 471, Abilene, TX 79604. Transferor: J. Murrell Brown Oil Field Trucking, Inc., P.O. Box 1354, Levelland, TX 79336. Representative: Albert G. Walker, Jr., Attorney for the Transferee, 612 Capital National Bank Building, Austin, TX 78701. Authority sought for purchase by transferee of the operating rights of transferor, as set forth in certificate of registration MC 96801 (Sub-1), issued March 11, 1976, as follows: *Pipe,* when used in the construction and maintenance of water lines, *oil field equipment and pipe,* when moving as oilfield equipment, *specified construction machinery and equipment and parts thereof,* when said commodities are not moving as oilfield equipment and only when such equipment requires the use of special devices, facilities, or equipment, between points in TX. Transferee presently holds no authority from this Commission. Application has not been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

H. G. HOMME, Jr.,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 78-26560 Filed 9-20-78; 8:45 am]

[7035-01]

[Ex Parte No. MC-120]

PETITION TO RELAX ENTRY ON THE TRANSPORTATION OF SMALL SHIPMENTS WEIGHING 500 POUNDS OR LESS

AGENCY: Interstate Commerce Commission.

ACTION: Extension of filing deadline.

SUMMARY: The Commission published a notice of the filing of the above-captioned petition in the FEDERAL REGISTER on August 22, 1978, at pages 37329-37330. The notice provided that written comments on the proposal were due on or before October 6, 1978. Because of the great interest in the proposal and the complexity of the issues involved, the Commission, by this notice, is extending the deadline for filing comments until November 6, 1978. No further extensions are contemplated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Erenberg, 202-275-7292.

Decided September 15, 1978.

By the Commission, J. Patterson King, Acting Director, Office of Proceedings.

H. G. HOMME, Jr.,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 78-26563 Filed 9-20-78; 8:45 am]

[7035-01]

[ICC Order No. 62; Rev. S.O. 1252; Amdt. 4]

REROUTING OF TRAFFIC

Upon further consideration of ICC Order No. 62 (the Chesapeake & Ohio Railway Co.) and good cause appearing therefor:

It is ordered, ICC Order No. 62 is amended by substituting the following paragraph (g) for paragraph (g) thereof:

(g) *Expiration date.* This order shall expire at 11:59 p.m., September 30, 1978, unless otherwise modified, changed, or suspended.

Effective date: This amendment shall become effective at 11:59 p.m., September 15, 1978.

This amendment shall be served upon the Association of American Railroads, Car Service Division, as agent of all railroads subscribing to the car service and car hire agreement under the terms of that agreement, and upon the American Short Line Railroad Association. A copy of this amendment shall be filed with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

Issued at Washington, D.C., September 15, 1978.

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION,

JOEL E. BURNS,
Agent.

[FR Doc. 78-26556 Filed 9-20-78; 8:45 am]

[7035-01]

[Rev. ICC Order No. 65; Rev. S.O. 1252; Amdt. 4]

REROUTING OF TRAFFIC

Upon further consideration of Revised ICC Order No. 65 (CP Rail and Detroit, Toledo & Ironton Railroad Co.), and good cause appearing therefor:

It is ordered, Revised ICC Order No. 65 is amended by substituting the following paragraph (g) for paragraph (g) thereof:

(g) *Expiration date.* This order shall expire at 11:59 p.m., September 30, 1978, unless otherwise modified, changed or suspended.

Effective date: This amendment shall become effective at 11:59 p.m., September 15, 1978.

This amendment shall be served upon the Association of American Railroads, Car Service Division, as agent of all railroads subscribing to the car service and car hire agreement under the terms of that agreement, and upon the American Short Line Railroad Association. A copy of this amendment shall be filed with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

Issued at Washington, D.C., September 15, 1978.

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION,

JOEL E. BURNS,
Agent.

[FR Doc. 78-26557 Filed 9-20-78; 8:45 am]

sunshine act meetings

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices of meetings published under the "Government in the Sunshine Act" (Pub. L. 94-409), 5 U.S.C. 552b(e)(3).

CONTENTS

	Items
Civil Aeronautics Board	1, 2, 3
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission	4, 5, 6
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	7
Federal Maritime Commission	8
Federal Trade Commission	9
Legal Services Corporation	10
National Labor Relations Board	11
National Transportation Safety Board	12
Securities and Exchange Commission	13

[6320-01]

1

[M-164, Amdt. 1; Sept. 15, 1978]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD.

Notice of addition of items to the September 20, 1978, meeting agenda.

TIME AND DATE: 10 a.m., September 20, 1978.

PLACE: Room 1027, 1825 Connecticut Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20428.

SUBJECT: 9a. Docket 29789, Houston/New Orleans-Yucatan Route Proceeding—Opinion and Order (OGC). 9b. Docket 26487, Transatlantic—Transpacific and Latin American Service Mail Rate Investigation (OGC).

STATUS: Open.

PERSON TO CONTACT:

Phyllis T. Kaylor, the Secretary, 202-673-5068.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Item 9a is being added to the September 20, 1978, calendar because the public target date for release of the Board's opinion in this case is September 25 and there appears to be no other meeting before that date. The original meeting for September 20, 1978, was issued before it was realized that Docket 26487, Item 9b needs to be discussed at the September 20, 1978, meeting. Accordingly, the following members have voted that agency business requires the addition of these items to the agenda and that no earlier announcement of the additions was possible.

Chairman, Alfred E. Kahn
Member, Richard J. O'Melia (disapproves)
Member, Elizabeth E. Bailey

All amendments to previously announced agendas are publicly posted at the Board's offices, sent to the FEDERAL REGISTER for publication, and mailed to parties to docketed cases affected by the change. We regret any inconvenience that may be caused by these changes or the delayed receipt of our notices.

[S-1913-78 Filed 9-19-78; 9:19 am]

[6320-01]

2

[M-164, Amdt. 2, Sept. 15, 1978]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD.

Notice of addition of item to the September 20, 1978, agenda.

TIME AND DATE: 10 a.m., September 20, 1978.

PLACE: Room 1027, 1825 Connecticut Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20428.

SUBJECT: 8a. Docket 32049, Application of Hughes Air Corp., d.b.a. Hughes Airwest to amend its permit for route 76F to add two new U.S. and five new Mexican coterminal points (BIA, OGC).

STATUS: Open.

PERSON TO CONTACT:

Phyllis T. Kaylor, The Secretary, 202-673-5068.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Hughes Airwest, Inc., informed the Board on September 14 that it wishes to commence service on the routes applied for in Docket 32049 on October 29, 1978. The carrier has advertised the service and has fully booked flights beginning on that date. We are acting on the attached show cause order on short notice to allow maximum time for approval of a final order by the President before the carrier's proposed inauguration of service on October 29. Accordingly, the following Members have voted that agency business requires the addition of item 8a on September 13, 1978, and that no earlier announcement of this addition was possible:

Chairman, Alfred E. Kahn
Member, Richard J. O'Melia (disapproves)
Member, Elizabeth E. Bailey

All amendments to previously announced agendas are publicly posted at the Board's offices, sent to the FEDERAL REGISTER for publication, and mailed to parties to docketed cases affected by the change. We regret any inconvenience that may be caused by these changes or the delayed receipt of our notices.

[S-1914-78 Filed 9-19-78; 9:19 am]

[6320-01]

3

[M-164, Amdt. 3; Sept. 15, 1978]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD.

Notice of addition of item to the September 20, 1978, agenda.

TIME AND DATE: 10 a.m., September 20, 1978.

PLACE: Room 1027, 1825 Connecticut Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20428.

SUBJECT: 9c. Docket 31738, *International Air Cargo Corporation, Egypt;* Order on Discretionary Review (OGC).

STATUS: Open.

PERSON TO CONTACT:

Phyllis T. Kaylor, the Secretary, 202-673-5068.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The staff has requested that item 9c be added to the agenda for September 20. The announced target date for adopting a decision is September 22, and the meeting of the 20th will be the last meeting before that date. Accordingly, the following Members have voted that agency business requires the addition of item 9c and that no earlier announcement of this addition was possible:

Chairman, Alfred E. Kahn
Member, Richard J. O'Melia
Member, Elizabeth E. Bailey

All amendments to previously announced agendas are publicly posted at the Board's offices, sent to the FEDERAL REGISTER for publication, and mailed to parties to docketed cases affected by the change. We regret any inconvenience that may be caused by these changes or the delayed receipt of our notices.

[S-1915-78 Filed 9-19-78; 9:19 am]

[6570-06]

4

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION.

"FEDERAL REGISTER" CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: S-1878-78.

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF MEETING: 9:30 a.m. (eastern time), Tuesday, September 19, 1978.

CHANGE IN THE MEETING: The following items are added to the portion open to the public:

1. Additional allocation of fiscal year 1978 funds to State and local agencies.
2. Modification of a State agency contract for development of proposed procedures for accreditation of 706 agencies by EEOC.

A majority of the entire membership of the Commission determined by recorded vote that the business of the Commission required this change and that no earlier announcement was possible.

In favor of change.—Eleanor Holmes Norton, Chair; Daniel E. Leach, Vice Chair, and Ethel Bent Walsh, Commissioner.
Opposed.—None.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Marie D. Wilson, Executive Officer, Executive Secretariat, at 202-636-6748.

This notice issued September 18, 1978.

[S-1911-78 Filed 9-19-78; 9:19 am]

[6570-06]

5

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION.

"FEDERAL REGISTER" CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: S-1878-78.

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF MEETING: 9:30 a.m. (eastern time), Tuesday, September 19, 1978.

CHANGE IN THE MEETING: The following item is added to the portion open to the public:

Private Bar Program; Recommended Training Workshops.

A majority of the entire membership of the Commission determined by recorded vote that the business of the Commission required this change and that no earlier announcement was possible.

In favor of change.—Eleanor Holmes Norton, Chair; Daniel E. Leach, Vice Chair; and Ethel Bent Walsh, Commissioner.
Opposed.—None.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Marie D. Wilson, Executive Officer, Executive Secretariat, at 202-634-6748.

This notice issued September 18, 1978.

[S-1912-78 Filed 9-19-78; 9:19 am]

[6570-06]

6

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION.

"FEDERAL REGISTER" CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: S-187-78.

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF MEETING: 9:30 a.m. (eastern time), Tuesday, September 19, 1978.

CHANGE IN THE MEETING: The following item is added to the portion open to the public:

Proposed fiscal year 1979 Private Bar Program.

A majority of the entire membership of the Commission determined by recorded vote that the business of the Commission required this change and that no earlier announcement was possible.

In favor of change.—Eleanor Holmes Norton, Chair; Daniel E. Leach, Vice Chair; and Ethel Bent Walsh, Commissioner.
Opposed.—None.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Marie D. Wilson, Executive Officer, Executive Secretariat, at 202-634-6748.

This notice issued September 19, 1978.

[S-1918-78 Filed 9-19-78; 2:03 pm]

[6740-02]

7

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION.

"FEDERAL REGISTER" CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: 43 FR 41334, published September 15, 1978.

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF MEETING: 10 a.m., September 20, 1978.

CHANGE IN THE MEETING: The following item has been added:

Item No., Docket No., and Company

ER-9. ER78-337 and ER78-338, Public Service Co. of New Mexico.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[S-1917-78 Filed 9-19-78; 11:17 am]

[6730-01]

8

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION.

TIME AND DATE: 10 a.m., September 27, 1978.

PLACE: Room 12126, 1100 L Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20573.

STATUS: Open.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Report on notation items disposed of during August 1978.
2. Report of the Secretary on times shortened for submitting comments on section 15 agreements pursuant to delegated authority during August 1978.
3. Report of the Secretary on Applications for Admission to Practice approved during August 1978 pursuant to delegated authority.
4. Assignment of informal dockets by the Secretary during August 1978 pursuant to delegated authority.
5. Trailer Marine Transport Corporation—Extension of Interstate Commerce Commission Tariff to U.S. Virgin Islands (Freight Tariff No. 6, ICC No. 2).
6. Sea-Land Service, Inc., South Atlantic/Puerto Rico Trade—Proposed tariff rules providing for rate discount if marine insurance is not requested.
7. Docket No. 73-22, 73-22 (Sub. No. 1) and 74-36 (Sub. No. 1); Matson Navigation Co.—Changes in rates in the U.S. Pacific Coast-Hawaii Trade; Petition for reconsideration of decision partially adopting initial decision.
8. Docket No. 76-46—Agreement Nos. T-3191 et al.—Petition for reconsideration of amended order of investigation.
9. Docket No. 76-60—Intermodal Dual Rate Agreements—Petition for reconsideration of Seatrain International.
10. Docket No. 78-9—Financial Responsibility for Water Pollution—Petition for reconsideration of final rules.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Joseph C. Polking, Assistant Secretary, 202-523-5725.

[S-1921-78 Filed 9-19-78; 3:33 pm]

[6750-01]

9

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION.

TIME AND DATE: 9:30 a.m., Septembter 27, 1978.

PLACE: Room 432, Federal Trade Commission Building, 6th Street and Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20580.

STATUS: Open.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Consideration of proposed revision of Discovery Rules.
2. Review and proposed revision of Commission Rules 2.34 and 3.25(f) concerning placement on the public record of material relating to consent agreements.

3. Consideration of Seattle regional office request for proposed TRR regarding disclosure of toxicity and identity of commercially sold plants, File No. 752 3109.
4. Consideration of proposed modification to interpretation 600.8 of the Fair Credit Reporting Act.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Wilbur T. Weaver, Office of Public Information, 202-523-3830. Recorded message: 202-523-3806.

[S-1922-78 Filed 9-19-78; 3:33 pm]

[6820-35]

10

LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION (COMMITTEE ON PERSONNEL AND FACILITIES).

TIME AND DATE: 9:30 a.m., Monday, September 25, 1978.

PLACE: Seventh floor conference room, Legal Services Corporation, 733 15th Street NW., Washington, D.C.

STATUS: Open meeting.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Adoption of agenda.
2. Approval of minutes of previous meeting on April 5, 1978.
3. Review of proposed Corporation personnel procedures.
4. Consultation on:
   a. Cost-of-living increases for staff.
   b. Compensation of Corporation officers.
   c. Approval of receipt of Corporation officers of compensation from sources other than the Corporation.
5. Other business.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Dellanor Young, Office of the President, telephone 202-376-5100.

Issued: September 18, 1978.

THOMAS EHRLICH,
President.

[S-1916-78 Filed 9-19-78; 9:19 am]

[7545-01]

11

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD.

TIME AND DATE: 3 p.m., Friday, October 6, 1978.

PLACE: Board Conference Room, Sixth Floor, 1717 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20570.

STATUS: Closed to public observation.

MATTER TO BE CONSIDERED: Consideration of applicant qualified for appointment to Administrative Law Judge.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

William A. Lubbers, Executive Secretary, Washington, D.C. 20570, telephone 202-254-9430.

Dated: Washington, D.C., September 19, 1978.

By direction of the Board.

GEORGE A. LEET,
Associate Executive Secretary, National Labor Relations Board.

[S-1923-78 Filed 9-19-78; 3:45 pm]

[4910-58]

12

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD.

TIME AND DATE: 9 a.m., Thursday, September 28, 1978 [NM-78-35].

PLACE: NTSB Board Room, National Transportation Safety Board, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20594.

STATUS: The first three items on the agenda will be open to the public; the last item will be closed under exemption 10 of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. *Railroad Accident Report*—Derailment of Atlanta & Saint Andrews Bay Railway Co., freight train, Youngstown, Fla., February 26, 1978.
2. *Special Study*—Safe Service Life for Liquid Petroleum Pipelines.
3. *Discussion*—Lack of national standard for minimum skid number value.
4. *Opinion and Order—Administrator* v. *Ribler*, Dkt. SE-3593; disposition of the Administrator's appeal.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Sharon Flemming, 202-472-6022.

[S-1920-78 Filed 9-19-78; 2:03 pm]

[8010-01]

13

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Government in the Sunshine Act, Pub. L. 94-409, that the Securities and Exchange Commission will hold the following meetings during the week of September 25, 1978, in Room 825, 500 North Capitol Street, Washington, D.C.

An open meeting will be held on Thursday, September 28, 1978, at 10 a.m. Closed meetings will be held on Tuesday, September 26, 1978, at 10 a.m., and on Thursday, September 28, 1978, immediately following the open meeting.

The Commissioners, their legal assistants, the Secretary of the Commission, and recording secretaries will attend the closed meetings. Certain staff members who are responsible for the calendared matters may be present.

The General Counsel of the Commission, or his designee, has certified that, in his opinion, the items to be considered at the closed meetings may be considered pursuant to one or more of the exemptions set forth in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c) (4), (8), (9)(A), and (10) and 17 CFR 200.402(a)(8), (9)(i), and (10).

Commissioners Loomis, Evans, Pollack, and Karmel determined to hold the aforesaid meetings in closed session.

The subject matter of the closed meeting scheduled for Tuesday, September 26, 1978, at 10 a.m., will be:

Access to investigative files by Federal, State or self-regulatory authorities.
Dismissal of administrative proceeding of an enforcement nature.
Formal orders of investigation.
Freedom of Information Act appeals.
Institution of injunctive actions.
Other litigation matters.

The subject matter of the open meeting scheduled for Thursday, September 28, 1978, at 10 a.m., will be:

Consideration of a release announcing the adoption of Form SECO-4-78 which establishes the annual fees and assessment schedule for SECO broker-dealers for fiscal year 1978. For further information, please contact Daniel L. Bateman at 202-755-1300.

The subject matter of the closed meeting immediately following the open meeting scheduled for Thursday, September 28, 1978, will be:

Institution of injunctive action.
Other litigation matter.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, PLEASE CONTACT:

Beverly Rubman at 202-755-1103.

SEPTEMBER 18, 1978.

[S-1919-78 Filed 9-19-78; 2:03 pm]

federal register

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 21, 1978
PART II



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Office of the Secretary

■

SEMIANNUAL REGULATIONS AGENDA AND REVIEW LIST

[4910-62]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Office of the Secretary

[OST Docket No. 58; Notice 78-10]

DEPARTMENT REGULATIONS AGENDA AND REVIEW LIST

Semi-Annual Summary

AGENCY: Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Department Regulations Agenda and Review List.

SUMMARY: The Regulations Agenda is a semi-annual summary of each proposed and each final regulation that the Department of Transportation expects to publish in the FEDERAL REGISTER during the succeeding 12 months or such longer projected period as may be anticipated. The Regulations Review List is a semiannual summary of the existing regulations that the Department of Transportation has selected for review and possible revocation or revision. The Agenda and the Review List provide the public with information about the Department of Transportation's regulatory activity. It is expected that this information will enable the public to be more aware of, and allow it to more effectively participate in, the Department's regulatory activity.

ADDRESS: The mailing address for the initiating offices of the Department which appear in the Agenda and the Review List are 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20590, except for the Federal Aviation Administration and the St. Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation, which are located at 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20591.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information on the Agenda or the Review List, in general, contact:

Neil Eisner, Assistant General Counsel, Office of Regulation and Enforcement, Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20590, 202-426-4723.

For information about any particular item on the Agenda or the Review List, contact the individual listed in the column headed "Contact" for that item. Unless otherwise indicated, the telephone area code for each of these individuals is 202.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

BACKGROUND

Improvement of Government regulations has been a prime goal of the Carter administration. There should be no more regulations than necessary, and those that are issued should be simpler, more comprehensible, and less burdensome. Regulations should not be issued without appropriate involvement of the public; once issued, they should be periodically reviewed and revised, as needed, to assure that they continue to meet the needs for which they originally were designed.

To help the Department of Transportation ("Department") achieve these goals, on January 31, 1978, the Secretary of Transportation issued a statement of policies and procedures for simplification, analysis, and review of regulations (43 FR 9582, March 8, 1978). These policies and procedures took effect on March 1, 1978. They include a requirement that the Department prepare a semiannual Department Regulations Agenda for publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. The Agenda summarizes each proposed and each final regulation that the Department expects to publish in the FEDERAL REGISTER during the succeeding 12 months or such longer projected period as may be anticipated. They also include a requirement that the Department prepare a semiannual list of existing regulations it has selected for review and possible revocation or revision for publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

The Department's first Agenda and Review List were published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on June 1, 1978 (43 FR 23884 and 23918). In accordance with the Department's current regulatory policies and procedures, the Department's subsequent Agendas and Review Lists are to be based on reports submitted by the initiating offices by the last working days of June and December of each year. After these reports are consolidated for review by the Department Regulations Council, the Department's Regulations Agenda and Review List are prepared and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. This document is being published under that schedule.

The Agenda has been expanded from its first publication; it now includes the additional information that, although not currently required, will eventually be required in accordance with Executive Order 12044, "Improving Government Regulations" (43 FR 12661, March 24, 1978), and with the Department's proposed regulatory policies and procedures (43 FR 23925, June 1, 1978).

DEFINITIONS

The Agenda and the Review List cover all rules and regulations of the Department, including those which establish conditions for financial assistance. The following definitions are provided for ease in understanding the information in this document.

(1) *Initiating office* means an operating administration or other organizational element within the Department, the head of which is authorized by law or delegation to issue regulations or to formulate regulations for issuance by the Secretary.

(2) *Significant regulation*[1] means a regulation that is not an emergency regulation and that in the judgment of the head of the initiating office or the Secretary or the Deputy Secretary—

(a) Requires a regulatory analysis or is otherwise costly;

(b) Concerns a matter on which there is substantial public interest or controversy;

(c) Has a significant impact on another operating administration or other parts of the Department or other Federal agency; or

(d) Otherwise involves import and Department policy.

(3) *Emergency regulation* means a regulation that, in the judgment of the head of the initiating office, circumstances require to be issued without notice and opportunity for public comment or made effective in less than 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(4) *Nonsignificant regulation* means a regulation that, in the judgment of the head of the initiating office, is neither a significant nor an emergency regulation.

A regulatory analysis is required for each proposed regulation that—

(1) Could produce a major effect on the general economy in terms of cost, consumer prices, or production;

(2) Could produce a major increase in costs or prices for individual industries, levels of government, geographic regions, or specific elements of the population; or

(3) The Secretary or head of the initiating office determines deserves such an analysis.

EXPLANATION OF INFORMATION IN THE REGULATIONS AGENDA

The Regulations Agenda is divided by initiating offices. For each initiating office there is a subdivision for: (1) Significant regulations, (2) non-significant regulations, and (3) routine and frequent non-significant regulations. For each proposed and final regulation expected to be published, the Agenda provides the following information: (1) A short descriptive title; (2) a summary; (3) the earliest expect-

[1] To conform with the Executive order, the Department's procedures have been amended to change the term "major regulation" to "significant regulation" and that change is reflected in this Agenda.

ed publication date (if a decision is made to issue the proposal or the regulation); and (4) a contact office or official who can provide additional information. If final action has been taken on an item included on the previous semi-annual Agenda, that item is still contained in this Agenda and the final action is indicated under the "summary" column for that item.

For a significant regulation, the summary includes: (1) A description of the proposed or final regulation; (2) a brief statement as to why it is considered significant; (3) a statement as to whether a regulatory analysis will be required; (4) a brief statement of why the regulation is needed; (5) the legal basis for the action being taken; (6) the past and anticipated chronology of the development of the regulation, including any final action taken since the last semiannual Agenda and (7) the related regulatory citation in the Code of Federal Regulations. It should be noted that, even though a regulatory analysis is not required for some items on the Agenda, either because the criteria are not met or because the regulatory project is covered by earlier regulatory requirements, the Department requires an economic evaluation for all of its regulations.

For nonsignificant regulations issued routinely and frequently as part of an established body of technical requirements (such as the Federal Aviation Administration's airspace rules) to keep those requirements operationally current, only the general category of the regulations, the identity of a contact office or official, and an indication of the expected number of regulations are included; individual regulations are not listed.

If a regulatory docket number has already been established, it is contained in parentheses immediately following the short descriptive title of the regulation. If a member of the public desires further information regarding a particualr proposal or regulation, reference should be made to this docket number. The Federal Highway Administration also provides an FHPM number at this point for easier reference by those who use the Federal-aid Highway Program Manual (FHPM). The numbers following the FHPM represent, respectively, the volume, chapter, section and subsection at which the material is located in the FHPM.

In the "Date" column, abbreviations are used to indicate the particular documents being considered for issuance by that date. ANPRM stands for advance notice of proposed rulemaking, NPRM for notice of proposed rulemaking, and FR for final rule. Listing a date in this column is not an indication that a proposal or a final rule will be issued on that date; it is the date on which a final decision is expected to be made on whether to issue the document listed. If any document is issued, publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER would follow within a few days. These dates are based on current schedules. Subsequently, received information could result in a decision not to take regulatory action or in changes to proposed publication dates. For example, the need for further evaluation could result in a later publication date; evidence of a greater need for the regulation could result in an earlier publication date.

It should be noted that some of the items on the Agenda result from programs which were established to review existing regulations and revoke or revise those regulations which the initiating office determines are not achieving their intended purpose. For example, the anticipated rulemaking actions listed by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) are primarily in response to the implementation of FHWA's Regulations Reduction Review recommendations adopted in late 1977. As a result of those recommendations, FHWA is currently in the process of systematically reviewing and, where appropriate, revising all of its existing regulatory material to assure consistency with its policy on the minimization of red tape, issued on October 20, 1977. This policy was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (43 FR 10578, FHWA docket No. 76-21, notice 4) on March 14, 1978. As indicated on the Agenda, many of the Federal Aviation Administration's proposed regulatory actions also result from one of its many regulatory reviews. Projects under regulatory development that resulted from a review of existing regulations to determine whether they should be revoked or revised are preceded by the word "review" in the "Title" column. Because some reviews can be large-scale undertakings, and because there are already a number of these in the regulatory development process, the Department thought it would provide the public with valuable information if it indicated not only which regulatory reviews are under consideration but also which reviews have now reached the stage where proposed revisions are being, or have been, prepared. The number of regulatory projects that an initiating office can handle is limited by available resources. Therefore, the number of reviews in the regulatory development stage limits the number that can be added to the consideration stage.

EXPLANATION OF THE INFORMATION IN THE REGULATIONS REVIEW LIST

The Regulations Review List is divided by initiating offices. For each office, it provides the following information: (1) A short description of the existing regulations involved, including the related citation to the Code of Federal Regulations; (2) a brief description of the reasons for each selection; (3) a contact office or offcial who can provide additional information; and (4) the target date for completing the review and determining the corrective course of action to be taken. The action taken can be revocation or revision of the regulation, or it can be a determination that no regulatory action is necessary because the regulation is found to be achieving its goals and the goals and objectives of Executive Order 12044 and the Department regulatory policies and procedures. If final action has been taken on the previous semiannual Review List, that item is still contained in this Review List and the final action is indicated under the "Reasons for Selection" column for that item.

PURPOSE

The Department is publishing this Regulations Agenda and Review List in the FEDERAL REGISTER to share with interested members of the public the Department's preliminary expectations regarding its future regulatory actions. This should enable the public to be more aware of the Department's regulatory activity. Knowledge of the nature and scope of this activity, as well as the specific proposals and reviews being considered, should result in more effective public participation in the Department's regulatory activity. For example, awareness of the dates when notices may be issued seeking public comment should allow appropriate planning and more efficient use of the comment period. By providing the expected date for a decision on whether to issue a final rule, the Department expects that more appropriate planning by those concerned with the regulation will also be possible.

This publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER does not impose any binding obligation on the Department, or any of the offices within the Department, with regard to any specific item on the Agenda or the Review List. Regulatory action in addition to the items listed is not precluded.

If further information is desired on any of the items listed in the Agenda or the Review List, the public is encouraged to contact the individual listed for the particular item. Additional information concerning the Agenda or the Review List, in general, or the Department's regulatory policies and procedures may be obtained from Neil Eisner, whose address and telephone number appear above.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on September 7, 1978.

BROCK ADAMS,
Secretary of Transportation.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, SEMIANNUAL REGULATIONS AGENDA

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

SIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Handicap in DOT-Funded Programs and Activities. Notice of proposed rulemaking, docket No. 56.	A. *Description:* Proposed regulation woud implement sec. 504 of Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. 794), prohibiting discrimination against handicapped persons in federally funded programs. The regulation establishes requirements for recipients of DOT financial assistance to meet the mandate of sec. 504. B. *Why significant:* The proposed regulation would have a major impact on DOT programs, particularly those administered by FAA, FRA, UMTA, and FHWA. All recipients of DOT aid must take positive actions to make their federally funded programs accessible to the handicapped. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will be required. D. *Need:* These regulations implement regulations issued by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (HEW) and 29 U.S.C. 794. They are needed to ensure that recipients of financial assistance from DOT make the programs operated in existing facilities accesible to handicapped persons, and to ensure that new facilities are constructed so as to be readily accessible to handicapped persons. E. *Legal basis:* 29 U.S.C. 794. F. *Chronology:* HEW issued regulations on Jan. 13, 1978, requiring Federal agencies to integrate the requirements of sec. 504 into their respective programs and activities (43 FR 2132). An NPRM was published on June 8, 1978 (43 FR 25016). By supplemental notice the comment period has been extended to Oct. 20, 1978 (3 FR 30585). Public hearings have been scheduled for New York City, Sept. 7; Chicago, Sept. 11; Denver, Sept. 13; San Francisco, Sept. 15; and Washington, D.C., Sept. 19 (43 FR 34171). The Regulatory Analysis Review Group has selected this regulation to review for its economic impact. G. *Citation:* 49 CFFR pt. 27.	Richard R. Clark, 202-426-4723	FR March 1979.
Title VI Civil Rights Regulations.....	A. *Description:* The proposed regulations would assemble in one package all DOT procedures and requirements concerning all recipients of financial assistance under title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000d-d4). B. *Why significant:* Substantial public interest is anticipated and it will effect all of the DOT elements and the administration of all grant programs. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* The Department has an existing Title VI regulation dating from 1970, and a title VI order promulgated by Secretary Coleman on Jan. 19, 1977 and reaffirmed by Secretary Adams in March of that year. A new regulatory package is being developed to replace the previous layering of regulations. E. *Legal basis:* 42 U.S.C. 2000d-d4. F. *Chronology:* Proposal is currently under review. It will then be submitted to the Department of Justice for approval. G. *Citation:* 49 CFR pt. 21.	Robert J. Coates, 202-426-4754	NPRM November 1978.
Minority Business Enterprise Program.	A. *Description:* This regulation would implement the requirements of DOT order 4000.7A for DOT operating elements to take affirmative action to assure that minority business enterprises participate in departmental procurement and financial assistance programs. B. *Why significant:* Substantial public interest is anticipated given the proposed action's potential impact on DOT's procurement and assistance programs. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be requried. D. *Need:* To implement the provisons of the DOT order 4000.7A by providing detailed instructions for carrying out the affirmative action requirements of the order. E. *Legal basis:* Executive Order 11625; 49 U.S.C. 1730; 45 U.S.C. 803; 49 U.S.C. 1608(f). F. *Chronology:* Proposal is currently under review by the DOT elements. G. *Citation:* No CFR citation as yet.	Ellen Feingold, 202-426-4648 Richard Lorr, 202-426-4710	NPRM October 1978.
Financial Assistance to participants in Rulemaking Proceedings.	A. *Description:* If propsoed, these regulations would permit, but not require, each agency of the Department to fund eligible members of the public for the reasonable and actual costs of preparing and presenting their views at selected agency rulemaking proceedings. B. *Why significant:* This issue concerns a matter on which there is substantial public interest and controversy and will have a significant impact on the operating administrations and the Office of the Secretary. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* Such a rule would substantially increase the number of active, informed, and independent participants at many rulemaking proceedings within the Department, thereby increasing the diversity and balance of views presented to the Department, and enhancing the Department's knowledge of the interests likely to be affected by its proposed rules. E. *Legal authority:* The Department's rulemaking authority under the Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1651 et seq. and related statutes.	Richard Lorr, 202-426-4723	NPRM January 1979.

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY—Continued

SIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS—continued

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
	F. *Chronology:* On Jan. 13, 1977, the Department published regulations for a one-year demonstration program to provide financial assistance to certain participants in rulemaking proceedings of NHTSA. (42 FR 2863.) At the same time the Department issued an ANPRM, inviting public comments on the feasibility, wisdom, and scope of a permanent departmentwide program of financial assistance. Upon conclusion of the demonstration program, NHTSA evaluated the program and recommended that the Department establish an amended version of the program throughout the Department. On Mar. 16, 1978, the demonstration program in NHTSA was extended until the Secretary decided whether to issue final, permanent Department regulations (43 FR 10918). G. *Citation:* No CFR citation as yet.		
Public Availability of Information.....	A. *Description:* Revision of DOT's Freedom of Information Act regulations. Specific areas to be revised may include the fee schedule and the policy on waivers of fees for public interest groups and the press. B. *Why significant:* Substantial public interest. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Not required. D. *Need:* Freedom of Information regulations need periodic revision to keep current with changes in case law, policy, and implementation costs. E. *Legal basis:* 5 U.S.C. 552 (Freedom of Information Act). F. *Chronology:* Regulations last revised in 1975 (40 FR 7915). Revision currently under internal development. NPRM expected October 1978. G. *Citation:* 49 CFR pt. 7	Rebecca H. Lima, 202-426-4542	NPRM October 1978.

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

NONSIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS

Consolidation of Transportation Grants U.S. Territories.	The regulation would comply with title V of Pub. L. 95-134 which permits departments and agencies to consolidate grant programs, reduce reporting requirements, and waive the local matching funds requirement.	Carolina Mederos 202-426-9605	NPRM August 1978.
Standard Time Zone Boundaries	The regulation would correct a mistaken reference to "North Dakota-Nebraska boundary" in "description of" the eastern-central time zone boundary (49 CFR 7.31(b)).	Robert I. Ross, 202-426-4723	FR September 1978.
Maintenance of Access to Records Pertaining to Individuals.	This regulation would revise the Department's Privacy Act regulations (49 CFR pt. 10).	John Windsor, 202-426-1877	NPRM August 1978.
Official Seal	Revision of regulations governing description and use of the Department's official seal (49 CFR pt. 3).	Robert I. Ross, 202-426-4723	FR October 1978.

U.S. COAST GUARD

SIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS

Review: Construction and Operating Standards for Mobile Offshore Drilling Units (Docket No. CGD 73-251).	A. *Description:* Would establish one set of uniform, comprehensive regulations applicable to all mobile offshore drilling units. B. *Why significant:* Considered significant due to increased public awareness and concern regarding offshore oil and gas development, also substantial congressional interest. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* At present there are no comprehensive regulations applicable to the offshore industry. This industry has grown so rapidly in the last few years that it needs its own dedicated set of requirements. E. *Legal basis:* Sec. 2, 87 Stat. 418 (46 U.S.C. 86); sec. 3, 82 Stat. 341, as amended (46 U.S.C. 367); R.S. 4405, as amended (46 U.S.C. 375); R.S. 4405, as amended (46 U.S.C. 4001); R.S. 4429, as amended (46 U.S.C. 407); R.S. 4430, as amended (46 U.S.C. 408); 88 Stat. 423 (46 U.S.C. 411); R.S. 4434, as amended (46 U.S.C. 412); R.S. 4462, as amended (46 U.S.C. 416); sec. 1, 73 Stat. 475 (46 U.S.C. 481); sec. 4, 67 Stat. 462 (43 U.S.C. 1333(e)). F. *Chronology:* Project commenced 1973. Environmental and economic analysis completed March 1977. NPRM published 42 FR 22296. Public hearing held June 1977. G. *Citation:* 33 CFR pt. 155, 46 CFR pts. 10, 12, 30, 31, 35, 70, 90, 98, 105, 151, and 157.	LCDR Harmes, 202-426-2190	FR October 1978.
Review: Qualifications of the Person in Charge of Oil Transfer Operations, Tankerman Requirements (docket No. CGD 74-44, 74-44a).	A. *Description:* Would redefine and establish qualifying criteria for certifying individuals engaged in the carriage and transfer of the various categories of dangerous cargoes in bulk. B. *Why significant:* Considered significant because this is a Presidential initiative. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* Most pollution incidents are the result of personnel error; consequently the minimum qualifications of persons involved in handling polluting substances should be specified. E. *Legal basis:* 86 Stat. 427, as amended (46 U.S.C. 391a); sec. 6(b)(1), 80 Stat. 937 (49 U.S.C. 1655(b)(1); 49 CFR 1.46(n)(4). F. *Chronology:* Environmental analysis and inflationary impact statement completed February 1977. NPRM published 42 FR 21190. Public hearing June 1977. G. *Citation:* 33 CFR pt. 155, 46 CFR pts. 10, 12, 30, 31, 35, 70, 90, 98, 105, 151, and 157.	CDR Hess, 202-426-225	FR October 1978.

U.S. COAST GUARD—Continued
SIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS—continued

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
Review: Revision of Electrical Regulations (docket No. CGD 74-125).	A. *Description:* General revision and updating to conform with latest technology and to include steering requirements. B. *Why significant:* Considered significant since it incorporates the steering requirements of the Presidential initiatives. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* The industrial standards for electrical engineering have changed in the past few years and the regulations must be brought up-to-date to reflect the lastest industry practice. E. *Legal basis:* 46 U.S.C. 170, 367, 369, 375, 390(b), 391(a), 392, 408, 416, 445, 389, 526p, 49 U.S.C. 1655(b). F. *Chronology:* NPRM published 42 FR 32700. A new NPRM will be issued September 1978. G. *Citation:* 46 CFR pts. 110-113.	Mr. R. Tweedie, 202-426-2205	NPRM September 1978.
Standard for new Self-Propelled Vessel Carrying Bulk Liquefied Gases (docket No. CGD 74-289).	A. *Description:* These regulations would adopt the Intergovernmental Maritime Consultative Organization (IMCO) resolution, the code for construction and equipment of ships carrying liquefied gases in bulk. B. *Why significant:* This is a significant rulemaking involving matters of substantial public interest. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* The increased use of liquefied gases for energy sources has produced a dramatic increase in the manufacture and use of vessels designed for the cargo. Due to the unusual and unique hazards associated with liquefied gases, these vessels must be addressed in regulations specially tailored to their unique situation. E. *Legal basis:* R.S. 4472 as amended (46 U.S.C. 170); sec. 201, 86 Stat. 42), as amended (46 U.S.C. 391); sec. 6(b)(1), 80 Stat. 937 (49 U.S.C. 1655(b)(1). F. *Chronology:* Economic and environmental impact assessments and negative declarations were prepared before the NPRM was published 41 FR 43822. Public hearing held November 1976. G. *Citation:* 46 CFR pts. 31, 34, 40, 54, 56, 98, 154, and 154a.	LCDR Rowek, 202-426-1477	FR September 1978.
Whitewater Rafts Inspection (docket No. CGD 75-020).	A. *Description:* These proposed regulations would set forth safety requirements for whitewater rafts that would recognize the unique construction, arrangement, equipment, and general operation of these vessels. B. *Why significant:* This project is considered significant because of the potential significant cost to a minor industry with small businesses and substantial congressional interest. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* Increased popularity of whitewater rafting as a commercial recreational activity has increased the potential for casualties to paying passengers. Since these rafts are engaged in interstate commerce, by law, they are subject to inspection to insure that they meet minimum levels of safety. E. *Legal basis:* 46 U.S.C. 390. F. *Chronology:* This project commenced in 1974. Public hearings will be held after the 1978 operating season. G. *Citation:* 46 CFR pts. 175-187.	LCDR Anderson, 202-426-2183	NPRM November 1978.
Review: Upgrade New Tank Barge Construction (docket No. CGD 75-083), Upgrade Existing Tank Barge Construction (docket No. CGD 75-083a).	A. *Description:* This action is comprised of two regulatory projects centered on tank barge construction standards which resulted from the Presidential initiatives directing a study of the tank barge pollution problem. One project will address new barge construction while the other will pertain to existing barges. Regulatory documents for both will be published at the same time and joint public hearings will be held. B. *Why significant:* Considered significant due to substantial congressional and public interest. C. *Regulatory analysis:* A joint regulatory analysis will be developed for both projects due to the conbined impact upon a single industry. D. *Need:* Increased public awareness of the oil pollution problem, as well as international and domestic interest in this area have made increased design standards necessary as a menas of reducing the possibility of pollution. E. *Legal basis:* See 201, 86 Stat. 427, as amended (46 U.S.C. 391a). F. *Chronology:* The upgrade of tank barge construction standards was published as a NPRM in the FEDERAL REGISTER of Dec. 24, 1971. As a result of the 63 written comments received, it was decided that the standards needed to be studied further, especially as they would apply to existing barges. In 1974, the Coast Guard and the Maritime Administration performed a joint study of the tank barge pollution problem which found that certain construction techniques might provide a significant advantage for eliminating oil pollution from tank barges. However, the study had several weaknesses and regulatory action was not taken. In July 1977, the Coast Guard began a reexamination of the tank barge construction standards. It was determined that new construction should be treated separately from existing barges. An ANPRM will be issued to gather additional data and assess impacts related to existing barges. G. *Citation:* 46 CFR pts. 32-40.	LCDR Johnson, 202-426-4431 LCDR Sampson, 202-426-2183	NPRM October 1978. ANPRM October 1978.

U.S. COAST GUARD—Continued
SIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS—continued

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
Review: Pollution Prevention, Vessel and Oil Transfer Facilities (docket No. CGD 75-124).	A. *Description:* Would reduce accidental discharge of oil or oily wastes during vessel operations and during the transfer of oil or oily wastes to or from vessels. B. *Why significant:* Considered significant due to intense opposition from the owners/operators of offshore marine service vessels and inland waterways vessels to the oil-water separator requirements of 33 CFR 155.330. Also, considerable expense is estimated to be incurred by the towing service to install separators and monitors or alarms. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* (1) Necessity to reduce the number of accidental spills. (2) Clarification of existing rules. (3) Additional requirement for oil-water separators under the 1973 International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships. E. *Legal basis:* Sec. 311(j)(1) (C) and (D) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (86 Stat. 816, 818); 33 U.S.C. 1321(j)(1) (C) and (D). F. *Chronology:* NPRM published 42 FR 32670. Supplemental NPRM published 42 FR 56625. Public hearings held: New Orleans, La., Nov. 22, 1977, St. Louis, Mo., Nov. 30, 1977, Washington, D.C., Nov. 28, 1977. Final rule anticipated July 1978. G. *Citation:* 33 CFR pats. 154, 155, and 156.	LCDR J. Busavage, 202-426-9578	FR October 1978.
Oil Pollution Liability Fund Requirements (docket No. CGD 77-055).	A. *Description:* Would establish procedural rules concerning administration and operation of fund, including liability limits for certain facilities, financial responsibility factors, damage claim settlement procedures, et al. B. *Why significant:* Involves probable matters of substantial public interest and important DOT policy. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will be required. D. *Need:* To communicate and clarify statutory provisions and executive branch implementing requirements to affected public. E. *Legal basis:* Forthcoming legislation. F. *Chronology:* Authorizing legislation appears imminent. Expect congressional mandate of 180 days for FR. At least two public hearings will be held. G. *Citation:* 33 CFR pt. 152.	F. Martin, 202-426-2606	NPRM October 1978.
Inert Gas System (docket No. CGD 77-057).	A. *Description:* Would require oil tankers of 20,000 dwt and over to be fitted with inert gas system. B. *Why significant:* This is a result of a Presidential initiative and meets major cost impact criteria. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will be required. D. *Need:* As part of the President's initiatives to reduce marine pollution, this regulation will reduce the possibility of in-tank explosions which have been the cause of some pollution incidents. E. *Legal basis:* See 201, 86 Stat. 427, as amended (46 U.S.C. 391a); 49 CFR 1.46(n)(4). F. *Chronology:* Inflationary impact statement completed May 1977. NPRM published 42 FR 24874. Public hearing held in Washington, D.C., and San Diego, Calif. June 1977. G. *Citation:* 46 CFR 32.53.	Mr. D. Sheehan, 202-426-2205	FR September 1978.
Segregated Ballast/CBT/COW (docket No. CGD 77-058).	A. *Description:* On Mar. 17, 1977, President Carter directed the Secretary of Transportation to issue new rules for oil tanker standards which were to include segregated ballast on all tankers and double bottoms on all new tankers which call at American ports. The provisions of this regulation proposed have been changed by the February 1978 IMCO Conference to include crude oil washing (cover) and clean ballast tanks (CBT). B. *Why significant:* This rulemaking is considered significant because of substantial congressional and public interest. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* As part of the President's initiatives to reduce pollution, this regulation is needed to help reduce the possibility of a marine collision due to a loss of steering. E. *Legal basis:* See 201, 86 Stat 427, as amended (46 U.S.C. 391a); 49 CFR 1.46(n)(4). F. *Chronology:* NPRM was published 42 FR 24868. As a result of the Intergovernmental Maritime Consultative Organization (IMCO) February 1978 Tanker Safety and Pollution Prevention Conference, a new NPRM will be issued. G. *Citation:* 33 CFR pt. 157.	CDR Ireland, 202-426-4431	NPRM September 1978.
Steering Gear design standards to provide redundancy (docket No. CGD 77-063).	A. *Description:* On Mar. 17, 1977, President Carter directed the Secretary of Transportation to issue new rules to provide for back-up steering systems for all tankers calling at U.S. ports. B. *Why significant:* This rulemaking is considered significant because of congressional and public interest. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* As part of the President's initiatives to reduce pollution, this regulation is needed to help reduce the possibility of a marine collision due to a loss of steering. E. *Legal basis:* Title II, sec. 201, 86 Stat 427, as amended (46 U.S.C. 391a); 49 CFR 1.46. F. *Chronology:* NPRM published 42 FR 24869. As a result of the IMCO February 1978 Tanker Safety and Pollution Prevention Conference, a new NPRM will be issued. G. *Citation:* 46 CFR pt. 58.	CDR Williams, 202-426-2160	NPRM September 1978.

U.S. COAST GUARD—Continued
SIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS—continued

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
Review: Construction and Equipment Existing Self-Propelled Vessels Carrying Bulk Liquefied Gases (docket No. 77-069).	A. *Description:* Would amend regulations of existing self-propelled vessels that carry bulk liquefied gases by including the substantive requirements of the "Code for Existing Ships Carrying Liquefied Gases In Bulk" adopted by IMCO which would increase safety levels of existing gas ships. B. *Why significant:* This is significant because it involves a large number of existing United States and foreign flag liquefied gas ships and is the subject of substantial public interest. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* Increased use of liquefied gases has intensified the problems associated with this product. Since this product has unique properties and dangers, a dedicated set of regulations is needed to address them. E. *Legal basis:* R.S. 4472 as amended (46 U.S.C. 170). Sec. 201, 86 Stat. 427, as amended (46 U.S.C. 391a); see 6(b)(1), 80 Stat. 937 (49 U.S.C. 1655(b)(1). F. *Chronology:* An advance notice of proposed rulemaking was published 42 FR 33353. An environmental impact assessment should be completed October 1978. G. *Citation:* 46 CFR pts. 31, 34, 38, 40, 54, 98, and 154.	LCDR Pluta, 202-426-2160	NPRM February 1979.
Review: Licensing of Pilots (docket No. CGD 77-084).	A. *Description:* This proposal would require recency of service for each route upon which a pilot is authorized to serve; licenses to be issued with tonnage limitations commensurate with pilot experience; and consideration of shiphandling simulator training for pilots of large vessels (very large crude carriers—(VLCC)). B. *Why significant:* Considered significant because there is substantial interest among marine personnel on this matter with opposition expected from Federal pilots. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* Increased ship size has led to unusual handling characteristics with which some pilots may not be familiar. This rule will allow use of simulator training for these kinds of vessels. E. *Legal Basis:* 49 U.S.C. 1955; 46 U.S.C. 405. F. *Chronology:* A regulatory analysis should be completed September 1978. Public hearing will probably be held February 1979. G. *Citation:* 46 CFR pt. 10.	LCDR Norman, 202-426-2240	NPRM December 1978.
Tank Vessel Operations Regulations, Puget Sound (docket No. CGD 78-041).	A. *Description:* The Coast Guard is considering issuing regulations governing the operation of tank vessels in the Puget Sound area to protect against environmental harm resulting from vessel or structure damage, destruction, or loss. B. *Why significant:* This is considered a significant rulemaking due to congressional and public interest. In addition it may generate controversy among the public, environmentalists, and the oil industry. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* To reduce the possibility of environmental harm resulting from oil spills in Puget Sound by governing the operation of tankers to reduce the risk of collision or grounding. E. *Legal basis:* Port and Waterways Safety Act of 1972 (33 U.S.C. 1221). F. *Chronology:* Secretary Adams signed 180 day interim rule on Mar. 14, 1978, prohibiting entry of oil tankers in excess of 125,000 dwt in Puget Sound (43 FR 12257). ANPRM published 43 FR 12840 with public hearing held April 1978. An extension of the interim rule will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER in order to allow the Coast Guard adequate time to complete this rulemaking. G. *Citation:* 33 CFR pts. 160 and 161.	CDR R. Janecek, 202-426-1935	NPRM (uncertain pending completion of environmental impact statement).
Personnel Job Safety Requirements for Industrial Vessels.	A. *Description:* To develop safety requirements for personnel employed on vessels engaged in oil field exploration and development. B. *Why significant:* This action concerns a matter that is of significant public interest and which will impact on other Federal agencies. Action mandated by pending Outer Continental Shelf legislation. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* Exploration and development of the offshore resources requires a growing fleet of vessels which perform a variety of industrial functions. The regulations will provide more comprehensive protection for personnel employed on these vessels. E. *Legal basis:* 43 U.S.C. 1333(e) and proposed legislation pending. F. *Chronology:* Prepare work plan November 1978. G. *Citation:* 46 CFR subchs. I and IA.	CDR Cronk, 202-472-5160	ANPRM April 1979.
Personnel Job Safety Requirements for Fixed Installations on the Outer Continental Shelf.	A. *Description:* To develop personnel safety and health requirements for artificial island, fixed installations and other devices on the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS). B. *Why significant:* This action concerns a matter that is of significant public interest and which will impact on other Federal agencies. Action mandated by pending Outer Continental Shelf legislation. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* More comprehensive requirements will improve personnel working conditions and the level of safety on fixed installations on the OCS. The regulations will also help fulfill the mandates for safety and health in the pending legislation on the OCS Land Act Amendments of 1978.	CDR Cronk, 202-472-5160	ANPRM December 1978.

U.S. COAST GUARD—Continued

SIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS—continued

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
	E. *Legal basis:* 43 U.S.C. 1333(e) and proposed legislation pending. F. *Chronology:* Prepared work plan August 1978. G. *Citation:* 33 CFR subch. N, 46 CFR subchs. IA and V.		

NONSIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS

Vessel Traffic Service, Berwick Bay, La. (docket No. CGD 73-186).	Would codify certain operating procedures now being done under local order (33 CFR pt. 161).	ENS Molessa, 202-426-4958	NPRM September 1978.
Review: Lights on Pipelines (docket No. CGD 73-216).	Would require warning lights on unattended dredge pipelines (33 CFR pt. 82).	....do	FR August 1978.
Review: Cargo Location Signs and Cargo Information Cards on Barges (docket No. CGD 73-243).	Would require notification of crew of the hazards and locations of dangerous cargoes carried on barges (46 CFR pt. 35).	Mr. Query, 202-426-1217	NPRM November 1978.
VTS Louisville, Ky (docket No. CGD 73-244).	Would make mandatory a now voluntary vessel traffic service (33 CFR pt. 161).	ENS Molessa, 202-426-4958	NPRM October 1978.
VTS San Francisco, Calif. (docket No. CGD 73-724).	....do	Mr. Schwer, 202-426-4958	NPRM December 1978.
VTS Houston-Galveston, Tex. (docket No. CGD 74-029).	....do	ENS Molessa, 202-426-4958	Do.
Powered Pilot Hoists and Ladder (docket No. CGD 74-140).	Would establish new regulation for pilot hoists and revise regulations for pilot ladders and chain ladders (46 CFR pts. 160 and 163).	Mr. Markle, 202-426-1445	NPRM October 1978.
Review: Provisions to allow for Foreign Inspection of Liferafts (docket No. CGD 74-208).	Would establish an acceptable mechanism for ships operating in foreign ports to have necessary safety inspections performed (46 CFR 160.051).	Mr. Daniels, 202-426-1445	ANPRM November 1978.
Review: Measurement of Vessels Size of Accesses to Exemptible Water Ballast Spaces (docket No. CGD 74-211).	Would establish measurement of vessel size of accesses to exemptible water ballast space (46 CFR pt. 69).	Mr. Stitt, 202-426-2192	NPRM October 1978.
Review: Fixed Fire Extinguishing Systems on Uninspected Vessels (docket No. CGD 74-284).	Would establish standards for the construction and installation of Halon 1301 and other fixed fire extinguishing systems (46 CFR 160.29).	Mr. K. Wahle, 202-426-1444	NPRM November 1978.
Elevators and Dumbwaiters (docket No. CGD 75-001).	Would adopt the ANSI standard safety code with certain modifications for vessel construction (46 CFR pt. 58).	Mr. B. Jackson, 202-426-2205	FR December 1978.
Review: Bulk Concentrate Cargoes (docket No. CGD 75-033).	Would establish stability standards for high moisture content bulk concentrate cargoes in accordance with Intergovernmental Maritime Consultative Organization (IMCO) (42 CFR 93.25).	Mr. D. Ewing, 202-426-2187	NPRM August 1978.
Review: Compatibility of Bulk Liquid Cargoes (docket No. CGD 75-059).	Would establish cargo loading standards to prevent the intermingling of cargo likely to create dangerous conditions (46 CFR pt. 150).	Mr. R. Query 202-426-1217	NPRM May 1979.
Benzene Carriage Requirements Vapor Exposure Limitations (docket No. CGD 75-075).	Proposed requirements to prevent exposure of crew to hazardous vapors (46 CFR pts. 151 and 153).	LT Haas, 202-426-1019	NPRM August 1978.
Review: Measurement of Vessels (docket No. CGD 75-078).	Proposed deduction for spaces, to collect, carry and process vessel waste material (46 CFR pt. 69). FR published July 1978 (43 FR 32109).	Mr. P. Stitt, 202-426-2192	Action complete.
Review: Cargo transfer Manual (docket No. CGD 75-148).	Proposed requirement for standard procedures to be contained in a manual available for the crew's use (46 CFR pt. 354).	LCDR Anderson, 202-426-2183	NPRM November 1978.
Review: Ocean Operator (docket No. CGD 75-178).	Proposed licensing requirements for rank of ocean operator (46 CFR pts. 157 and 186).	CDR Pensom, 202-426-2240	FR August 1978.
Review: Launching Devices for Liferafts (docket No. CGD 75-217).	Proposed specification for approval of devices used for launching inflatable liferafts (46 CFR pts. 160 and 063).	Mr. M. Daniels, 202-426-1445	NPRM November 1978.
Review: Helicopter Operations with Tank Vessels (docket No. CGD 75-221).	Would establish guidelines for helicopter operations on tank vessels and LNG vessels (46 CFR pts. 32, 34, and 25).	LTJG Sedlak, 202-426-2197	NPRM August 1978.
Review: Pilots on Self-Propelled Vessels (docket No. CGD 75-236).	Would require pilots in certain areas not now covered by State laws (46 CFR pt. 163).	Mr. F. Schwer, 202-426-1934	Do.
Review: Advance Notice of Arrival (docket No. CGD 75-238).	Would require advance notice to Captain of the Port (COTP) of vessel arrivals, departures and hazardous conditions. NPRM published 43 FR 25958 (33 CFR pt. 161).	LCDR Bonekemper, 202-426-1927	FR October 1978.
Marine Occupational Safety and Health Standards, Commercial Diving Regulations (docket No. CGD 76-009).	Proposed equipment and operational standards for commercial divers (46 CFR pts. 54 and 197).	CDR Muth 202-426-2307	FR September 1978.
Review: Stability Standards for Tug Boats (docket No. CGD 76-018).	Would establish intact stability standards for vessels normally engaged in towing operations to increase their resistance to capsizing (46 CFR pt. 42).	Mr. F. Perrini, 202-426-1287	NPRM September 1978.
Review: Tug Assistance in Confined Waters (docket No. CGD 76-025).	Would require tug assistance for large vessels operating in confined waters. ANPRM published 41 FR 18770 (33 CFR pt. 164).	Mr. F. Schwer, 202-426-4958	NPRM June 1979.
Review: Lights and Retro-Reflective Material for Life Preservers and Other Lifesaving Equipment (docket No. CGD 76-023).	Would require lights and retro-reflective material on life preservers in order to aid rescue effects (46 CFR pts. 25, 33, 75, 94, 161, 167, 180, and 192).	LCDR Anderson, 202-426-2183	FR August 1978.
Review: Lifesaving Systems for Great Lakes Vessels (docket No. CGD 76-033).	Would amend lifesaving equipment regulations to improve chances of personnel survival following abandonment of vessel (46 CFR subchs. D, H, I, T, and Q).	Mr. R. Markle, 202-426-1445	NPRM January 1979.
Review: Exposure Suits on Great Lakes Vessels (docket No. CGD 76-033a).	Proposed approval specification and vessel requirements for low-temperature exposure. NPRM published 43 FR 25000 (46 CFR pts. 33, 75, 99, and 160).	....do	FR February 1979.
Review: Factory Inspection Approval Procedures (docket No. CGD 76-048).	Proposed procedures to allow third-party inspection of specified equipment (46 CFR pt. 159).	Mr. F. Thompson, 202-426-2174	NPRM September 1978.
Review: Factory Inspector, Distress Signal (docket No. CGD 76-048a).	Proposed procedures to allow third-party inspection of specified equipment (46 CFR pt. 160).	Mr. R. Markle, 202-426-1445	Do.

U.S. COAST GUARD—Continued
NONSIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS—continued

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
Minumum Net Bottom Clearance (docket No. CGD 76-051).	Would require vessels to maintain a minimum net bottom clearance while transmitting certain navigable waters of the United States. ANPRM published 41 FR 18770 (33 CFR pt. 164).	Mr. F. Schwer, 202-426-4958	NPRM June 1979.
Review: Subdivision of Passenger Vessels (docket No. CGD 76-053).	Proposed more flexible regs by allowing compliance with Intergovernmental Maritime Consultative Organization as an alternative to existing requirements (46 CFR pts. 73 and 74).	Mr. J. Howell, 202-426-2187	NPRM December 1978.
Review: Stability Standards for Hopper Dredges (docket No. CGD 76-080).	Would improve dredge's capability to withstand flooding caused by damage to hull or interior piping (46 CFR pt. 93).	Mr. D. Ewing, 202-426-2187	NPRM August 1978.
Review: Cargo Manifest; Foreign Tankers (docket No. CGD 76-081).	Proposed to alert the crew to the identify of cargo (46 CFR pt. 35).	LCDR Anderson, 202-426-2183	Supplemental NPRM August 1978.
Requirements for Boat Ventilation (docket No. CGD 76-082).	Would establish requirements for ventilation of fuel and engine compartments on boats. NPRM published July 1978 (43 FR 32606) (33 CFR pt. 183).	Mr. L. Granholm, 202-426-4027	FR February 1979.
Review: Combination Fire Hose Nozzles (docket No. 76-086).	Proposed requirement for an approved combination nozzle on most vessels (46 CFR pts. 34, 76, 95, 162, and 193).	Mr. F. Thompson, 202-426-2174	FR October 1978.
Review: Cadets of the Coast Guard (docket No. CGD 76-087).	Would revise present regulatory language to indicate desired procedures for cadet appointments. NPRM published 41 FR 47944 (33 CFR pt. 40).	LTJG Marshall, 202-426-9264	FR August 1978.
Oil/Water Separator (docket No. CGD 76-088a).	Would establish approval procedures and specifications for oil-water separators, cargo and bilge monitors and bilge alarms for use on merchant vessels (46 CFR pt. 162).	Mr. L. Martin, 202-426-1445	Do.
Tank Vessel Regulations, (docket No. 76-088b).	Proposed requirements for installation and use of oil-water separator (33 CFR pt. 157).	.....do	FR October 1978.
Deepwater Port Safety Zone Regulation (docket No. CGD 76-096).	Would establish regulations for safety zones in the vicinity of deep water ports (33 CFR pt. 150).	Mr. F. Martin, 202-426-2606	NPRM September 1978.
Review: Lights on Barges (docket No. CGD 76-109).	Would extend requirements for flashing yellow lights at heads of tows to all inland waters (33 CFR 80.16).	Mr. W. McGovern, 202-426-4958	Do.
Review: Revision to subch. N (docket No. CGD 76-125).	Proposed general revisions to the subch. N, artificial islands and fixed structures on the Outer Continental Shelf (33 CFR pt. 140).	CDR Cronk, 202-426-5160	Do.
Review: Applicability of Western Rivers/Inland Rules (Chief Counsel's interpretive rules) (docket No. CGD 76-129).	Would define where the inland and western rivers rules apply on the Port Allen-Morgan City alternate and landside routes (33 CFR 80.04 and 33 CFR 95.02).	Mr. W. McGovern, 202-426-4958	FR August 1978.
Review: Approval Procedures—Shapes (docket No. CGD 76-131).	Would prescribe approval procedures for the manufacture of day shapes required by the 72 COLREGS (33 CFR pt. 87).	.....do	NPRM November 1978.
Review: Approval Procedures Whistles (docket No. CGD 76-132).	Would prescribe approval procedures for the manufacture of whistles required by the 72 COLREGS (33 CFR pt. 87).	.....do	Do.
Review: Amendments to Vessel Numbering Requirements (docket No. CGD 76-155).	Would make minor changes to the vessel numbering and accident reporting requirements to make them more consistent and efficient (33 CFR pts. 173, 174).	LT Gauthier, 202-426-4176	FR September 1978.
Review: Certification Expiration Date Stickers for Small Passenger Vessels (docket No. CGD 76-162).	Would inform passengers of expired approvals for small passenger vessels. FR published July 1978 (43 FR 30771) (46 CFR pt. 176).	Mr. J. Crawford, 202-472-7964	Action complete.
Review: Casualty Reporting (docket No. 76-170).	Would update the regulation by changing the monetary and other damage criteria (46 CFR 4.05).	CAPT Hampton, 202-472-7964	NPRM September 1978.
Review: Licensing of Deck Officers (docket No. CGD 76-173).	Proposed general revision and updating of licensing requirements for deck and engineer officers to include provision of the Intergovernmental Maritime Consultative Organization conference on standard of training and watchkeeping (46 CFR 10.05).	LCDR Norman, 202-426-2240	NPRM April 1979.
Review: Licensing of Engineering Officers (docket No. CGD 76-174).	Proposed revision and updating of licensing requirements for deck and engineer officers to include provision of the Intergovernmental Maritime Consultative Organization Conference (46 CFR 10.10).	.....do	NPRM December 1978.
Visual Distress Signals for Boats (docket No. CGD 76-183).	Would require visual distress signals to be carried on boats when operating on coastal waters and on the high seas. NPRM published 43 FR 15118 (33 CFR pt. 175).	LT Gauthier, 202-426-4176	FR December 1978.
Visual Distress Signals for Boats (docket No. CGD 76-183a).	Would establish approval specifications for certain new visual distress signals to be required in CGD 76-183 (above entry) (33 CFR pt. 175 and 46 CFR pt. 160).	.....do	NPRM September 1978.
Review: Use of Dangerous Articles as Ships' Stores and Supplies on Board Vessels (docket No. CGD 76-191).	Proposed storage and labeling requirements (46 CFR pt. 147)	Mr. J. McAnulty, 202-426-1587	NPRM December 1978.
Review: Radar Observer Endorsement for Personnel (docket No. CGD 76-193a).	Would require specialized training in use of radar equipment. This will be a supplemental notice based on a document published earlier (46 CFR pt. 10).	CDR Hess, 202-426-2251	NPRM August 1978.
Review: Reexamination Procedures for Unlicensed Ratings (docket No. CGD 76-203).	Would eliminate confusion about reexamination procedures (46 CFR pt. 12).	CDR Hess, 202-426-1577	FR September 1978.
Review: Shipboard Fumigation Standards (docket No. CGD 76-206).	Proposed operational requirements for fumigation procedures on vessels (46 CFR pt. 147A).	LT Norris, 202-426-1577	NPRM August 1978.
Review: Exemption for Cargo Vessels in Alaska Serving Remote Villages, (docket No. CGD 76-223).	Would allow special uses for specific vessels serving in the Alaskan trade (46 CFR pts. 6, 30, 42, 43, 70, 90, and 151; 33 CFR pt. 1).	LCDR Sampson, 202-426-2183	NPRM October 1978.
Requirement to Stop to Permit Boarding (docket No. CGD 76-232).	Would require boat operations to stop when ordered to do so by Coast Guard boarding officer (33 CFR pt. 177).	ENS Strickland, 202-426-4176	NPRM August 1978.
Review: Administrative Amendment to Delete Incorrect References and Add New Proper Reference (docket No. CGD 77-014).	Would revise incorrect reference in the code of Federal Regulations (46 CFR pt. 2).	LT Rock, 202-426-2183	FR August 1978.
Second Radar and Withdraws Collision Avoidance Radar (docket No. 77-016).	Would require second radar on large vessels. FR published July 1978 (43 FR 32112) (33 CFR pt. 164).	Mr. F. Schwer, 202-426-4958	Action complete.

U.S. COAST GUARD—Continued
NONSIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS—continued

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
Review: Marine Safety Investigations (docket No. CGD 77-018).	Would implement investigation authority under Ports and Waterways Safety Act (33 CFR pt. 168).	LCDR Bonekemper, 202-426-1927	NPRM August 1978.
Ocean Dumping Surveillance Equipment Requirements (new part) (docket No. CGD 77-029).	Would establish equipment requirements to conduct surveillance to prevent unlawful dumping of material into ocean waters (33 CFR pt. 158).	LT Voyik 202-426-9573	Do.
Casualty Reporting Regulations Elimination of Dual Reporting Requirements (docket No. CGD 77-036).	Would eliminate a duplicative report FR issued June 1978 (43 FR 25820) (46 CFR pt. 4).	LT Regalbuto, 202-426-1445	Action complete.
Review: Suspension and Revocation Proceedings—Consolidation of Regulations (docket No. CGD 77-037).	Would combine disparate regulations into a unified whole (46 CFR pts. 1 and 5).	.....do	FR September 1978.
Review: Semiportable Fire Extinguishers (docket No. 77-039).	Would amend specification to allow approval of wheeled units for use on stable platforms (46 CFR 162.039).	Mr. F. Thompson, 202-426-2174	NPRM August 1978.
Review: Manning of Uninspected Towing Vessels (docket No. CGD 77-062).	Would clarify the applicability of the three watch system to towing vessels (46 CFR pt. 157).	CDR Pensom, 202-426-2240	NPRM September 1978.
Review: Designation of Oceanographic Vessels (docket No. CGD 77-081).	Would delegate means of designating an oceangraphic research vessel to officers in charge, marine inspection (OCMI) (46 CFR pt. 188).	.....do	NPRM August 1978.
Review: Amendment to Clarify (docket No. CGD 77-083).	Editorial correction (46 CFR 157.15.5; 46 CFR pt. 157)	.....do	FR October 1978.
Operational Specification for Radar (docket No. CGD 77-085).	Would develop specifications for radar systems on vessels over 1,600 gross tons (33 CFR pt. 164).	Mr. W. McGovern, 202-426-4958	NPRM December 1978.
VTS New York, N.Y. (docket No. CGD 77-087).	Would establish regulations for vessel traffic service in New York Harbor (33 CFR pt. 161).	Mr. F. Schwer, 202-426-4958	FR December 1978.
Realignment of CCGD12 COTP/OCMU Zones (docket No. CGD 77-088).	Would realign captain of the ports/officer in charge, marine inspection zones in 12th Coast Guard district. FR issued June 1978 (43 FR 25955) (33 CFR 3.60).	Mr. Molessa, 202-426-4958	Action complete.
Requirement for First Purchaser List Kept by Boat Dealers (docket No. CGD 77-115).	Would require boat dealers to assist in creating a list of retail purchasers so manufacturers could send notice to alert of safety defects (33 CFR pt. 179).	CDR Greenough, 202-426-1757	NPRM August 1978.
Review: Optional Numbering Requirements (docket No. CGD 77-117).	Would make certain vessel numbering requirements applicable to States optional for the States (33 CFR pts. 173 and 174).	LT Gauthier 202-426-4176	NPRM September 1978.
Numbering Requirements for Leased Vessel (docket No. CGD 77-117a).	Would increase to 7 days the period during which owner of a based vessel can keep the certificate of number. FR issued June 1978 (43 FR 17940) (33 CFR pt. 173).	.....do	Action complete.
Review: Waterfront Facilities (docket No. CGD 77-128).	Would revise waterfront facility regulations by consolidating and updating general regulations. ANPRM 43 FR 15108 (33 CFR pt. 126).	LCDR Bonekemper, 202-426-1927	NPRM June 1979.
Review: Amendments to Alternative Compliance (docket No. CGD 77-136).	Would provide editorial improvement and clarification of existing regulations (33 CFR pt. 87).	Mr. W. McGovern, 202-426-4958	FR December 1978.
Review: Barge Loadline Exemptions (docket No. CGD 77-135).	Would provide exemption for river barges operating on waters between Chicago and Burns Harbor and between St. Marks and Carabelle (46 CFR pt. 42).	Mr. D. Ewing, 202-426-2187	NPRM September 1978.
Specification for Flotation Materials (docket No. CGD 77-145).	Would establish performance specification for foam flotation material in boats to resist fuel and solvents (33 CFR pt. 183).	Mr. L. Cranholm, 202-426-4027	FR August 1978.
Review: Acceptance of ASME, U, or UM stamped class I, II, and III presure vessels (docket No. CGD 77-147).	Would accept pressure vessels bearing the ASME "U" or "UM" stamp without U.S. Coast Guard inspection (46 CFR pt. 54).	Mr. H. Hime, 202-426-2160	NPRM September 1978.
Review: Amendments to Customs Regulations for Boats (docket No. CGD 77-157).	Would amend the joint Treasury-Coast Guard Customs regulations for imported boats. Minor revision to improve administrations of the regulation (19 CFR pt. 12).	ENS Ziegenfuss, 202-426-1757	Do.
Damage Stability Information on Cargo Ships (docket No. CGD 77-161).	Would require masters of vessels to have damage stability information on board (46 CFR pt. 93).	LTJG McKay/ Mr. J. Howell, 202-426-2187	ANPRM October 1978.
Review: Appointment to Officer Status (docket No. CGD 77-165).	Would reflect changing requirements for commissioning licensed officers of the Merchant Marine (33 CFR pt. 33),	LCDR Zawadzki 202-426-0935	NPRM September 1978.
Electronic Navigation Equipment (docket No. CGD 77-168).	Would require Loran-C or alternative system on all vessels 1600 gross tons and greater (33 CFR pt. 164).	Mr. F. Schwer, 202-426-4958	Supplemental NPRM October 1978.
Review: Establishment of Second-Class Ocean Operator (docket No. CGD 77-176).	Would establish qualification for a second operator on small passenger vessels required to have more than one ocean operator on board. NPRM published 43 FR 12218 (46 CFR pts. 157, 186, and 187).	CDR Pensom, 202-426-2240	FR October 1978.
Written Warning for Violation of Marine Sanitation Device Regulations (docket No. CGD 77-182).	Would inform the public of CG policy of issuing warnings for first time violations of marine sanitation device regulations FR issued May 1978 (43 FR 22657) (33 CFR pt. 1).	LT Welsh, 202-426-4176	Action complete.
Review: Amend Navigation Safety Regulations (docket No. CGD 77-183).	Would relax some navigation requirements for Great Lakes and provide editorial corrections (33 CFR pt. 164).	Mr. F. Schwer, 202-426-4958	NPRM August 1978.
Review: Exception for Standards for Raceboats (docket No. CGD 77-191).	Would exempt raceboats from standards meant for purely recreational boats. ANPRM published 43 FR 22411 (33 CFR pt. 183).	Mr. Gray, 202-426-4027	NPRM October 1978.
Designation of Confined or Congested Waters (docket No. CGD 77-196).	Would establish list of waters to be designated as "confined or congested"—in accordance with navigation safety regulations (33 CFR pt. 164).	Mr. C. Liana, 202-426-4958	NPRM September 1978.
Review: Second Class Operators for Towing Vessels (docket No. CGD 77-204).	Would allow for able seaman service on ocean going vessels to be credited toward second class towboat license. NPRM published 43 FR 22653 (46 CFR pt. 10).	LCDR Norman, 202-426-2240	FR September 1978.
Delaware Bay Regulated Navigation Area (docket No. CGD 77-211).	Would establish equipment requirements and operating restrictions on vessels transiting Delaware Bay and River (33 CFR pt. 161).	Mr. D. Ziegfeld, 202-426-1934	FR December 1978.
Notification of Tank Vesel Ownership Information and Name (docket No. CGD 77-213).	Would require tank vessel owners/operators to advise USCG of identity and ownership of tank vessels entering navigable waters of U.S. NPRM published 43 FR 15583 (33 CFR pt. 161).	LCDR Bonekemper, 202-426-1927	FR October 1978.

U.S. COAST GUARD—Continued
NONSIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS—continued

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
Review: Inland Waterways Navigation Regulations (docket No. CGD 77-217).	Would provide editorial changes to certain regulations previously issued by the Corps of Engineers and transferred to the Coast Guard under the Ports and Waterways Safety Act of 1972. (33 CFR pt. 162).	ENS Molessa, 202-426-4958	FR September 1978.
Review: Oxygen Resuscitators on Chemical Tankers (docket No. CGD 77-222).	Would clarify what is an acceptable resuscitator (46 CFR pt. 153).	Mr. R. Query, 202-426-1217	Do.
Review: Port Security Regulations Update (docket No. CGD 77-228).	Would eliminate outdated material in port security regulations (33 CFR pts. 6, 121, 124, 125, and 127).	LTJG Dickman, 202-426-1927	FR August 1978.
Review: Halon 1301 fire Extinguishing Systems for Merchant Vessels (docket No. CGD 77-232).	Would allow Halon 1301 for specific types of installation (46 CFR 164.017).	Mr. R. Eberly, 202-426-2197	NPRM September 1978.
Navigation Lights for Small Vessels (docket No. CGD 77-233).	Would specify approval procedures and installation requirements for international rules navigation lights for small vessels (33 CFR pt. 89).	Mr. C. Llana, 202-426-4958	NPRM August 1978.
Administrative Change COTP/MIO LA-LB (docket No. CGD 77-234).	Would correct address of relocated Marine Inspection Office. FR issued May 1978 (43 FR 18553) (33 CFR 3.55).	Mr. Molessa, 202-426-4958	Action complete.
Review: Administrative Update COTP Areas (docket No. CGD 77-241).	Would make editorial changes to listing of certain captain of the port aareas (33 CFR pt. 3).	ENS Molessa, 202-426-4958	FR December 1978.
Review: Loran Position Transmitting Device (docket No. CGD 77-243).	Would amend Prince Williams Sound vessel traffic regulations to require loran position transmitting devices (33 CFR pt. 82).	Mr. F. Schwer, 202-426-4958	NPRM September 1978
Great Lakes Winter Navigation (docket No. CGD 77-246).	Would establish three regulated navigation areas for Great Lakes (33 CFR pt. 128).	Mr. D. Ziegfeld, 202-426-1934	NPRM August 1978.
Review: Safety Orientation for Passenger Vessels (docket No. CGD 78-009).	Would alert passengers to locations and use of safety devices. NPRM published 43 FR 28426 (46 CFR pts. 185 and 26).	LT Rock, 202-426-2183	FR December 1978.
PFD Thread Specifications (docket No. CGD 78-012).	Would amend personal flotation device specification to allow use of alternative threads in several seams. FR issued June 1978 (43 FR 27152) (46 CFR pt. 160).	Mr. F. Thompson, 202-426-2173	Action complete.
Great Lakes Pilotage Rate Increase (docket No. CGD 78-016).	Would increase U.S. pilotage rates by 10 percent, retroactive to Apr. 1, 1978, in all three pilotage districts, except the Welland Canal. FR issued June 1978 (43 FR 24996) (46 CFR pt. 401).	Mr. J. Hartke, 202-755-8683	Do.
Review: Waterfront Facilities Update (docket No. 78-023).	Would eliminate errors and outdated cross references in existing waterfront facilities regulations (33 CFR pt. 126).	LCDR Bonekemper, 202-426-1927	FR August 1978.
Requests for Temporary Documents (docket No. CGD 78-024, 78-24a).	Would allow a merchant seaman to request a temporary document pending an appeal of a suspension to the commandant, and publish a list of administrative law judges (46 CFR pts. 5 and 7).	LCDR O'Pezio, 202-426-2215	Do.
Removable Hazardous Substances, Pollution Prevention for Vessels and Marine Transfer Facilities (docket No. CGD 78-032).	Would establish regulations for pollution prevention for removable hazardous substances for vessels and marine transfer facilities (33 CFR pts. 154, 155, and 156).	LCDR Busavage, 202-426-9578	ANPRM September 1978
Review: Amendment to Boat Capacity labels (docket No. CGD 78-034).	Would make information in safe loading capacity labels clearer and easier to use (33 CFR pt. 183).	Mr. L. Granholm, 202-426-4027	NPRM September 1978.
Review: Safety Standards for Boat Gasoline Fuel Systems (docket No. CGD 78-036).	Would extend application dates of certain parts of regulation, to permit time for equipment changes (33 CFR pt. 183).	.....do	FR August 1978.
LNG Facility Regulations (docket No. CGD 78-038).	Would establish Liquified natural gas waterfront facility safety regulations in accordance with memorandum of understanding between USCG and MTB (33 CFR pt. 126).	LCDR Bonekemper, 202-426-1927	ANPRM August 1978.
Oil and Nonremovable Hazardous Substances. Pollution Prevention Vessels and Marine Transfer Facilities (docket No. CGD 78-039).	Would establish regulations for pollution prevention for nonremovable hazardous substances for vessels and marine transfer facilities (33 CFR pts. 154, 155, and 156).	LCDR Busavage, 202-426-9578	ANPRM September 1978
Review: COTP Houston, Editorial Changes (docket No. CGD 78-051).	Would make an editorial change to the description of the Captain of the Port Houston area (33 CFR pt. 163).	ENS Molessa, 202-426-4958	FR September 1978.
Tows Navigating the Pass Manchac Bridge, La. (docket No. CGD 78-050).	Would provide for regulation of tows navigating the waters in the vicinity of the Pass Monchac Bridge, La. (33 CFR pt. 162).	.....do	NPRM November 1978.
Review: COLREGS 72, Demarcation Line (docket No. CGD 78-052).	Would change regulations to provide for amendment to COLREGS 72 establishing demarcation line in Florida (33 CFR pt. 82).	.....do	NPRM October 1978.
Review: Safety Standards for Boat Electrical Systems (docket No. CGD 78-070).	Extends application date for ignition protection requirements (33 CFR pt. 183).	Mr. L. Granholm, 202-426-4027	FR August 1978.
Review: Appointment of the Permanent Commissioned Teaching Staff (docket No. CGD 78-077).	Would delete regulatory language to conform to the admissions process desired by the Superintendent, U.S. Coast Guard Academy (33 CFR pts. 33, 40).	LT Lee, 202-426-9866	FR September 1978.
Crane Operator Qualifications for Fixed Installations, Industrial Vessels and Mobile Drilling Units on the Outer Continental Shelf.	Would develop required qualifications for crane operators employed on the Outer Continental Shelf (33 CFR pts. 146 and 46 CFR pt. 92).	CDR Cronk, 202-472-5160	ANPRM December 1978.
Deepwater Port Liability Fund Requirements.	Would implement provisions of the Deepwater Port Act of 1974 to establish and administer liability limits and compensation relative to accidental oil spills at deepwater port sites (33 CFR pt. 150).	Mr. F. Martin, 202-426-2606	ANPRM March 1979.

ROUTINE AND FREQUENT NONSIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS

Title	Total	Contact	Decision date
Safety Security Zone Regulations	10	LCDR R. Frank, 202-426-1927	June 1978 to June 1979.
Anchorage Area Regulations	16	LCDR H. Snow, 202-426-1940	Do.

U.S. Coast Guard—Continued

ROUTINE AND FREQUENT NONSIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS—continued

Title	Total	Contact	Decision date
Drawbridge Regulations	90	Mr. F. Teuton, 202-426-1380	Do.

Federal Aviation Administration

SIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
Sec. 30 Regulations—Civil Rights (docket No. 16419).	A. *Description:* Develop regulations to implement sec. 30 of the Airport and Airway Development Act of 1970, as amended by the Airport and Airway Development Act Amendments of 1976 (Act). The regulations would assure that no person is excluded on the grounds of race, creed, color, national origin, or sex from participating in any project for airport development, airport master planning, or airport system planning conducted with or benefiting from funds received from a grant made under the Act. B. *Why significant:* The proposed regulations implementing sec. 30 are considered significant inasmuch as there is substantial public interest and they involve important Department policy. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* To assure that minorities and minority businesses are afforded full and equal opportunity with respect to employment contracting, and leasing of concessions on airports operated by sponsors receiving grants from airport development projects. E. *Legal basis:* Sec. 30 of the Airport and Airway Development Act of 1970 (49 U.S.C. 1730) and § 1.47(f)(1) of the regulations of the Office of the Secretary of Transportation (49 CFR 1.47(f)(1)). F. *Chronology:* The proposed regulations were set forth in a notice of proposed rulemaking (notice No. 77-1) on Jan. 13, 1977 (42 FR 2850). The regulations are proposed to be included in existing pt. 152 as new subpt. E—nondiscrimination in airport aid program. The public was invited to comment on the proposed rule by Mar. 14, 1977, which date was twice extended (to May 20, 1977), upon numerous requests from the public. G. *Citation:* 14 CFR pt. 152.	Paul Galis, 202-426-3831	FR December 1978.
Deletion of Three-Pointer Altimeter (docket No. 17151).	A. *Description:* Proposed revision to prohibit the use of three-pointer altimeters on all turbine engine powered airplanes. B. *Why significant:* This proposal is considered to be a significant regulation because it may generate substantial public interest and require considerable funds to be expended by owners/operators of turbine engine powered airplanes. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will be required. D. *Need:* To evaluate recommended action of special air safety advisory groups that use of three-pointer altimeters on large turbine-powered airplanes be prohibited. E. *Legal basis:* Secs. 313(a), 601, and 604 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, and 1424), sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c). F. *Chronology:* Prohibition of three-pointer altimeters from use in airplanes was first recommended by the special air safety advisory group at the completion of its survey of the cockpit environment in air carrier aircraft in 1975. Thereafter, the matter was researched and it was deemed necessary to solicit comments from interested persons. Accordingly, advance notice of proposed rulemaking (ANPRM) No. 77-18 was published in the Federal Register on Aug. 29, 1977 (42 FR 43408), with a comment closing date of Nov. 28, 1977. An evaluation of comments received in response to that ANPRM indicated that an NPRM should be issued. Accordingly, a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) is being prepared. G. *Citation:* 14 CFR pts. 23, 25, 91, 121, and 135.	Joe Sullivan, 755-8716	NPRM November 1978.
Flammability Standard for Crewmember Uniforms (docket No. 14451).	A. *Description:* Proposed revision to establish flammability specifications for crewmember uniforms that will provide protection against heat and flame. B. *Why significant:* This proposal is considered significant rulemaking project due to substantial public interest and potential cost to airlines. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will be required. D. *Need:* To establish basic flammability specifications for crewmember uniforms, since clothing now used is made of conventional fabrics which may be ignited under many of the emergency conditions that may result. E. *Legal basis:* Secs. 313(a), 601, and 604, Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421(a), 1422), 6(c) DOT Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)). F. *Chronology:* Prior to April 1974, a number of informal conferences were held with members of the public including the Association of Flight Attendants (AFA), regarding flammability of flight attendant uniforms. A project was established to examine AFA claims regarding uniform flammability. National Bureau of Standards (NBS) Center for Fire Research was selected as	Joe Sullivan, 755-8715	NPRM December 1978.

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION—Continued

SIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS—continued

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
	research contractor. An advance notice of proposed rulemaking (ANPRM) No. 75-13 was issued Mar. 16, 1975 (40 FR 11737) to solicit public information and comments. A follow-on contract was established with the NBS to evaluate comments and conduct further testing. Contract in effect March 1978. G. *Citation:* 14 CFR pt. 121.		
Parts Manufacturer Approvals (docket No. 17147).	A. *Description:* Proposes to revise the parts manufacturer approval application and reporting requirements and provisions related to showing identicalness of parts. B. *Why significant:* The proposed revision is considered to be significant because it is controversial. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* Differences of opinion exist with respect to the methods available for showing identicalness of parts. Also the parts manufacturer approval application and reporting requirements may be unnecessarily burdensome. E. *Legal basis:* Secs. 313(a), 601, and 603 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, and 1423). F. *Chronology:* Project No. 76-257-R was initiated Dec. 23, 1975. NPRM No. 77-19 published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (42 FR 43985). Comment period later reopened until Jan. 4, 1978 (NPRM No. 77-19A, 42 FR 61048), and again reopened until May 15, 1978 (NPRM No. 77-19B, 43 FR 15432). Portions of NPRM dealing with other subjects to be handled separately. G. *Citation:* 14 CFR pt. 21.	Joe Sullivan, 202-755-8716	FR March 1979.
Post Crash Fuel Fires (docket No. 12274).	A. *Description:* Proposed rulemaking would have established standards for fuel systems to prevent postcrash explosions or fires. B. *Why significant:* This was a significant proposal because it would have required all existing and newly manufactured turbine engine powered transport airplanes to have protective fuel systems installed by specified dates. The proposal has generated considerable public interest and controversy with respect to technological and economic impacts, and the adequacy of the proposals. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* To determine practicability and availability of aircraft systems and techniques for reducing the hazards of fuel system fires and explosions associated with survivable turbine powered transport category airplane accidents. E. *Legal basis:* Secs. 313(a), 601, 603, and 604, Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423, and 1424), sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)). F. *Chronology:* A petition for rulemaking was received from aviation consumer action project (ACAP) in September 1977, to require installation and use of nitrogen inerting systems on transport category aircraft. NPRM 74-16 (39 FR 12260; Apr. 4, 1974) was issued in March 1974. NPRM 74-16A, issued in May 1974 (39 FR 13288; May 24, 1974). NPRM 77-16B, issued in April 1977 (42 FR 21202, Apr. 25, 1977). A public hearing was held in June 1978 on these NPRM's. The comment period on these notices closed in August 1978. The proposed regulations will be withdrawn in August 1971, pending further study by the agency and an advisory committee. G. *Citation:* 14 CFR pts. 25 and 121.	Joe Sullivan, 755-8716	Withdrawal August 1978.
Aircraft Wheels and Wheel-Brake Assemblies.	A. *Description:* The objective is to upgrade the requirements for wheels and brakes for small and transport airplanes. B. *Why significant:* This is a significant proposal due to substantial public interest because wheel and brake integrity is considered critical to the safety of rejected takeoffs. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* Service experience indicates a possible need to improve the standards applicable to aircraft wheels and wheel-brake assemblies. E. *Legal basis:* Secs. 313(a) and 601 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958. (49 U.S.C. 1354(a) and 1421). F. *Chronology:* Project initiated Sept. 7, 1977, to develop a notice of proposed rulemaking. G. *Citation:* 14 CFR pts. 23, 25, and 37.	Joe Sullivan, 202-755-8716	NPRM September 1978.
Lithium Sulfur Dioxide Batteries.....	A. *Description:* Proposed airworthiness directive (AD) requiring removal of lithium sulfur dioxide (LiSO) batteries from all aircraft including those installed in emergency locater transmitters (ELT's), and companion technical standard order (TSO) prescribing standards for acceptable batteries. B. *Why significant:* Considered significant project due to considerable public interest. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will be required. D. *Need:* Service experience indicates that current LiSO batteries are susceptible to a number of potentially dangerous problems. E. *Legal basis:* Secs. 313(a), 601, and 603 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958. (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, and 1423). F. *Chronology:* Regional and headquarters team established July 1976, to write draft LiSO TSO. Draft completed December 1976. TSO updated August 1977. Proposed AD and TSO combined in one regulatory package December 1977. G. *Citation:* 14 CFR pts. 37 and 39.	Joe Sullivan, 202-755-8716	NPRM November 1978.

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION—Continued

SIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS—continued

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
Compartment Interior Material Toxic Gas Emission (docket No. 14230).	A. *Description:* Project objective was to determine whether regulations should be amended to include requirements pertaining to toxic gas emission characteristics of compartment materials. B. *Why significant:* This was a significant proposal due to substantial public interest and because postmortem examination often indicated that the blood of accident victims contains lethal amounts of toxic gases emitted by burning materials. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* To determine need for standards governing the toxic gas emission characteristics of compartment interior materials used in transport category airplanes. E. *Legal basis:* Secs. 313(a), 601, and 603 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, and 1423, and of sec. 6(c) of Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)). F. *Chronology:* An advance notice of proposed rulemaking 74-38 was issued on Dec. 19, 1974 (39 FR 453044, Dec. 31, 1974). Public hearing on compartment materials was held Nov. 14-17, 1977. The proposed regulations will be withdrawn pending further study by the agency and an advisory committee. G. *Citation:* 14 CFR pt. 2.	Joe Sullivan, 755-8716	Withdrawal August 1978.
Aircraft Tires	A. *Description:* The objective of this project is to determine if the aircraft tire standards in the regulations should be upgraded, and if so, the details of the upgrading. B. *Why significant:* This is considered a significant project due to substantial public interest because tire integrity is considered critical to takeoff roll ground handling conditions. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* Service experience indicates a possible need to improve the standards applicable to aircraft tires. E. *Legal basis:* Secs. 313(a) and 601 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a) and 1421). F. *Chronology:* Project initiated November 1977. G. *Citation:* 14 CFR pt. 37.167.	Joe Sullivan, 202-755-8716	NPRM December 1978.
Air Ambulance Standards (docket No. 17045).	A. *Description:* Proposed standards for air transportation of persons requiring medical attention or care enroute. B. *Why significant:* The proposed regulation was considered significant because there is substantial public interest in it. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* To insure that the medical needs of persons requiring medical attention while in flight are met without compromising safety. E. *Legal basis:* Secs. 313(a), 601, and 604, Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, and 1424); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)). F. *Chronology:* DOT working group formed to develop standards during December 1974, two public hearings held in July 1975, and an ANPRM 77-14 (42 FR 37825, July 25, 1977) was issued in July 1977. Based on the comments received, the FAA has reconsidered this matter and the proposed regulation will be withdrawn. G. *Citation:* 14 CFR pt. 135.	Joe Sullivan, 755-8716	Withdrawal August 1978.
Review: FAR Pt. 91 Upgrade	A. *Description:* To upgrade standards applicable to the operation of certain aircraft, when not operated as an air carrier. B. *Why significant:* This is considered significant due to substantial public interest in the constraints to be proposed for safer operations of large aircraft under pt. 91. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* Experience indicates that these proposed regulations are necessary to replace the current regulations with clearer regulations that are based upon safety, rather than economic criteria. E. *Legal basis:* Secs. 313(a) and 601-610 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a) and 1421-1430) and sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)). F. *Chronology:* Project approved in March 1978. G. *Citation:* 14 CFR pt. 91.	Joe Sullivan, 775-8716	NPRM October 1978.
Aircraft Cabin Ozone Contamination (docket No. 16854).	A. *Description:* Proposed revision to limit the concentration of high altitude ozone allowed in an aircraft cabin. B. *Why significant:* The notice of proposed rulemaking is considered a significant project because it involves an area of substantial public interest and will be controversial. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* To alleviate physical discomfort to crewmembers and passengers, due to ozone gas, or high altitude flights. E. *Legal basis:* Secs. 313, 601, 603, and 604, Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended (49 U.S.C. 1354, 1421, 1423, and 1424); and sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)). F. *Chronology:* In winter of 1976, FAA became aware of crew and passenger complaints of discomfort on high altitude flights and in March 1977, ozone gas was believed to be probable cause. An Advisory Circular, No. 00-52, Ozone Irritation During High Altitude Flight, was published July 21, 1977, defining ozone, its causes, and its symptoms. On May 26, 1977, FAA initiated a project to study the short- and long-term health effects of exposure to high altitude ozone. An ANPRM was issued on Oct. 6, 1977 (42 FR 54427), seeking information from all interested persons. A notice of proposed rulemaking is being developed. G. *Citation:* 14 CFR pts. 25 and 121.	Joe Sullivan, 775-8716	NPRM September 1978.

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION—Continued
SIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS—continued

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
Review: Operations Review Notice No. 78-3 (docket No. 17669).	A. *Description:* Proposes to revise the flight and duty time limitations and rest requirements for flight crewmembers used by domestic, flag, and supplemental air carriers, commercial operators of large aircraft, and air travel clubs. B. *Why significant:* This proposal is considered a significant regulation because of the controversy associated with the complexity and enforcement problems of the current rules. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* This proposal is needed to eliminate the complexity of the current regulations and to assure that flight and duty time limitations are based upon today's operating environment. E. *Legal basis:* Secs. 313(a), 601, and 604 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, and 1424) and sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)). F. *Chronology:* The proposals contained in this notice are based on related proposals discussed at the December 1975, Operations Review Conference. The notice was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on Feb. 27, 1978, with a closing date of May 30, 1978, for public comments. Initial comment period was extended to July 14, 1978, with reply comments allowed on or before Aug. 18, 1978 (43 FR 22540, May 25, 1978). G. *Citation:* 14 CFR pts. 121 and 123.	Joe Sullivan, 755-8716	FR May 1979.
Review: Operations Review Notice No. 13.	A. *Description:* Proposes to establish regulations for flight and duty time limitations and rest requirements for flight attendants used by domestic, flag, and supplemental air carriers, commercial operators of large aircraft, and air travel clubs. B. *Why significant:* This proposal is considered a significant regulation because there is substantial public interest in it. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* Because flight attendants perform important duties relating to the safety of flight, flight and duty time limitations and rest requirements are necessary to prevent excessive fatigue from adversely affecting the performance of those duties. E. *Legal basis:* Secs. 313(a), 601, and 604 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, and 1424) and sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)). F. *Chronology:* The proposals contained in this notice are based on related proposals discussed at the December 1975, Operations Review Conference. G. *Citation:* 14 CFR pts. 121 and 123.	Joe Sullivan, 755-8716	NPRM December 1978.
Review: Part 135 Regulatory review (docket No. 16097).	A. *Description:* Proposes to substantially revise the requirements for operations conducted by air taxi and commercial operators of small aircraft to provide a higher level of safety. B. *Why significant:* This amendment is considered a significant regulation because of the costs and the controversy associated with the general upgrading of the current rules. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* The amendments are necessary because there has been considerable growth in the air taxi industry in recent years and many operators have requested that they be allowed to operate large and more complex aircraft. E. *Legal basis:* Secs. 313(a), 314(a), 601 through 610, and 1102 of the Federal Aviation Act (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1355(a), 1421 through 1430, and 1502); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)). F. *Chronology:* This amendment resulted from proposals discussed at the November 1976, pt. 135 Regulatory Review Conference. Notice 77-17 was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on Aug. 29, 1977 (42 FR 43490) with a closing date of Nov. 28, 1977, for public comment. Comments have been analyzed and evaluated and a draft amendment is being prepared. G. *Citation:* 14 CFR pt. 135.	Joe Sullivan, 755-8716	FR September 1978.
Review: Pt. 135 Regulatory Review Program: Additional Airworthiness Requirements for 10 or More Passenger Small Airplanes Over 12,500 Pounds (docket No. 17325).	A. *Description:* This proposal would amend the airworthiness standards for certain turbopropeller powered multiengine small airplanes to allow their certification and operation at weights above 12,500 lb. B. *Why significant:* This proposal is considered significant because it will generate substantial public interest since it represents a departure from a long-standing line of demarcation between "large" and "small" airplanes, insofar as airworthiness standards and operating rules are concerned. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* The need for the regulation is related to providing for more efficient aircraft utilization. E. *Legal basis:* Secs. 313(a), 601, 603, and 604 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423, and 1424). F. *Chronology:* Advance notice 77-25 (40 FR 56702; Oct. 27, 1977) dealt with the general question of the need for a new FAR airworthiness part for use by commuter air carriers. The maximum weight issue is one of many that are involved. G. *Citation:* 14 CFR pts. 21, 23, and 135.	Joe Sullivan, 202-755-8714	NPRM August 1978.
Review: Airworthiness Review Amendment No. 8: Miscellaneous and Procedural Amendments (docket No. 14779).	A. *Description:* Proposed amendments to improve and update the airworthiness standards that apply to the type certification of aircraft, engines, propellers, related operating and maintenance rules, and procedural requirements.	Joe Sullivan, 202-755-8716	FR February 1978.

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION—Continued

SIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS—continued

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
	B. *Why significant:* This group of amendments is considered significant because it includes a number of costly and controversial amendments (dealing generally with cabin safety) that require modification of aircraft in service. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* The need to update and improve the Federal aviation regulations as covered in this group of amendments, was emphasized at public conferences held in conjunction with the airworthiness review program. E. *Legal basis:* Secs. 307, 313(a), 502, 601, 603, 604, and 605 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354(a), 1402, 1421, 1423, 1424, and 1425). F. *Chronology:* Notice 75-31 (40 FR 29140) appeared in the FEDERAL REGISTER on July 11, 1975. The final amendment is being prepared. G. *Citation:* 14 CFR pts. 1, 21, 23, 25, 27, 29, 31, 33, 35, 43, 45, 91, and 121.		
Review: Security of Persons and Property in Air Transportation (docket No. 16245).	A. *Description:* Proposed revision of regulations to update, clarify, and add provisions to the regulations to provide more effective security for persons and property in air transportation. B. *Why significant:* May involve substantial public interest or controversy. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* The amendment is intended to update and clarify airport security regulations, and to provide more effective protection of persons and property in air transportation or intrastate air transportation against acts of criminal violence and aircraft piracy. E. *Legal basis:* Secs. 313, 315, 316, and 601, Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended (49 U.S.C. 1354, 1356, 1357, and 1421); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)). F. *Chronology:* Started June 30, 1975. NPRM issued June 1977 (42 FR 30766; June 16, 1977). G. *Citation:* 14 CFR pt. 107.	Richard A. Noble, 202-426-8768	FR August 1978.
SST Noise Rule (docket No. 15376)	A. *Description:* The rule—(1) requires all SST's except Concordes with flight time before Jan. 1, 1980, to comply with the stage 2 noise limits (an airplane that has been shown to comply with noise limits originally applied to subsonic jets) of 14 CFR pt. 36 in order to operate in the United States; (2) prohibits modifications of current SST types that increase their noise; (3) places operational restrictions on SST's that do not comply with the stage 2 noise limits of pt. 36; and (4) adds procedures adapting the flight test conditions and noise limits of pt. 36 to SST's. A proposal to improve protection of the United States from sonic boom is also included. B. *Why significant:* The rule is considered major because of the high degree of public concern regarding SST noise and the international implications of SST operating limits. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Not required. D. *Need:* These provisions respond to the public need for the control of sonic boom and SST in accordance with sec. 611 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 as amended by the Noise Control Act of 1972. E. *Legal basis:* Secs. 307, 313(a), 601(c), 603, 611, Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended (49 U.S.C. secs. 1348, 1354(a), 1421(a), 1423, and 1431); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. sec. 1655(c); Title I, National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. sec. 4321 et seq.); Executive Order 11524, Mar. 5, 1970. F. *Chronology:* ANPRM 70-33 issued Aug. 4, 1970, requested public input on the general pattern of SST noise. The NPRM was, in part, a response to an Environmental Defense Fund suit on the subject. Notice 75-15, issued Mar. 25, 1975, contained EPA proposals, including 8 options to contest SST Noise. Two public hearings (Los Angeles and Washington) were held in May 1975. Notice 76-1 issued Feb. 6, 1976, contained a further EPA proposal clarifying those in Notice No. 75-15. A public hearing was held in Washington in April 1976. Notice 77-23, issued Oct. 11, 1977, added further FAA proposals to the EPA proposals. Public hearings were held in Hawaii (January 1978) and Los Angeles (February 1978). Final rule was issued on June 26, 1978 (43 FR 28406). G. *Citation:* 14 CFR pts. 21, 36, and 91.	James Densmore, 202-755-9468	Action complete.
Review: Airport Aid Program	A. *Description:* Proposed amendment to simplify and clarify requirements for receipt of aid and to implement certain provisions of the Airport and Airway Development Act Amendments of 1976. B. *Why significant:* This notice of proposed rulemaking is considered a major project because it might involve an area of substantial public interest and controversy. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will be required. D. *Need:* To update airport aid requirements in accordance with Airport and Airway Development Act Amendments. E. *Legal basis:* Airport and Airway Development Act of 1970 (49 U.S.C. 1701). F. *Chronology:* Initiated as a result of final report and recommendations of Airports Task Group, Mar. 10, 1975. G. *Citation:* 14 CFR pt. 152.	Paul Galis, 202-426-3831	NPRM November 1978.

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION—Continued

SIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS—continued

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
Administrative User Charges	A. *Description:* This notice proposes to revise existing FAA fees for aircraft registration and for recording conveyances affecting title to, or any interest in, aircraft. In addition, it proposes to establish fees for FAA certification of pilots, instructors, and other airmen, including medical certification. It is intended that this proposed rule will provide for the recovery of expenses that the FAA incurs in these activities. The proposed action would be in accordance with the sense of the Congress. B. *Why significant:* This proposal is considered a significant project because it involves an area of substantial public interest and controversy. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* This proposal will provide for the recovery of expenses that the FAA incurs in these activities and is in accordance with the sense of Congress. E. *Legal basis:* Secs. 313, 503, 505, 601, and 602, Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended (49 U.S.C. 1354, 1401, 1403, 1421, and 1422); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c), Title V; Independent Offices Appropriation Act of 1952 (31 U.S.C. 483(a)). F. *Chronology:* NPRM published on April 20 (43 FR 16924). Closing date for comments is July 19, 1978. G. *Citation:* 14 CFR pts. 47, 49, 61, 63, 65, 67, 143, and 187.	John M. Rodgers, 426-3420	FR October 1978.
Occupational Safety and Health Regulations.	A. *Description:* The rule as proposed would require inclusion in air carrier manuals of standards, instructions, and information acceptable to the Administrator, which provides protection for occupational health and safety of the certificate holder's employees in the performance of those duties necessary to provide adequately for safety in air commerce. B. *Why significant:* The rule is considered significant because it concerns a matter on which there is substantial public interest or controversy and could have a significant impact on other administrations, other parts of the Department (DOT) and other Federal Agencies (DOL). C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will be required. D. *Need:* To develop regulations concerning employee health and safety. E. *Legal basis:* Secs. 313(a), 601, 603, 604, and 605 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423, 1424, and 1425); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)). F. *Chronology:* The OSHA Act of 1970 was enacted by Congress to assure safe and healthful working conditions for working men and women. Sec. 4(b)(1) of the OSHA Act excludes employees working conditions which are covered by other Federal agencies under statutory authority. On July 7, 1975, the FAA issued "Occupational Safety and Health Standards for Aircraft Crewmembers," Guidance Information (40 FR 29114, July 10, 1975). G. *Citation:* 14 CFR pts. 121, 127, and 135.	Joe Sullivan, 202-755-8716	NPRM January 1979.
Wind Shear	A. *Description:* Proposed revision to require all large passenger-carrying aircraft be equipped with a device that will display wind shear information to the pilots. B. *Why significant:* The advance notice of proposed rulemaking is considered a significant project because it will generate substantial public interest and will be controversial. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will be required. D. *Need:* As a result of several accidents involving wind shear the FAA believes it is necessary to identify equipment that will enable pilots to identify low level wind shear conditions. E. *Legal basis:* Secs. 313(a), 601 and 604 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, and 1424), and sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)). F. *Chronology:* In 1975, the FAA began a 2 year effort to develop a wind shear program. FAA began work to develop a wind shear warning and pilot aiding devices which has achieved encouraged results. G. *Citation:* 14 CFR pt. 121.	Joe Sullivan, 426-8716	ANPRM July 1979.

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION

NONSIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS

Third Attitude Gyro	Proposed amendment to add flight instrument requirement for all multiengine turbojet-powered airplanes not already required to have a third gyroscopic attitude instrument independently powered in case of total aircraft electrical failure (14 CFR pts. 23, 25, 91, and 121).	Joe Sullivan, 202-755-8716	NPRM January 1979.
Export Airworthiness Approvals (docket No. 17147).	Proposed amendment (1) to provide for the issuance of export certificates of airworthiness for unassembled normal category rotorcraft, and (2) to provide for the issuance of export airworthiness approvals for aeronautical products that do not meet certain requirements if the importing country agrees to accept the products in such condition (14 CFR pt. 21).	....do	FR December 1978.
Review: Aircraft Engine Regulatory Notice (docket No. 16919).	Proposed amendment to resolve a number of regulatory issues raised by engine manufacturers and to update those standards (14 CFR pts. 23, 25, 27, 29, and 33).	....do	NPRM June 1979.

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION—Continued

NONSIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS—continued

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
Delegation of authority	Proposed amendment to delegate certain authority of the Administrator to officials within the FAA (14 CFR pt. 11).	Howard A. Bartnick, 202-426-3493	FR August 1979.
General Operating Flight Rules—Landing Minimum.	Proposed rule to clarify prescribed conditions for approach and landing under specified weather conditions (14 CFR pts. 91 and 121).	Joe Sullivan, 202-755-8716	NPRM September 1978.
Triennial Aircraft Registration Report.	Proposed rule to establish a requirement that holders of a certificate of aircraft registration file a report with the FAA aircraft registry on the current eligibility of the aircraft for registration, whenever 3 years have elapsed since the registry has received information indicating registration eligibility (14 CFR pt. 47).	Virginia Swimmer, 405-686-2284	NPRM August 1978.
Controlled Visual Flight	Proposed revision to provide a means of improving ATC separation of VFR and IFR aircraft above 12,500 ft (14 CFR pt. 91).	Maurice Taylor, 202-426-3128	ANPRM April 1979.
Airport Noise Regulatory (docket No. 16279).	EPA proposed revision to require airport noise certification as a condition for ADAP funding (14 CFR pt. 91).	Jim Densmore, 202-755-9468	FR April 1979.
Review: Operations Review Notice No. 11.	Proposed revision to update and improve the rules applicable to mechanic certification, repair stations and aircraft equipment (14 CFR pts. 121, 145, and 183).	Joe Sullivan, 202-755-8716	NPRM October 1978.
Review: Operations Review Notice No. 12.	Proposed extensive revisions to update and improve regulations applicable to aircraft maintenance, preventive maintenance, rebuilding, and alteration of aircraft (14 CFR pts. 43 and 91).	do	NPRM November 1978.
Review: Operations Review Notice No. 14.	Proposed miscellaneous revisions and other editorial and clarifying changes to parts (14 CFR pts. 43, 63, 65, 91, 105, 121, 123, 127, 143, 145, and 147).	do	NPRM February 1979.
Airworthiness Review Program: Amendment No. 7; Airframe Amendments.	Proposed amendments would update and improve the airframe and crashworthiness standards that apply to aircraft certification, and would make certain related changes in the operating rules (14 CFR pts. 23, 25, 27, 29, and 121).	do	FR September 1978.
Transport Category Airplane Fatigue Regulatory Review Amendments (docket No. 16280).	Proposed amendment revising the structural fatigue evaluation requirements and incorporating related guidance, to take into account state-of-the-art development and service experience (14 CFR pt. 25).	do	FR April 1979.
Delayed Landing Flap Procedure for Turbojet-Powered Airplanes (docket No. 15020).	Proposed regualtion which would require landing flap setting for turbojet-powered airplanes be delayed until at or below 1,000 ft above airport elevation for purpose of noise abatement on approach and landing (14 CFR pt. 91).	do	FR February 1979.
Review: Operations Review Amendment No. 4 (docket No. 16383).	Miscellaneous amendments concerning aircraft maintenance, airmen certification, general operating and flight rules, parachuting, certification, and operation of air carriers, air travel clubs, repair stations, and aviation maintenance technical schools. Final rule issued on May 19, 1978, effective June 26, 1978 (43 FR 27535 May 25, 1978) (14 CFR pts. 43, 61, 63, 65, 91, 105, 121, 123, 127, 135, 137, 145, 147, and 149).	do	Action complete.
Review: Operations Review Amendment No. 5 (docket No. 17034).	Miscellaneous amendments to requirements pertaining to airmen and crewmembers, training programs, flight operations, dispatching, and records and reports of air carrier and commercial operators of large aircraft. Final rule issued on May 18, 1978, effective June 26, 1978 (14 CFR pt. 121).	do	Do.
Review: Operations Review Amendment No. 6 (docket No. 17154).	Proposed amendments to flight rule fuel requirements, VOR equipment checks, safety belts and harnesses, and other clarifying changes to 14 CFR pts. 23, 25, 27, 29, 91, and 121.	do	FR September 1978.
Review: Operations Review Amendment No. 8.	Proposed amendment to update and improve airmen and crewmembers rules, training programs, flight operations, dispatching, records and reports of air carriers and commercial operators and scheduled air carriers with helicopters. NPRM issued with comment period closing on Aug. 11, 1978 (14 CFR pts. 121 and 127).	do	FR February 1979.
Review: Operations Review Notice No. 9.	Proposed revision to update and improve equipment, maintenance, and operating rules of aircraft, airmen certification, certificated operators and agencies, flight attendants, and training requirements (14 CFR pts. 63, 65, and 121) NPRM published Aug. 17, 1978 (43 FR 36464).	do	FR June 1979.
Review: Operations Review Notice No. 10.	Proposes revision to update and improve the equipment and operating rules of aircraft operated by scheduled air carriers of large aircraft and agricultural aircraft operators (14 CFR pts. 25, 123, 127, and 137).	do	NPRM August 1978.
Agricultural Aircraft Night Operations (docket No. 14621).	Rule to permit special VFR night operations by agricultural aircraft operators without complying with certain instrument flight requirements since agricultural aircraft are not usually IFR equipped and have need to conduct operations under special VFR conditions. Final rule issued on June, 21, 1978, effective July 28, 1978 (14 CFR pt. 137).	do	
Airborne Vibration Monitors (docket No. 13989).	Proposed rule to require an indicator system for detecting rotor imbalance for each engine in specified wide-bodied airplanes (14 CFR pt. 121).	do	Withdrawal August 1978.
Special Aircraft Certificates (docket No. 13954).	Proposed rule to limit the issuance of "experimental" certificates under 14 CFR pt. 21 to aircraft engaged in genuine experimental operations except for certain amateur-built aircraft (14 CFR pts. 21, 43, 45, 65, and 91).	do	Do.
Review: Operations Review Program (docket No. 16220).	The agency's proposed action on certain proposals presented during the 1975-76 operations review program.	Maurice Taylor, 202-426-3128	FR August 1978.
Review: Part 91 Notice (docket No. 16431).	The agency conducted a Regulatory Review Conference of 14 CFR pt. 91, subpt. B, in Sept. 1977, in order to update that part. This action will cover all proposals covered by the review except for lost communications (14 CFR pt. 77).	do	FR February 1978.
Review: Part 91 Review Lost Communications (docket No. 16431).	The FAA conducted a Regulatory Review Conference of 14 CFR pt. 91, subpt. B, in Sept. 1977, in order to update that part. This action will cover the special proposal on lost communications.	do	FR February 1979.

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION—Continued

NONSIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS—continued

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
Pearson Airpark	Proposal to exclude persons from the requirement of communicating with Portland Tower while operating in the Pearson Airpark traffic pattern (14 CFR pt. 93).	Charles Stratton, 202-426-3128	NPRM August 1978.
Review: Objects Affecting Navigable Airspace (docket No. 16920).	Proposal to amend regulations including areas such as notice requirements, obstruction standards, aeronautical studies, determinations, antenna farm areas, and discretionary review/petition procedures (14 CFR pt. 77).	O. E. Falsetti, 202-426-8777	NPRM April 1979.
Reimbursement of Security Screening Costs (docket No. 17326).	Proposes a procedure for compensating air carriers for certain security screening costs in foreign air transportation (14 CFR pt. 121).	R. P. Jones, 202-426-8409	FR September 1978.
Security Screening to Charter Flights (docket No. 17676).	Extends security screening to charter passenger flights conducted by certain certificate holders. Final rule issued on June 6, 1978, effective on July 25, 1978 (14 CFR pts. 121 and 129).	....do....	Action complete.
Special Aircraft Registration Numbers (docket No. 17331).	Proposed revison to delete all references to and provisions for special aircraft registration numbers thereby eliminating registration number changes on aircraft (14 CFR pt. 45).	Elizabeth Bowman, 405-686-2284	FR December 1978.
Registration of Aircraft by Resident Aliens.	Proposed revision to comply with sec. 14 of Pub. L. 95-163 enacted by Congress effective Nov. 9, 1977, amended Mar. 8, 1978, which provides for U.S. registration of aircraft by resident aliens and by corporations which do not qualify for registration as citizens (14 CFR pt. 47).	Florine G. Crockett, 405-686-2284	NPRM November 1978.
Civil Helicopter Noise Certification (docket No. 17556).	This project would establish noise certification levels and procedures for civil helicopters (14 CFR pt. 36).	James Densmore, 202-755-9468	FR April 1979.
Designated Manufacturing Inspection Representatives (docket No. 16622).	Proposed rule to permit designated manufacturing inspection representatives (DMIR's) to conduct evaluation inspections and to perform all of their authorized functions outside the manfacturing plant at which they are employed (14 CFR pt. 183).	Joe Sullivan, 202-755-8716	FR May 1979.
Identification (ID) Plates (docket No. 15977).	Proposed rule to prohibit the removal, change, or placement of information on identification plates required by the regulation and the removal and installation of ID plates on aircraft, aircraft engines, propellers, and propeller blades and hubs, without the approval of the Administrator (14 CFR pt. 45).	....do....	Do.
Implementation of OMB Circular A-95 (docket No. 17337).	Final procedures and regulations (pt. 152) implementing OMB Circular A-95 (coordination of Federal assistance programs with State, areawide, and local planning agencies), based on public comment on interim procedures in Special Federal Aviation Regulation 35 (14 CFR pt. 152).	Edward F. Rancourt, 202-426-8090	FR Feburary 1979.
Implementation of Energy Policy (docket No. 16617).	Implementation of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (14 CFR pt. 11).	Cynthia Zook, 202-426-3420	FR September 1978.
Review: Update of Part 139	Revision of 14 CFR pt. 139 to update and clarify the part and to update firefighting agent substitution rates and reduce the exonomic burden of firefighting requirement (14 CFR pt. 139).	Harry Hink, 202-426-3087	NPRM October 1978.
Experimental Certificates	Proposed revision to 14 CFR pt. 21 to allow special airworthiness certificates for air racing, exhibition and amateur built aircraft to be issued for periods in excess of 1 year (14 CFR pt. 21).	Joe Sullivan, 202-755-8716	NPRM November 1978.
Foreign Airman Certificate	Proposed revisions to provide for the issuance of special purpose airman certificates to foreign pilots and other flight crew members to permit those persons to operate U.S. registered civil aircraft leased by foreign operators for carriage of persons and property for compensation or hire (14 CFR pts. 61 and 63).	....do....	NPRM October 1978.
Publication of Petitions for Rulemaking and Exemptions.	Proposed rule to establish procedures for the publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER of the summaries of certain petitions for rulemaking and exemptions. This proposal also provides for publication of summaries of denials of petitions for rulemaking and summaries of grants and denials of petitions for exemptions. NPRM published July 24, 1978 (43 FR 31936) (14 CFR pt. 11).	Edward P. Faberman, 202-426-3073	FR December 1978.
Protective Breathing Equipment	Proposed rule to establish minimum performance standards and operating rules for protective breathing equipment (14 CFR pts. 25, 29, 37, 91, and 121).	Joe Sullivan, 202-755-8716	NPRM March 1979.
Supplemental Oxygen	Proposed rule to permit certain wide-body turbojet airplanes to operate to flight level of 45,000 ft above sea level without requirements for the pilot to use supplemental oxygen (14 CFR pts. 25, 29, 37, 91, and 121).	....do....	NPRM February 1979.
Type Certification Standards	Proposed revision of Special Federal Aviation Regulation 13 as applicable to DC-3 airplanes to provide a basis for approval of the installation of 3 turbo-propeller engines in place of 2 reciprocating engines (14 CFR pt. 25; SFAR 13).	....do....	FR July 1979.
Status of FAA Pilots on Test Flights (docket No. 14611).	Proposed clarification of the status of both applicants and FAA pilots during flight tests required for certification of aircraft (14 CFR pt. 21).	....do....	Withdrawal August 1978.
Leakage of Fluids (docket No. 15534)..	Proposed standards for certification, maintenance, and equipment to prevent inflight overboard leakage of fluids subject to freezing (14 CFR pts. 25, 91, and 121).	....do....	Do.
Cargo Pallets, Nets, and Containers (docket No. 16140).	Proposed updated standards for cargo pallets, nets, and containers in accordance with the changes in technology and specifications which have taken place since 1970, when the current standards were developed (14 CFR pt. 37).	....do....	FR December 1978.
Determination of Aircraft Approach Categories (docket No. 17538).	Proposed regulation to eliminate use of maximum certificated landing weight as a criterion for grouping aircraft in approach categories in order to facilitate aircraft categorization and simplify determination of landing minimums (14 CFR pt. 97).	....do....	FR April 1979.

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION—Continued

ROUTINE AND FREQUENT NONSIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS

Other items:	Approximate number:		
Pt. 95 Instrument Flight Rules Altitudes.	2,500	Joe Sullivan, 755-8716	July 1, 1978 to June 30, 1979.
Airworthiness Directives	300	do	Do.
Standard Instrument Approach Procedures.	2,800	do	Do.
Airspace Actions	525	William Broadwater, 426-3731	Do.

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

SIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
Review: Outdoor Advertising Control and Acquisition (FHPM 7-6-2).	A. *Description:* This regulation would provide definition of "effective control" of outdoor advertising per 23 U.S.C. 131. It would also set forth requirements for signs exempt from control under the statute and establish the basic framework for State development of police power regulations and procedures. The regulation would also outline the requirements for Federal participation in the acquisition of compensable nonconforming outdoor advertising devices. B. *Why significant:* This proposal may involve substantial public interest, is controversial and involves important Departmental policy. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* This regulation is necessary for the maintenance of national uniformity in the outdoor advertising control program. Since 23 U.S.C. 131 is regulatory in nature, it is necessary to establish and maintain minimum Federal program requirements. E. *Legal basis:* 23 U.S.C. 131, 148, and 315; 49 CFR 1.48. F. *Chronology:* The proposal involves the consolidation of two existing regulations, 23 CFR pt. 750, subpts. D and G, and one interim regulation, 23 CFR pt.750, subpt E. The regulations have been in effect since Sept. 16, 1975 and July 29, 1974, respectively. The interim regulations have been in effect since Oct. 18, 1976. The proposed consolidation will be issued as NPRM. G. *Citation:* 23 CFR pt. 750, subpt. C.	Richard Moeller, 202-245-0021.	NPRM August 1978, FR November 1978.
Traffic Safety in Highway and Street Work Zones (docket No. 76 14; Proposed (FHPM 6-4-2-12).	A. *Description:* This regulation would provide guidance and establish procedures to assure that adequate consideration is given to motorists, pedestrians, and construction workers on all Federal-aid construction projects. B. *Why significant:* This regulation concerns a matter on which there is substantial public interest and could have a considerable cost impact. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* This regulation will be needed to help achieve safety in highway and street work zones. E. *Legal basis:* 23 U.S.C. 109(b), 109(d), 315, and 402(a); 23 CFR 1.48(b). F. *Chronology:* An ANPRM was published on Sept. 23, 1976, and a NPRM was published on Aug. 25, 1977. G. *Citation:* 23 CFR pt. 630, subpt. J.	Mr. J. Daves, 202-426-4847.	FR August 1978.
Review: Air Quality Guidelines (FHPM 7-7-9-1).	A. *Description:* This regulation would establish administrative procedures on: (1) funding sanctions, (2) conformity of highway plans, programs, and projects with air quality implementation plans, and (3) priority to highway improvements with air quality benefits during an interim period. This regulation will be in addition to existing FHPM 7-7-9, Air Quality Guidelines. B. *Why significant:* This regulation is considered major because it affects another Federal agency and may be controversial. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will be required. D. *Need:* Currently, administrative procedures on funding sanctions, priority of highway improvements with air quality benefits, and conformity of highway plans, programs, and projects with air quality implementation plans are lacking. These are a result of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1977 which became law in August 1977. E. *Legal basis:* Clean Air Act Amendments of 1977 (42 U.S.C. 7401). F. *Chronology:* Preliminary work on developing draft regulations is underway. NPRM planned for August 1978. G. *Citation:* 23 CFR pt. 770.	Harter M. Rupert, 202-426-4836.	NPRM August 1978.
Employee Safety and Health Standards (docket No. MC-64).	A. *Description:* This regulation would provide safety and health standards to govern employes engaged in the operation, maintenance, and loading and unloading of motor vehicles, designed to eliminate uncertainty with regard to the jurisdictional authority of OSHA. B. *Why significant:* This proposal may have a significant impact on the Department of Labor's Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA). C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required.	Gerald J. Davis, 202-426-9767.	FR March 1979.

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION—Continued

NONSIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS—continued

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
	D. *Need:* These standards are designed to eliminate uncertainty with regard to the jurisdictional authority of the Occupational Safety and Health Administration and to improve safety and health standards for employees of motor carriers. E. *Legal basis:* 49 U.S.C. 304 and 1655. F. *Chronology:* A notice of proposed rulemaking was issued Mar. 2, 1978, and the closing date for the comment period was May 31, 1978. NPRM was published in FEDERAL REGISTER (43 FR 25156) June 9, 1978, extending the comment period to June 30, 1978. G. *Citation:* 49 CFR pt. 399.		
Minimum Cab Space Dimensions (docket No. MC-79).	A. *Description:* This regulation would specify minimum size for the cab portion of the regulated commercial vehicles manufactured after a certain date. B. *Why significant:* This proposal has the potential of being costly if extensive changes to cab configuration become necessary C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will be required. D. *Need:* Changes in truck technology and maximum limitation on size by States have led to the development of reduced cab space in favor of increased cargo space to remain within State length limitations. The impact on safe operations and driver workplace may be negatively affected. E. *Legal basis:* 49 U.S.C. 304 and 1655. F. *Chronology:* The advance notice of rulemaking was issued on Feb. 14, 1978. Comment period closed on July 14, 1978. G. *Citation:* 49 CFR 393.	D. W. Morrison, 202-426-1700.	NPRM March 1979.
Review: State Highway Agency Equal Employment Opportunity Programs (FHPM 2-2-2).	A. *Description:* This regulation would set forth Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Federal-aid policy and FHWA and State responsibilities relative to a State highway agency's internal equal employment opportunity program and for assuring compliance with the equal employment opportunity requirements of federally assisted highway construction contracts. B. *Why significant:* There is substantial public interest relative to this FHPM. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* To set forth responsibilities for State highway agencies' equal employment opportunity programs and to insure compliance with the equal opportunity requirements of federally assisted highway construction contracts. E. *Legal basis:* 23 U.S.C. 140(a); Executive Order 11246 as amended. F. *Chronology:* The FHWM is awaiting decisions by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission relative to the future direction of State EEO programs. G. *Citation:* 23 CFR pt. 230, subpt. C.	Ted B. Sennett, 202-426-0693.	NPRM December 1978.
Review: Construction Contract Equal Opportunity Compliance Procedures (FHPM 2-2-3).	A. *Description:* This regulation would prescribe policies and procedures to standardize the implementation of the equal opportunity contract compliance program, including compliance reviews, consolidated compliance reviews, and the administration of areawide plans. B. *Why significant:* There is substantial public interest relative to this FHPM. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* To standardize the implementation of the equal opportunity contract compliance program. E. *Legal basis:* Executive Order 11246 as amended, 23 U.S.C. 112(b) and 140(a). F. *Chronology:* The FHWA is awaiting decisions by the Department of Labor relative to the future direction of the contract compliance program. G. *Citation:* 23 CFR pt. 320, subpt. D.	Ted B. Sennett, 202-426-0693.	NPRM December 1978.
Geometric Design Criteria for Resurfacing, Restoration, and Rehabilitation (R-R-R) of Streets and Highways Other than Freeways (docket No.77-4).	A. *Description:* This regulation would contain criteria intended to provide additional flexibility in some of the basic geometric features of design, primarily those in which modification would result in appreciable savings in costs and other impacts while improving safety. B. *Why significant:* This regulation is considered significant because the adoption of new design criteria specifically for R-R-R projects has proven to be controversial. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* To implement the 1976 amendment to 23 U.S.C. 101 redefining "construction" to include resurfacing, restoration, and rehabilitation. Optimum geometric design standards are needed to effectively administer a R-R-R program for preservation work on the Federal-aid highway systems. E. *Legal basis:* 23 U.S.C. 109, 315, and 402; 49 CFR 1.48(b). F. *Chronology:* FHWA docket No. 77-4, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of Aug. 25, 1977, offered three alternatives. Because of the adverse comments all alternatives were rejected and FHWA decided to develop a new set of criteria for resurfacing, restoration, and rehabilitation (R-R-R) projects. G. *Citation:* 23 CFR pt. 625.	Alvin R. Cowan, Seppo Sillan, 202-426-0312.	NPRM August 1978.
Certification of Motor Vehicle Size and Weight Enforcement (docket No. 77-21; FHPM 6-8-5).	A. *Description:* This is a proposed revision of existing regulations dealing with annual certifications by the States that all size and weight laws are being enforced. Anticipated is a requirement for an annual enforcement program against which the States' efforts could be measured at the end of the year.	David W. Baldwin, 202-426-1993.	NPRM November 1978.

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION—Continued

SIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS—continued

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
	B. *Why significant:* The regulation is significant because failure on the part of the State is cause for the withholding of Federal-aid highway projects approval. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will be required. D. *Need:* This regulation is needed to implement 23 U.S.C. 141, enforcement of requirements. E. *Legal basis:* 23 U.S.C. 141. F. *Chronology:* ANPRM was issued in January 1978, with comments due Apr. 15, 1978. Thirty comments were received. G. *Citation:* 23 CFR pt. 658.9.		
Withdrawal of Interstate Segments and Substitution of Alternative Projects (docket No. 77-9).	A. *Description:* The regulations would implement secs. 103(e)(2) and 103(e)(4) of title 23, U.S.C. 103(e)(2) provides for the withdrawal of nonessential interstate routes and the substitution of alterntive interstate routes. Sec. 103(e)(4) provides for the withdrawal of nonessential interstate routes and the substitution of other transportation projects, both highway and nonhighway. B. *Why significant:* There is substantial public interest and controversy concerning this proposal. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will be required. D. *Need:* This regulation is needed to implement the provisions of 23 U.S.C. 103 enacted in the 1976 Federal-Aid Highway Act. E. *Legal basis:* 23 U.S.C. 103(e)(2) and 103(e)(4). F. *Chronology:* The current substitution regulations were issued on June 12, 1974. The Federal-Aid Highway Act Amendments of 1974 and the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1976 amended the original statutory provisions enacted by the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1973. G. *Citation:* 23 CFR pt. 476, subpt. D, and pt. 450.	L. A. Staron, 202-426-0404, F. Calhoun, 202-426-0762.	NPRM August 1978, FR December 1978.
Payback for Interstate System Withdrawals and Modifications.	A. *Description:* The regulations would prescribe the disposition and use of property acquired with Federal-aid funds for highway projects which are later modified, withdrawn, or terminated. They would also prescribe the requirements for repayament (payback) of Federal-aid funds expended on such projects. B. *Why significant:* There is substantial public interest and controversy concerning the withdrawal under 23 U.S.C. 103(e)(4) of routes designated after Aug. 13, 1973, and the question of payback. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will be required. D. *Need:* This regulation is needed to implement the provisions of 23 U.S.C. 103 enacted in the 1976 Federal-aid Highway Act, and the provisions of OMB Circular 102. E. *Legal basis:* 23 U.S.C. 103(e). F. *Chronology:* Proposed regulations on payback were issued on Nov. 17, 1975 (40 FR 53252). Sec. 110(b) of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1976 required substantial changes in the proposed regulations. The proposed regulations were withdrawn by an ANPRM on Sept. 27, 1976 (41 FR 42221). New proposed regulations were published on Aug. 7, 1978 (43 FR 35008). G. *Citation:* 23 CFR pt. 476.	L. A. Staron, 202-426-0404, F. Calhoun, 202-426-0762.	FR November 1978.
Hours of Service of Drivers (docket No. MC-70-1).	A. *Description:* The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) is proposing a revision of the regulations pertaining to hours of service limitations for commercial vehicle drivers engaged in interstate or foreign commerce. B. *Why significant:* This proposal may be controversial and could have a major cost impact on the motor carrier industry. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will be required. D. *Need:* This action is being taken in response to numerous petitions and requests from public interest groups, labor organizations, and individual drivers for the revision of these regulations. E. *Legal basis:* 49 U.S.C. 304 and 1655. F. *Chronology:* An advance notice of proposed rulemaking which stated that FHWA was considering an extensive review of the hours of service of drivers regulation was published on Feb. 12, 1976 (docket MC-70, notice 76-14, 49 FR 6275). A second ANPRM was issued on May 22, 1978, setting forth three plans for comments. G. *Citation:* 49 CFR pt. 395.	Gerald J. Davis, 202-426-9767.	NPRM January 1980.
Commercial Motor Vehicle Inspection Repair and maintenance (docket No. MC-48).	A. *Description:* FHWA is considering amending the regulation on commercial motor vehicle inspections, repair and maintenance to reduce vehicle defect related accidents caused by inadequate inspection and maintenance procedures. B. *Why significant:* This proposal may be controversial. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. The regulation has been revised to reduce its cost impact. D. *Need:* Roadside inspections of vehicles in operation in interstate commerce, supported by safety survey inspections at carrier facilities indicate that carrier maintenance practices are less than satisfactory. This proposal is intended to provide guidance for systematic vehicle inspection and maintenance by carriers and drivers in order to assure vehicle condition is satisfactory for safe operations. E. *Legal basis:* 49 U.S.C. 304 and 1655. F. *Chronology:* This proposed amendment was issued as an NPRM on Apr. 5, 1977. Based upon the review of public comments and data submitted, as a result of the NPRM, the FR will be modified to eliminate the potential of a major cost impact on the motor carrier industry.	Donnell W. Morrison, 202-426-1700.	FR August 1978.

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION—Continued

SIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS—continued

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
	G. *Citation:* 49 CFR pt. 396.		
State or Local Land Use Actions Affecting Outdoor Advertising (docket No. 77-20).	A. *Description:* The FHWA is considering the promulgation of regulations regarding the review of State or local land use actions that result in the removal of outdoor advertising signs without compensation or that permit the erection of off-premise signs prohibited by 23 U.S.C. 131 B. *Why significant:* This proposal may involve substantial public interest, is controversial, and involves important departmental policy. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* The ANPRM is necessary in order to solicit comments for determining the best method of examining State or local land use control or zoning actions for compatability with 23 U.S.C. 131. E. *Legal basis:* 23 U.S.C. 131 and 315; 49 CFR 1.48. F. *Chronology:* An FHWA legal opinion on this issue was released by the Federal Highway Administrator on Dec. 8, 1976. The ANPRM requests comments on alternate methods of implementing the December 8 opinion. G. *Citation:* 23 CFR pt. 750.708.	Richard Moeller, 202-245-0021.	ANPRM September 1978.
Review: Environmental Impact and Related Statements (FHPM 7-7-2).	A. *Description:* This regulation would implement the National Environmental Policy Act and sec. 138 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act. It would specify the procedures to be used by FHWA in the preparation and processing of environmental impact and sec. 4(f) statements. B. *Why significant:* These regulations will involve substantial public interest and controversy and will implement important Departmental policy. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* Executive Order 11991 authorizes the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) to issue regulations to implement the National Environmental Policy Act. These CEQ regulations are expected to be in final form in the near future and they are expected to require substantive revisions to FHPM 7-7-2. E. *Legal basis:* Revisions to FHPM 7-7-2 are required because of regulations which will be promulgated by CEQ. F. *Chronology:* The CEQ regulations were published for public comment in the FEDERAL REGISTER on June 9, 1978. It is anticipated that the final regulations will be published in early fall of 1978 and that FHPM 7-7-2 revisions will be ready for publication in the spring of 1979. G. *Citation:* 23 CFR pt. 771.	Rex I. Wells, 202-426-0106.	NPRM March 1979.
Review: Urban Transportation Planning Process (FHPM 4-4-2), and Review: Transportation Improvement Program (FHPM 4-4-6).	A. *Descriptions:* Revisions to these regulations may include: (1) Periodic rather than annual certification. (2) Elimination of narrative discussion on merging long-range and transportation systems management (TSM) plan elements and inclusion of nonfederally funded projects in the transportation improvement program (TIP). (3) Added flexibility in initiating and selecting highway project. (4) Implementation of the planning aspects of the 1977 Clean Air Act Amendments. B. *Why significant:* These are significant regulations since they significantly impact the Urban Mass Transportation Administration (UMTA) and can substantially streamline transportation planning. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* Continuing review has identified areas where added flexibility will not impair effectiveness. Air quality planning must be included in accordance with the DOT-EPA memorandum of understanding. E. *Legal basis:* 23 U.S.C. 104(f)(3), 134, and 315. F. *Chronology:* The recommendations of the FHWA regulations reduction task force were adopted in October 1977. Following agreement with UMTA and EPA, insofar as air quality is concerned, proposed regulatory changes will be prepared. G. *Citation:* 23 CFR pt. 450, subpts. A and C.	V. Paparella, 202-426-2961.	NPRM November 1978.
Equal Employment Opportunity on Federal-aid Highway Construction Contracts (FHPM 6-4-1-2).	A. *Description:* This regulation would simplify procedures relating to equal employment opportunity on Federal-aid highway construction contracts. B. *Why significant:* This regulation concerns a matter on which there is substantial public interest. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* This regulation is needed to achieve administrative effectiveness and efficiency. E. *Legal basis:* 23 U.S.C. 140 and 315. F. *Chronology:* An NPRM will be published in October 1978. G. *Citation:* 23 CFR pt. 230, subpt. A.	K. L. Ziems, 202-426-4847.	NPRM October 1978.
Rural and Small Urban Public Transportation.	A. *Description:* Proposed regulation would implement proposed new transportation legislation providing for a continuing program of rural and small urban public transportation including operating subsidies. B. *Why significant:* The proposed new program involves FHWA and UMTA and impacts State and local transportation programs. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* The proposed regulation would implement legislation by providing for administration of the rural and small urban public transportation assistance program.	FHWA Donald Morin, 202-426-0210 UMTA Lillian Liburdi, 202-426-4050.	NPRM November 1978.

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION—Continued

SIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS—continued

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
	E. *Legal basis:* Proposed legislation. F. *Chronology:* A DOT rural and small urban working group is now summarizing the policy issues for the proposed program. Field trips are now in progress for Federal officials to visit States and rural and small urban projects. The NPRM is to be published in November 1978. G. *Citation:* No CFR citation as yet.		
Effects of Delayed or Halted Projects	A. *Description:* Would seek to alleviate adverse conditions created by halted or delayed highway projects for certain affected persons. B. *Why significant:* There is substantial public interest in the conditions created by these delays and halts. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* Many property owners whose property will be acquired are being subjected to serious adverse living and working conditions due to the delays and halts. E. *Legal basis:* 23 U.S.C. 315, 49 CFR 1.48(b), 23 CFR 1.32. F. *Chronology:* The notice is expected to be issued in the FEDERAL REGISTER during September 1978, with a closing date for comments in November 1978. G. *Citation:* 49 CFR 1.48(b), 23 CFR 1.32.	Robert G. King, 202-426-0116, Stanley Abramson, 202-246-0791.	ANPRM September 1978.

NONSIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS

Review: New Research and Development (R. & D.) Studies and Work Programs (FHPM 5-4-1).	This regulation would cover the starting of new R. & D. studies funded with Federal-aid highway funds and for programing R. & D. work (23 CFR pts. 530 and 540).	Harry H. Hersey, 202-426-0241	FR November 1978.
Review: R. & D. Management—General (FHPM 5-2-1).	This regulation would have covered the management of R. & D. studies using Federal-aid highway funds. Will be withdrawn in November 1979 because content covered by legislation and other regulations (23 CFR pt. 520).	....do	Withdrawal November 1978.
Review: R. & D. Reports and Implementation Activities (FHPM 5-4-3).	This regulation would cover documentation of the results of R. & D. studies funded with Federal-aid highway funds without State matching to finance pooled fund studies (23 CFR pt. 544).	....do	FR January 1979.
Review: Federal-Aid Funds Without State Matching (FHPM 5-6-1).	This regulation would cover the use of Federal-aid highway funds without State matching to finance pooled fund studies (23 CFR pt. 560).	....do	Do.
Review: R. & D. Management Option (FHPM 5-2-4).	This regulation would provide an alternate procedure for State highway agencies which meet specified management standards (23 CFR pt. 524).	....do	Do.
Review: Administration of Negotiated Contracts (proposed FHPM 1-7-2).	The existing 3 regulations (23 CFR pts. 62, 420, and 170) would be combined to coordinate and minimize requirements and to bring FHWA contracting procedures in conformance with Office of Management (OMB) circular A-102, specifically attachment "O" which deals with procurement standards for use by grantees (23 CFR pt. 170).	W. Bullard, 202-426-0175	NPRM August 1978, FR October 1978.
Review: Program Approval and Authorization (FHPM 4-1-2-1).	This regulation would reflect recent policy changes in management of the highway planning and research program, e.g., allowing separate projects for metropolitan planning organizations, applying matching ratio to time periods rather than a fiscal year fund, etc. (23 CFR pt. 420).	....do	Do.
Review: Public Road Mileage for Apportionment of Highway Safety Funds, Off-System Roads Funds, High Hazard Location Project Funds, and Roadside Obstacle Elimination Funds (FHPM 4-5-3).	The revised regulation would expand the existing one, which includes only highway safety funds, to include the other listed programs in the revised title (23 CFR pt. 460).	D. W. Briggs, 202-426-0199	NPRM September 1978, FR December 1978.
Review: Incentive Grants—Statistics for Highway Planning (FHPM 4-5-2).	The proposed regulation would document the procedures to be used in connection with 23 U.S.C. 402(j) for highway safety incentive grants which are based on improved highway fatality rates (fatalities/100 million vehicle miles of travel) (23 CFR pt. 1214).	F. E. Jarema, 202-426-0160	Do.
Review: Joint Development of Highway and Multiple Use of Roadway Properties (FHPM 7-7-8).	This regulation would state FHWA policy to encourage State/local action to plan highway corridors cooperatively; describe joint development feasibility studies and reports which are stages in joint development planning; describe what features, facilities, etc., can receive Federal funding participation; and describe use restrictions and maintenance responsibilities of multiple use sponsors (23 CFR 1.32).	K. C. Anderson, 202-426-9173	NPRM December 1978.
Review: Historic and Archeological Preservation (FHPM 7-7-4).	This regulation would replace obsolete policy and procedures memorandum (PPM) 20-7 and incorporate all FHWA regulations in the historic and archeological preservation subject area into one directive. It would present a simple process to accomplish all legal and regulatory obligations, but tailor the procedures to insure compatibility with the highway development process (23 CFR pt. 765).	A. M. Love III, 202-426-9173	Do.
Review: Procedures for Abatement of Highway Traffic Noise and Construction Noise (FHPM 7-7-3).	This revision would make several minor technical changes to the existing regulation. It would also establish new FHWA policy on approval of noise prediction methods (23 CFR pt. 772).	H. M. Rupert, 202-426-4836	FR August 1978.
Review: Procedures for Abatement of Highway Traffic Noise and Construction Noise (FHPM 7-7-3) (long-term revisions).	This revision would make substantial reductions in the detailed procedures and interpretive information in the existing regulation. This is being done pursuant to the FHWA regulation reduction task force recommendations (23 CFR pt. 772)	....do	NPRM August 1978.

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION—Continued

NONSIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS—continued

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
Review: Environmental Impact and Related Statements (FHPM 7-7-2) (interim revisions).	The revisions will: (a) Allow a nonmajor action classification for FHWA projects which have a minimal effect on properties protected under sec. 4(f) of the DOT Act or sec. 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act; provided that the nonmajor classification is appropriate under other existing criteria, and (b) allow Federal Highway Administrator to delegate to the regional administrators for all projects other than those processed with nondelegated environmental impact statements. Final rule published in FEDERAL REGISTER on May 16, 1978; effective May 19, 1978 (23 CFR pt. 771).	R. G. Clour, 202-426-0142	Action complete.
Review: General Policy and Definitions (FHPM 7-1-1).	This regulation prescribes the general policy of FHWA regarding the acquisitions of real property for highway and related purposes and defines certain terms used in FHWA right-of-way acquisition regulations (23 CFR pt. 710).	Douglas A. Wubels, 202-426-0142	Regulation to be canceled April 1979.
Review: State Highway Department Responsibilities (FHPM 7-1-2).	This regulation would prescribe the general responsibilities of State highway departments in the acquisition of rights-of-way for the Federal-aid highway systems (23 CFR pt. 710).	.....do	NPRM December 1978.
Review: Reimbursement Provisions (FHPM 7-1-3).	This regulation would set forth provisions governing reimbursement to a State highway department for right-of-way costs incurred in connection with a Federal or Federal-aid highway project (23 CFR pt. 710).	.....do	Do.
Review: Civil Rights (FHPM 7-1-4).....	This regulation prescribes the general policy of the FHWA in the area of civil rights relative to the right-of-way acquisition function (23 CFR pt. 710).	.....do	Regulation to be canceled April 1979.
Review: The Acquisition Function—Policy (FHPM 7-2-1).	This regulation would prescribe FHWA policy regarding the acquisition function (23 CFR pt. 712).	Tom Johns, 202-426-0142	NPRM December 1978.
Review: The Acquisition Function—General Provisions and Project Procedures (FHPM 7-2-2).	This regulation would prescribe FHWA project provisions and procedures regarding the acquisition of real property for highway and highway related projects (23 CFR pt. 712).	.....do	Do.
Review: The Acquisition Function—General Provisions and Project Procedures Functional Replacement of Real Property in Public Ownership (FHPM 7-2-2-1).	This regulation would prescribe FHWA policies on functional replacement of real property in public ownership (23 CFR pt. 712).	.....do	Do.
Review: The Acquisition Function—Negotiations (FHPM 7-2-3).	This regulation would prescribe FHWA procedures regarding the acquisition of real property by negotiations (23 CFR pt. 712).	.....do	Do.
Review: The Acquisition Function—Administrative Settlements, Legal Settlements, and Court Awards (FHPM 7-2-4).	This regulation would prescribe FHWA policies regarding the settlement of acquisitions through administrative means and legal processes. This regulation will be combined with the regulation on reimbursement provisions (FHPM 7-1-3) (23 CFR pt. 712).	.....do	Do.
Review: Appraisal and Appraisal Review Policy (FHPM 7-3-1).	This regulation would establish FHWA requirements for the preparation and review of appraisal reports for the acquisition of lands necessary for Federal-aid highway projects (23 CFR pt. 720).	Douglas A. Wubbels, 202-426-0142	NPRM August 1978, FR February 1979.
Review: Property Management (FHPM 7-4-1).	This regulation would prescribe FHWA policies and procedures for the management of real property acquired in connection with Federal-aid highway projects (23 CFR pt. 713).	Tom Johns, 202-426-0142	NPRM December 1978.
Review: Disposal of Rights-of-Way (FHPM 7-4-2).	This regulation would prescribe FHWA policies and procedures for disposal of portions of highway rights-of-way no longer needed for highway purposes (23 CFR pt. 713).	.....do	Do.
Review: Junkyard Control and Abatement (FHPM 7-6-4).	This regulation would provide definition of "effective control" of junkyards per 23 U.S.C. 136. It would identify alternative methods for abating nonconforming junkyards and establish the basic framework for State development of police power regulations and procedures. It would also identify items which are eligible for Federal participation in the various abatement techniques such as screening, removal, and relocation (23 CFR pt. 751).	Richard Moeller, 202-245-0021	NPRM October 1978, FR February 1979.
Review: Relocation Assistance—General (FHPM 7-5-1).	This regulation would prescribe the general provisions and procedures for the uniform implementation and conduct of the nationwide relocation assistance program to assure the fair and equitable treatment of persons displaced by highway programs (23 CFR pt. 740).	R. G. King, 202-426-0116	FR February 1979.
Review: Relocation Assistance—Relocation Services (FHPM 7-5-2).	This regulation would set forth the requirements for that portion of the relocation program dealing with the services and assistance to be made available to persons displaced by or adversely affected by highway and related projects (23 CFR pt. 740).	.....do	Do.
Review: Relocation Assistance—Moving Payments (FHPM 7-5-3).	This regulation would prescribe the moving payments and other benefits available to individuals, families, businesses, farm operations, nonprofit organizations, and owners of outdoor advertising devices forced to relocate due to highway activities (23 CFR pt. 740).	.....do	Do.
Review: Relocation Assistance—Replacement Housing Payments (FHPM 7-5-4).	This regulation would prescribe the payments and eligibility requirements for home owners and tenants forced to vacate their dwellings located on lands needed for highway purposes (23 CFR pt. 740).	.....do	Do.
Review: Relocation Assistance—Mobile Homes (FHPM 7-5-5).	This regulation would set forth the special provisions for payments and benefits applicable to owners and occupants of mobile homes located on lands required for highway purposes (23 CFR pt. 740).	.....do	Do.
Review: Relocation Assistance—Replacement Housing As Last Resort (FHPM 7-5-6).	This regulation would implement sec. 206 of Pub. L. 91-646 and prescribe the procedures and methods for providing replacement housing "as a last resort" when it is determined that a Federal or Federal-aid highway project cannot proceed to actual construction because comparable replacement housing is not available for persons to be displaced from their dwellings because of such construction (23 CFR pt. 740).	.....do	Do.

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION—Continued

NONSIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS—continued

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
Review: Land Service Facilities (FHPM 7-2-2-2).	This regulation would establish FHWA policy on participation in costs of facilities to provide or restore access to affected real property (23 CFR pt. 712).	Tom Johns, 202-426-0142	NPRM December 1978.
Review: Right-of-Way Revolving Fund (FHPM 7-2-7).	This regulation would prescribe FHWA policy on acquisition of right-of-way with funding pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 108(c) (23 CFR pt. 712).	.....do	Do.
Review: Management of Airspace (FHPM 7-4-3).	This regulation would prescribe FHWA policy on the use of airspace on Federal-aid highways for nonhighway purposes (23 CFR pt. 713).	.....do	Do.
Review: State Audit Expense—Contract Costs (FHPM 1-4-2-3).	This regulation would establish (a) the State's responsibility for the audit of costs incurred by "third parties" pursuant to a State/claimant contract on Federal-aid and other highway projects undertaken cooperatively with the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and (b) the reimbursement criteria for Federal participation in audit expense to be incurred (23 CFR pt. 140).	J. E. Lewis, 202-426-0562	FR December 1978.
Review: Application for and Obligation of Federal-aid Funds for Education and Training (FHPM 3-1-2).	This regulation would prescribe for programing ¼ of 1 pct Federal-aid highway funds for training and education (23 CFR pt. 260).	J. Coe, 202-426-9141	FR August 1978.
Construction Engineering Costs.....	This regulation would prescribe policies and procedures to claim reimbursement for eligible construction engineering costs and to request and approve an increase in statutory limitations from 10 pct to 15 pct of construction costs (23 CFR pt. 140).	J. E. Lewis, 202-426-0562	FR September 1978.
Review: State Legal Expense—Contract Claims (FHPM 1-4-2-4).	This regulation would establish the basis of eligibility for reimbursement of administrative settlement costs, and other legal expenses, including attorney salaries and fees, in the defense of contract claims on Federal-aid projects, including any Federal projects performed by a State under Federal-aid procedures (23 CFR pt. 140).	.....do	FR August 1978.
Review: Incentive payments for Controlling Outdoor Advertising on the Interstate System (FHPM 1-4-7).	This regulation would prescribe project procedures for making the incentive payments authorized by 23 U.S.C. 131(j) (23 CFR pt. 190).	.....do	Do.
Review: Bond Issue Projects (FHPM 1-4-8).	This regulation would prescribe policies and procedures for making the use of Federal funds in aiding the States in the retirement of the principal of bonds, pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 122 (23 CFR pt. 140).	K. C. Kippley, 202-426-0673	FR September 1978.
Review: Advance Construction of Federal-aid Projects (FHPM 6-3-2-7).	This regulation would prescribe procedures for the construction by a State of projects on any of the Federal-aid systems, in advance of apportionment of Federal-aid funds, or in lieu of apportioned funds for the interstate system only, and for the subsequent reimbursement to the State of the Federal share of the cost of project, pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 115 as amended (23 CFR pt. 630).	.....do	Do.
Review: Reimbursement Vouchers PR-20 and PR-21 (FHPM 1-4-1).	This regulation would prescribe the procedures to be followed by States in claiming and supporting costs incurred for work performed (23 CFR pt. 140).	J. E. Lewis, 202-426-0673	FR December 1978.
Review: Recordkeeping and Retention Requirements for Federal-aid Highway Records of State Highway Agencies (FHPM 1-6-2).	This regulation would provide retention requirements for Federal-aid highway records of State highway agencies (23 CFR pt. 17).	John Schnackenberg, 202-426-0534	FR August 1978.
Review: Reimbursement for Employment of Public Employees of Federal-aid Projects (FHPM 1-4-5).	This regulation would prescribe policies and procedures governing the extent to which Federal funds may participate in the cost of salaries and wage and related labor costs, incurred by public forces of State highway departments, counties, cities, or other political subdivisions (23 CFR pt. 140).	J. E. Lewis, 202-426-0562	FR December 1978.
Review: Tire Requirements.....	The FHWA is considering amending the tire requirements regulation (49 CFR 393.75) for the purpose of (1) exempting front tires on single unit vehicles from tire marking requirements, (2) exempting all vehicles operating in commercial zones from tire marking requirements, and (3) providing an exemption for tire overloading for vehicles operating under State permits (49 CFR pt. 393).	Donnell W. Morrison 202-426-1700	NPRM September 1978.
Review: Disqualifying Offenses, Drugs.	The FHWA is considering amending the disqualification of drivers regulation (49 CFR 391.15) by reviewing and enlarging that group of substances and drugs, whose use by drivers, operating commercial motor vehicles, is forbidden and is considered a disqualifying offense (49 CFR pt. 391).	Gerald S. Davis, 202-426-9767	NPRM January 1979.
Review: Visual Acuity.....	The FHWA is considering amending the physical qualification for drivers regulation (49 CFR 391.41) by reviewing and determining minimum visual acuity in each eye separately as well as binocular acuity for commercial vehicle drivers (49 CFR pt. 391).	.....do	Do.
Review: Highway Safety Improvements Program (docket No. 78-4; FHPM 8-2-3).	When setting priorities for railroad-grade crossing improvements, present regulations require States to consider hazard rating, accident history, and the results of on-site inspections. It is necessary that consideration also be given to other factors which have the potential for catastrophic accidents. The proposed change in regulation would add 2 more factors to be used in determining priority for grade crossing projects; (1)The frequency with which trains carrying hazardous materials use the crossing; (2) the number of persons exposed to the crossing (passenger load of train; crossing use by school or passenger bus, pedestrians or other situations involving large numbers of people). NPRM was published in FEDERAL REGISTER on April 7, 1978 (23 CFR pt. 924).	J. L. Rummel 202-426-2131	FR October 1978.

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION—Continued

NONSIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS—continued

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
Review: Indian Reservation Roads (FHPM 6-3-2-8).	This regulation would contain procedures implementing 23 U.S.C. 208 and the FHWA Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) (Department of Interior) interagency agreement covering FHWA overview of BIA highway projects.	George Hutzelmann 202-426-0460	ANPRM September 1978, NPRM November 1978, FR February 1979.
Review: Public Lands Development Roads and Trails (FHPM 6-9-4-2).	This regulation would contain procedures implementing 23 U.S.C. 214 and the FHWA Bureau of Land Management (BLM) (Department of Interior) interagency agreement covering FHWA overview of BLM highway projects.	.....do	ANPRM October 1978, NPRM December 1978, FR March 1979.
Review: Forest Highways (FHPM 6-9-2-1).	This regulation would contain procedures applicable to forest highways projects administered by direct Federal offices and State highway departments (23 CFR pt. 660).	.....do	ANPRM November 1978, NPRM January 1979, FR April 1979.
Review: Special Bridge Replacement Program (FHPM 6-7-4-1).	This regulation would describe the policies and procedures followed by FHWA in administering the special bridge replacement program including what projects are eligible, how applications are filed and evaluated, and how projects are funded. FR issued August 9, 1978 (23 CFR pt 650).	L. A. Herr or Stanley Gordon 202-472-7697	Action complete.
Review: Location and Hydraulic Design of Encroachments on Flood Plains (FHPM 6-7-3-2).	This regulation would establish FHWA policy and procedures to assure good flood plain management practices are followed in the Federal aid highway programs (23 CFR pt. 650).	Frank L. Johnson or Philip L. Thompson, 202-472-7690	NPRM September 1978, FR December 1978.
Review: Erosion and Sediment Control on Highway Construction Projects (FHPM 6-7-3-1).	This regulation would prescribe practices for the prevention and abatement of erosion and sediment damage on highway projects (23 CFR pt. 650).	Frank L. Johnson 202-472-7690	FR October 1978.
Review: Permits for Highway Work in or Adjacent to Streams (FHPM 6-7-1-1).	This regulation would contain procedures dealing with permits and include memoranda of understanding with the Coast Guard and the Corps of Engineers as appendixes. (23 CFR pt. 650).	.....do.....	Do.
Review: Coordination of Water Resources Development Projects (FHPM 6-1-1-4).	This regulation would prescribe policy and procedure for the coordination and financing of highway-water resources development projects.	Joseph W. Burdell 202-426-0442 or Frank L. Johnson 202-472-7690	Do.
Fracture Control Plan (for Bridges Containing Fracture-Critical Members).	This regulation would supersede provisions of materials and welding specifications published by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) and the American Welding Society (AWS), where the materials and welding are to be used in tension components of a bridge whose failure could result in the collapse of the bridge (23 CFR pt. 650).	Mr. L. A. Herr or Mr. C. E. Hartbower 202-426-0426	NPRM October 1978.
Review: Highways for National Defense (FHPM 6-9-5).	This regulation would update and simplify requiremens. The regulation would essentially provide guidance in administering defense access-road funds where requirements differ from normal Federal-aid procedures. Defense-access funds are transferred from the Department of Defense for use on certain defense related or impacted roads (23 CFR pt. 660).	Emmett C. Kaericher, Jr. 202-426-0466	FR September 1978.
Review: Required Contract Provisions—Federal-aid Contract (FHPM 6-4-1-1).	This regulation would update and clarify revisions of the required contract provisions for Federal-aid construction contracts (23 CFR pt. 633).	K. L. Ziems 202-426-4847	FR October 1978.
Review: Contract Procedures (FHPM 6-4-1-6).	This regulation would simplify Federal-aid contract procedures (23 CFR pt. 635).	.....do	NPRM August 1978, FR October 1978.
Review: Contract and Force Account, Justifications Required for Force Account Work (FHPM 6-4-1-14).	This regulation would simplify procedures relating to Federal-aid construction work performed by other than competitively awarded contract (23 CFR pt. 635).	.....do	FR September 1978.
Review: General Material Requirements (FHPM 6-4-1-16).	This regulation would simplify procedures relating to general material requirements for Federal-aid construction work (23 CFR pt. 635).	.....do	FR October 1978.
Review: Physical Construction Authorization (FHPM 6-4-2-1).	This regulation would simplify procedures relating to authorization of the physical construction (23 CFR pt. 635).	.....do	Do.
Review: Sampling and Testing of Materials and Construction (FHPM 6-4-2-7).	This regulation would revise current procedures relating to sampling and testing of materials and construction in Federal-aid highway projects except those constructed pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 117 which deals with certification acceptance and projects constructed under direct supervison of the Federal Highway Administration (23 CFR pt. 637).	Ross Martinez 202-426-0420	NPRM October 1978.
Review: Inspection of Federal-Aid Construction Projects (FHPM 6-4-2-8).	This regulation would prescribe the policies, procedures, and guides for inspection and approval of Federal-aid highway construction projects, except those constructed pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 117 which deals with certification acceptance (23 CFR pt. 637).	Donald Steinke 202-426-0436	NPRM December 1978.
Review: Landscape and Roadside Development (FHPM 6-2-5-1).	This regulation prescribes policies and procedures relating to highway landscaping and plant establishment, safety rest areas and information centers and systems, and scenic strips in connection with Federal-aid highway projects. Interim Final Regulations published in FEDERAL REGISTER May 5, 1978 (23 CFR pt. 752).	Ken Rickerson 202-426-0314	FR August 1978.
Review: Pedestrian Facilities and Bikeways.	This regulation strengthens the policy requiring consideration of pedestrian and bicycle facilities on all Federal-aid highway projects and specifies participation limits on bikeways on independent alignment. Final Regulation published in FEDERAL REGISTER April 27, 1978 (23 CFR pt. 652).	.....do	Action complete.
Review: Pavement Design Policy (FHPM 6-2-4-1).	This regulation would set forth general pavement design policy for Federal-aid highway projects including stage construction (23 CFR pt. 626).	Leon M. Noel 202-426-0327	FR September 1978.
Resurfacing, Restoration, and Rehabilitation (R-R-R) Work (FHPM 6-2-4-2).	This regulation would set forth policy and project procedures for implementing R-R-R provisions of the 1976 Federal-aid Highway Act.	.....do	FR November 1978.
Review: Skid Resistant Surface Design (FHPM 6-2-4-3).	This regulation would set forth pavement design policy as it pertains to skid resistance on Federal-aid highway projects.	.....do	NPRM January 1979.

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION—Continued

NONSIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS—continued

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
Pavement Type Selection and Alternate Bids (FHPM 6-2-4-4).	This regulation would set forth policy for the selection of pavement type on Federal-aid projects, and procedures to be used for bidding on more than 1 alternate.	.....do	NPRM March 1979.
Review: Design Standards for Highways (FHPM 6-2-1-1).	This regulation designates standards, specifications, policies, guides, and references that are acceptable for use on Federal-aid highway projects. Final Regulations published in FEDERAL REGISTER April 7, 1978 (23 CFR pt. 625).	Alvin R. Cowan 202-426-0312	Action complete.
Review: Plans, Specifications, and Estimates (FHPM 6-3-3-1).	This regulation would prescribe policies and procedures relating to the preparation, submission and approval of plans, specifications, and estimates and supporting documents for Federal-aid projects (23 CFR pt. 630).	.....do	NPRM September 1978.
Review: Traffic Operations Program to Increase Capacity and Service (TOPICS) (FHPM 6-8-2-2).	This regulation would propose a revision to shorten and simplify the regulations relating to the topics program (23 CFR pt. 655).	Chester F. Phillips 202-426-0323	FR September 1978.
Review: Traffic Control Devices on Federal-aid and Other Streets and Highways (FHPM 6-8-3-1).	This regulation would prescribe the policies and procedures of FHWA relative to obtaining basic uniformity in the visible features and functioning of traffic control devices on all highways open to public travel in accordance with the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways (23 CFR pt. 655).	Donald P. Ryan 202-426-0411	FR October 1978.
Review: Motorist Aid Systems (FHPM 6-8-3-3).	This regulation would provide policies and procedures relating to motorist-aid systems on Federal-aid highways (23 CFR pt. 655).	Robert Hays 202-426-0411	Do.
Review: Traffic Surveillance and Control (FHPM 6-8-3-4).	This regulation would establish policies and procedures relating to the expenditure of Federal-aid funds for traffic surveillance and control measures and equipment to reduce congestion, improve traffic flow and increase safety (23 CFR pt. 655).	.....do	Do.
National Standards for Specific Information Sign (Docket No.: 77-6, FHPM 6-8-3-8).	This regulation would expand existing standards for specific information signs on the Interstate System and other freeways on the primary system to other primary highways as authorized by section 122(a), Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1976 (23 CFR pt. 655).	Donald P. Ryan 202-426-0411	FR January 1979.
Great River Road (FHPM 6-9-15).....	This regulation would outline procedures to be followed in the funding, programing, and execution of a program for a national scenic and recreational highway in the Mississippi River Valley known as the Great River Road (23 CFR pt. 661).	V. Ciletti 202-426-0540	NPRM October 1978.
Review: Relinquishment of Highway Facilities (FHPM 6-1-1-8).	This regulation would prescribe Federal Highway Administration procedures relating to relinquishment of highway facilities (23 CFR pt. 620).	R. J. Kreklau or C. R. Green 202-426-0334	NPRM September 1978.
Certification Acceptance (FHPM 6-5-2).	This regulation which provides for instructions for preparation and acceptance of State certification proposals to accomplish the policies and objectives of 23 U.S.C. 117 would be amended to eliminate the requirement that States mark and submit State laws, regulations, etc., with a certificate acceptance request (23 CFR pt. 140).	C. R. Green 202-426-0334	FR September 1978.
Review: Reimbursement for Railroad Work (FHPM 1-4-3).	This regulation would prescribe policies and procedures on reimbursement to the States for railroad work done on projects undertaken pursuant to the provisions 23 CFR 646B (23 CFR pt. 140).	J. A. Carney 202-426-0104	NPRM December 1978.
Review: Utility Reclamation and Adjustment (FHPM 1-4-4).	This regulation would prescribe the policies and procedures for the adjustment and relocation of utility facilities on Federal-aid highway projects and projects under the direct supervision of the Federal Highway Administration (23 CFR pt. 145).	.....do	NPRM November 1978.
Review: Accommodation of Utilities (FHPM 6-6-2-3).	This regulation would prescribe policies and procedures for accommodating utility facilities on the rights-of-way of Federal and Federal-aid projects (23 CFR pt. 645).	.....do	Do.
Review: Railroad Highway Projects (FHPM 6-6-2-1).	This regulation would prescribe policies and procedures for advancing Federal-aid projects involving railroad facilities (23 CFR pt. 646).	.....do	NPRM December 1978.
Review: Federal Program Approval and Project Authorization (FHPM 6-3-2-2).	This regulation would prescribe policies and procedures for preparation and submission of programs for utilization of highway construction funds and describes authorization procedures FR issued August 11, 1978 (23 CFR pt 630).	V. Ciletti 202-426-0104	Action complete.
Review: Emergency Funds Procedure (FHPM 6-3-2-10).	This regulation would outline the procedures to be followed in the administration of emergency funds for the repair or reconstruction of Federal roads and Federal-aid highways damaged or destroyed by material disasters or catastrophic failures (23 CFR pt. 630).	.....do	FR October 1978.
Review: Public Lands Highways (FHPM 6-9-4-1).	This regulation would outline the procedures to be followed in administering funds authorized for Public Lands Highways (23 CFR pt. 660).	.....do	Do.
Review: Project Agreements (FHPM 6-3-1-1).	This regulation would prescribe the forms and procedures for the preparation and execution of the project agreement required by 23 U.S.C. 110(a) for Federal-aid projects (23 CFR pt. 630).	L. Pettigrew or C. R. Green 202-426-0334	NPRM December 1978.
Review: Exemption From Preparing Driver's Daily Logs for Operations Between Certain Fixed Locations (docket No. MC-70-2).	This regulation would propose to exempt certain drivers from preparing the driver's log when they operate between specified fixed locations or over the same route day after day within the allowable hours of service (49 CFR pt. 395).	Gerald J. Davis 202-426-9767	NPRM January 1979.
Review: Rear End Underride Protection (docket No. MC-77).	This regulation would propose to provide improved rear end protection on heavy motor vehicles manufactured after a certain date to prevent the underriding of vehicles which impact the rear of those vehicles (49 CFR pt. 393).	D. W. Morrison 202-426-1700	NPRM March 1979.

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION—Continued

NONSIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS—continued

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
Review: 100-Mile Exemption—Driver's Logs (docket No. MC-78).	This regulation would propose to increase the present 50-mile radius exemption from the daily log requirement to a radius of 100 miles (49 CFR pt. 395).	Gerald J. Davis 202-426-9767	FR January 1979.
Toxic Gases in Truck Cabs (docket No. MC-80).	This regulation would set maximum toxic gas levels in truck cabs (49 CFR pt. 399).	.....do	NPRM January 1979.
Ambient Temperature in Heavy Duty Truck Cabs (docket No. MC-81).	This regulation would set maximum permissible ambient temperature in truck cabs (49 CFR pt. 399).	.....do	Do.
Review: Parts and Accessories Necessary for Safe Operations (docket No. MC-82).	This regulation would aline the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations with the Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards of the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration to ensure manufacturing and operational compatibility (49 CFR pt. 393).	D. W. Morrison 202-426-1700	FR March 1979.
Air-brake System on Commercial Motor Vehicles—NHTSA Standard No. 121 (docket No. MC-83).	This regulation would require that any antilock system installed on the drive axle of truck tractors to comply with FMVSS No. 121 be kept operative. Comment period extended indefinitely as a result of order issued by 9th circuit court, U.S. Court of Appeals in the *ACCAR, et al.* v. *NHTSA* case (49 CFR pt. 393).	.....do	FR February 1979.
Review: Multi-day Log (docket No. MC-69-2).	This regulation amends the existing regulation dealing with the hours of service of drivers by extending the use of the multiday log past June 1978. Amendment published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on July 7, 1978 extending effect of driver log to Sept. 30, 1978 (49 CFR pt. 395).	Gerald J. Davis 202-426-9767	Action complete.
Review: Relocation Assistance Moving Payments—Moving Expense Schedules (FHPM 7-5-3).	This regulation would set forth the FHWA approved moving expense schedules which are applicable to all residential moves necessitated by all Federal programs administered by all Federal agencies. These schedules are reviewed and updated by each State highway department on a semiannual basis and approved by FHWA prior to final publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER semiannually (49 CFR 25).	R. G. King 202-426-0116	FR October 1978, FR March 1979.
Vegetation Planting Obscuring Legal Outdoor Advertising Signs (77-13).	An ANPRM was planned to address the possibility FHWA prohibiting Federal-aid assistance in new landscape plantings that may now or in the future obscure legal outdoor advertising signs. This proposal is no longer considered necessary.	Ken Rickerson 202-426-0314	Action complete.
Employment and Materials Report PR-47 (FHPM 6-4-1-9).	This regulation would implement new reporting procedures for the collection data on usage of highway construction materials, supplies and labor.	L. Staron 202-426-0404	NPRM September 1978.
Roadway Drainage.....	This regulation would provide FHWA policy and procedures for the design of roadway drainage systems for conveying runoff from highways (23 CFR pt. 650).	Frank L. Johnson or Philip L. Thompson 202-426-7690	NPRM June 1979.
Uniform Criteria for Warning Devices at Railroad-Highway Grade Crossings.	This ANPRM would invite comments and would request information relative to the issuance of uniform nationwide criteria for the selection of various types of warning devices to be installed at railroad-highway grade crossings, ANPRM issued Aug. 10, 1978 (23 CFR pt. 646).	J. A. Carney 202-426-0104	NPRM August 1979.

FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION

SIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS

Improved Glazing Materials for Railroad Rolling Equipment (docket No. RSGM-1).	A. *Description:* Glazing materials that resist puncture by bullets and rocks are available. FRA is considering requiring the use of these materials in the windows of locomotives, passenger cars, and cabooses. B. *Why significant:* Anticipated degree of controversy based on the responses to the ANPRM and an initial identification of cost factors in comparison with benefits achievable under such a rule. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will be required. D. *Need:* Increasing frequency of incidents in which rocks and bullets are being directed at trains resulting in personal injuries or death for train crews and passengers. E. *Legal basis:* The Federal Railroad Safety Act of 1970 (45 U.S.C. 431). F. *Chronology:* The ANPRM was published Mar. 10, 1977 (42 FR 13309). Subsequently, demonstration testing has been done at Aberdeen Proving Grounds to evaluate some of the potential materials. FRA is evaluating the responses to the ANPRM and the demonstration testing to determine future action. G. *Citation:* No CFR citation as yet.	Philip J. Brannigan, 202-426-9186	NPRM September 1978.
Strobe Lights on Locomotives (docket No. RSGC-2).	A. *Description:* Lighted warning devices that include strobe lights have been shown to be more readily visible than normal lighting devices. FRA is considering requiring the installation of strobe lights on locomotives. B. *Why significant:* Anticipated interest on the part of State and local governments; intensity of controversy over bad side effects from strobe light exposure for train crews and degree of controversy reflected by response to ANPRM. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will be required. D. *Need:* Grade crossing accidents represent the single largest group of railroad related fatalities each year. Available data indicate that the conspicuity of locomotives may be a factor in many of these accidents. Limited research with one railroad has indicated that equipping locomotives with strobe lights will improve their conspicuity and may lead to a reduction in these accident statistics. E. *Legal basis:* The Federal Railroad Safety Act of 1970 (45 U.S.C. 431).	John A. McNally, 202-426-9178	NPRM September 1978.

FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION—Continued

SIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS—continued

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
	F. *Chronology:* The ANPRM was published Mar. 7, 1978 (43 FR 9328). FRA is evaluating the responses to the ANPRM and is conducting a test program to obtain operational data concerning these devices. G. *Citation:* No CFR citations as yet.		
Final Station Program Cost Sharing Determination (FRA Intercity Passenger docket No. 1).	A. *Description:* Title VII of the Railroad Revitalization and Regulatory Reform Act of 1976 (45 U.S.C. 851 et seq.) provides for the upgrading by the Secretary of Transportation of the passenger railroad system between Washington, D.C., and Boston, Mass., including the funding of certain station improvements. Non-Federal parties will have to bear one-half of the cost of the nonoperational portions of stations (as determined by the Secretary) used in intercity passenger service and of related facilities. This notice would set forth the Department's proposed determination as to what will constitute a "nonoperational portion" of a station and a "related facility" for purpose of allocating station project costs between the Federal Government and other participating entities. B. *Why significant:* Anticipated great interest on the part of State and local transportation agencies due to the shared cost implication of the Secretary's determination. C. *Regulatory analysis:* None required. D. *Need:* Required by statute. E. *Legal basis:* Sec. 703(1)(b) of the Railroad Revitalization and Regulatory Reform Act of 1976 (45 U.S.C. 853(1)(b)). F. *Chronology:* A proposed determination was published at 43 FR 18394 (Apr. 28, 1978). It had been under development since early 1977. G. *Citation:* No CFR citation as yet.	Christopher Moffitt, 202-464-7737	FR October 1978.

NONSIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS

Blue Signal Protection of Workmen (docket No. RSOR-3, notice 14).	The proposed rule seeks to amend the regulation to resolve various operational difficulties created by current regulatory language (49 CFR pt. 218).	John A. McNally, 202-426-9179	Revised NPRM August 1978.
Construction of Railroad Employees Sleeping Quarters (docket No. HS-2).	The final rule would implement congressional directive in Hours of Service Act (45 U.S.C. 62(a)(4)) making it unlawful to begin construction of sleeping quarters in the immediate vicinity of a railroad yard. FR issued July 19, 1978 (43 FR 31006).	Grady C. Cothen, 202-426-8285	Action complete.
Railroad Bridge Safety Standards	The proposed rule would establish safety standards for inspection and rating of load capacity for railroad bridges.	William R. Paxton, 202-426-0912	NPRM December 1978.
Railroad Noise Emission Compliance Regulations (docket No. RNE-1).	The proposed rule would amend FRA railroad noise emission compliance regulations to reflect EPA standards for fixed railroad facilities that are to be issued by Aug. 30, 1978 (49 CFR pt. 210).	John A. McNally, 202-426-9178	NPRM February 1979.
Locomotive-Wheel Slip/Slide Indicators (docket No. LI-4).	The final rule would require wheel slip/slide indicators on locomotives and that these devices be repaired immediately if they become defective in service (49 CFR pt. 230).	Philip J. Brannigan, 202-426-9186	FR September 1978.
Track Safety Standards	The proposed rule would seek to make technical amendments to track safety standards to reflect research results and experience with current standards. (49 CFR pt. 213).	William R. Paxton, 202-426-0912	NPRM September 1978.
Rules, Standards, and Instructions for Railroad Signal Systems.	The proposed rule would seek to make miscellaneous technical amendments to the signal inspection rules (49 CFR pt. 236).	do	NPRM October 1978.
Signal Systems Reporting Rules	The proposed rule would seek to require the reporting of failures of active grade crossing warning devices (49 CFR pt. 233).	do	Do.
Safety Standards for Cabooses (docket No. RSC-76-6).	The proposed rule would seek to establish comprehensive safety standards for cabooses.	Philip J. Brannigan, 202-426-9186	NPRM December 1978.
Noise Levels for Train Crew Members	This action would explore feasibility of reducing noise exposure for train crews in both locomotive cabs and cabooses.	John A. McNally, 202-426-9179	ANPRM January 1979.
Speed Indicators on Locomotives	This proposed rule would seek to amend existing locomotive inspection rules to require speed indicators on locomotives and to require their immediate repair if they become defective in service (49 CFR pt. 230).	Philip J. Brannigan, 2092-426-9186	NPRM October 1978.
Maintenance, Inspection and Testing of Grade Crossing Devices (docket No. RSGC-1).	This proposed rule would seek to establish standards for the inspection, testing and maintenance of active highway grade crossing of warning devices.	William R. Paxton, 202-426-0912	NPRM August 1978.
Procedures for Considering Environmental Impacts (docket No. EP-1).	This action would set forth procedures for the construction, preparation and processing of environmental impact statements and related documents for FRA actions.	Brigid Hynes-Cherin, 202-426-8220	FR September 1978.
Rail Service Assistance to States Under Section 5 of the DOT Act (FRA Economic docket No. 4).	This action would amend 49 CFR pt. 266 to provide guidelines for a more comprehensive application for substitute service assistance.	Larry A. Friedman, 202-426-7710	FR October 1978.
Rail Banking Under Section 810 of the 4R Act of 1976 (FRA State Rail docket No. 1).	This action would amend the interim rail bank regulations (49 CFR pt. 270) based upon comments received. Congressional action on S. 2981 would repeal the authorized legislation and eliminate the need for further action.	do	Do.
Intermodal Terminal Regulations Amendments (FRA State Rail docket No. 2).	On May 2, 1978, the regulations were amended to exclude certain functions transferred by law out of DOT, and to extend the deadlines for filing of applications. Although the regulations were issued in final form, comments were solicited until July 1, 1978. As warranted, changes will be made on the basis of the comments received.	Julius Gonoza, 202-755-9332	FR September 1976.

FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION—Continued

ROUTINE AND FREQUENT NONSIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
Rear Markers on Trains (docket No. RSRM-1).	This final rule would establish penalty schedules for violations of rear marker requirements (49 CFR pt. 221).	John A. McNally, 202-426-9179	FR November 1978.
Rules for Use of Radio in Train Operations (docket No. RSOR-5).	This final rule would establish penalty schedules for violations of radio rule requirements (49 CFR pt. 220).	....do....	FR December 1978.
Safety Appliances for Freight Cars.....	The proposed rule would seek to establish Safety Appliance Standards for newly designed equipment (49 CFR pt. 231).	Philip J. Brannigan, 202-426-9186	NPRM January 1979.
Accident/Incident Reporting Rules (docket No. RAR-2).	The proposed rule would seek to amend the accident reporting requirements by changing the monetary damage threshold for accidents required to be reported to FRA. This is a biennial adjustment to account for price changes (49 CFR pt. 275).	John A. McNally, 202-426-9179	NPRM November 1978.
Freight Car Safety Standards	This proposed rule would seek to make technical amendments to existing Freignt Car Safety Standards to eliminate various problem areas (49 CFR pt. 215).	Philip J. Brannigan, 202-426-9186	NPRM January 1978.

NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY ADMINISTRATION

SIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS

Uniform Tire Quality Grading System (docket No. 25).	A. *Description:* Grade tires on tread-life, wet traction and temperature resistance. An effective date for radial tires needs to be issued. B. *Why significant:* This rule is considered significant because of public interest. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* This regulation is congressionally mandated and the Agency is under court order requiring its issuance. E. *Legal basis:* National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act of 1966, as amended, Title II, sec. 203. 15 U.S.C. 1453. F. *Chronology:* NPRM (38 FR 6194) issued in 1973 and final rule issued in May 1975 (40 FR 23073). The rule was challenged by the domestic manufacturers but was upheld, except for remand for minor changes, by the sixth circuit court of appeals. Final rule establishing effective dates for bias and belted bias tires issued July 12, 1978. G. *Citation:* 49 CFR 575.	Michael Brownlee, 202-426-1740	FR March 1979, (radials).
Light Trucks Fuel Economy Rulemaking.	A. *Description:* Would set average fuel economy standards for model years 1982-84 light trucks. B. *Why significant:* The rule is considered significant because of the impact on the automotive industry, the public, and energy consumption. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will be required. D. *Need:* Conservation of petroleum. E. *Legal basis:* Motor Vehicle Information and Cost Savings Act, as amended. 15 U.S.C. 2002. F. *Chronology:* None yet. G. *Citation:* 49 CFR pt. 533.	Richard Strombotne, 202-426-0846	NPRM March 1979.
Air Brake Systems (docket No. 75-16).	A. *Description:* Proposes a moratorium on the antilock requirements of FMVSS 121 for trailers and the establishment of a test program to determine the effects of antilock. B. *Why significant:* The rule is considered significant because of the level of public and congressional interest. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* In response to complaints from truck users about the safety and reliability of the antilock systems. E. *Legal basis:* National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act of 1966, as amended (15 U.S.C. 1381 et seq.). F. *Chronology:* NPRM issued in March 1978 (43 FR 9626). The ninth circuit court of appeals, in April 1978, partially invalidated FMVSS 121. NHTSA has filed a petition for certiorari to the Supreme Court. The ninth circuit's ruling is stayed at least until the Supreme Court rules on the petition. The comment period on the NPRM was extended indefinitely by supplemental notice published June 8, 1978 (43 FR 24871). NHTSA will take appropriate action on this matter when the Supreme Court rules. G. *Citation:* 49 CFR pt. 121.	A. Malliaris, 202-426-0842	Dependent upon court actions.
Passenger Automobile Fuel Economy Rulemaking.	A. *Description:* Reevaluates average fuel economy standards for model years 1984 and 1985 passenger automobiles and would set average fuel economy standards for model year 1986 passenger automobiles. B. *Why significant:* The rule is considered significant because of the impact on the automotive industry, the public, and energy consumption. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will be required. D. *Need:* Conservation of petroleum. E. *Legal basis:* Motor Vehicle Information and Cost Savings Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 2002. F. *Chronology:* None yet. G. *Citation:* 49 CFR 531.	Richard Strombotne, 202-426-0846	NPRM March 1979, FR December 1979.
Confidential Business Information.....	A. *Description:* Would codify existing method of processing confidential information from manufacturers. B. *Why significant:* This rule is considered significant because of the controversial nature of confidential business information. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required.	Joe Levin, 202-426-9511	FR November 1978.

NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY ADMINISTRATION—Continued
SIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS—continued

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
	D. *Need:* To assure the manufacturer a more predictable process of information gathering and to streamline and speed up NHTSA use of data. E. *Legal basis:* National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act of 1966, as amended, and the Motor Vehicle Information and Cost Savings Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 1381; 15 U.S.C. 2002. F. *Chronology:* NPRM issued May 25, 1978 (43 FR 22412). G. *Citation:* 49 CFR 512.		
	NONSIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS		
School Bus Crash Protection (docket No. 73-03).	Would extend Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) 222 to cover day-care center buses (49 CFR 571.222).	A. Malliaris 202-426-0842	NPRM September 1978.
Seat Belt Assemblies (docket No. 74-14).	Would improve seat belt comfort, convenience, reliability, and effectiveness by prescribing parameters for performance and dynamic testing of seat belt assemblies (49 CFR 571.208).	....do	NPRM December 1978.
Child Restraint Systems (docket No. 74-9).	Would upgrade performance of all child restraints by performing dynamic tests using child and infant dummies; regulate infant restraints. NPRM issued May 10, 1978 (49 CFR 571.213).	....do	FR October 1979.
School Bus Passenger Seating and Crash Protection (docket No. 73-03).	Would amend FMVSS 222 to increase the maximum allowable seat spacing in school buses from 20 to 21 inches; this spacing would accommodate large high school students while still ensuring a safe level of school bus seat performance (49 CFR 571.222).	....do	FR November 1978.
School Bus Crash Protection (docket No. 73-03).	Would amend pt. 571.3 definitions to include a subclassification for "School Activities Bus" and amend FMVSS 222 as it would apply to this vehicle class (49 CFR 571.222).	....do	NPRM July 1979.
Test Dummies Representing 3-year and 6-Month Old Children (docket No. 73-8).	Would provide specifications for dummies to be used in testing for compliance with FMVSS 213, child restraint systems, in conjunction with proposal to amend that standard to provide for dynamic testing and to extend its applicability. NPRM issued May 10, 1978 (49 CFR pt. 572).	....do	FR October 1979.
Adjudicative Procedures—Fuel Economy.	Would establish procedures and rules of practice for adjudications to enforce the fuel economy provisions in title V of the Motor Vehicle Information and Cost Savings Act. This will be issued as an intermin regulation August 1978. The public will be invited to comment on the interim regulation. FR will be published December 1978.	Stephen Wood 202-426-2992	Interim regulation August 1978 FR December 1978.
Low Volume Manufacturers Fuel Economy Rulemaking (docket No. FE 76-04).	A rule may be proposed to refine the requirements regarding the contents of and procedures for disposition of petitions from low volume manufacturers for exemption and for establishment of alternative standards (49 CFR pt. 525).	Richard Strombotne 202-426-0846	NPRM November 1978.
Occupant Protection in Interior Impacts.	Would extend to trucks, buses, and multipurpose vehicles of 10,000 lbs or less, the current standard for passenger cars designed to cushion impact of passengers striking interior vehicle structures (49 CFR 571.201).	A. Malliaris 202-426-0842	NPRM September 1978.
Collapsible Steering Column	Extend to light trucks and possible upgrade (49 CFR 571.203).	....do	Do.
Steering Control Rearward Displacement.	Extend to light trucks and possible upgrade (49 CFR 571.204).	....do	Do.
Low Tire-Pressure Warning Indicator.	Would require a low pressure tire warning indicator on all vehicles which can be present to show a specified drop from recommended pressure (new).	....do	NPRM December 1978.
Vehicle Exterior Protrusions	Would eliminate protrusions of the ornamental/identification type and sharp edges, both of which could cause injury to pedestrians, cyclists, and others (new).	....do	NPRM September 1978.
Rearview Mirror (docket No. 74-20)	This technical amendment would modify the existing FMVSS 111 to improve compliance procedures, provide for optional plain and convex mirrors on trucks and upgrade heavy truck mirror systems (49 CFR 571.111).	....do	NPRM January 1980.
Door Locks and Door Retention Components.	This technical amendment would clarify existing test procedures and extend the applicability of FMVSS 206 such that present side door requirements cover transverse rear doors (49 CFR 571.206).	....do	NPRM December 1978.
Gross Coupling Weight Rating (docket No. 73-15).	An amendment to the regulations on certification (49 CFR 567) and of vehicles manufactured in 2 or more stages (49 CFR 568) would require labeling of the gross coupling weight rating for semitrailers.	Roger Compton 202-426-1767	FR September 1978.
Road Wheel Identification and Selection.	This proposal would establish certain requirements of wheel rims to reduce misapplication of wheels and thereby reduce accidents caused by radial overloading and cornering weakness (new).	A. Malliaris 202-426-0842	NPRM January 1979.
Fields of Direct View (docket No. 70-7).	This proposal would establish requirements for the maximum allowable size of obstructions in the field of view of drivers, the luminous transmittance of glazing, and the location and functional characteristics of sun visors (new).	....do	NPRM August 1978.
Rear View Mirrors (docket No. 71-3a)	This proposal would amend FMVSS 111 to: (a) reduce the blind areas by upgrading mirror visibility using improved compliance testing procedures, (b) upgrade occupant protection requirements and add pedestrian protection requirements using shatter resistant and breakaway or foldaway tests, (c) set specifications for day-night reflectance requirements to reduce headlight glare, (d) set specifications for convex mirror quality and use, and (e) minimize obstruction of the forward view by establishing mirror location specifications (49 CFR 571.111).	....do	Do.
Lamps, Reflective Devices, and Associated Equipment (docket No. 69-19).	This proposal will revise the minimum size requirement of lenses used on moped stop lamps. It is in response to a petition that the current requirements are excessively stringent (49 CFR 571.108).	....do	NPRM October 1978.
Vehicle Identifcation Number (VIN) (docket No. 1-22).	This proposal would amend the VIN to extend its applicability and specify its content and format. NPRM issued Jan. 16, 1978 (49 CFR 571.115) FR issued Aug. 17, 1978.	....do	Action complete.

NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY ADMINISTRATION—Continued

NONSIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS—continued

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
Occupant Crash Protection (docket No. 74-14).	Permit the use of continuous spool release mechanism in passive belts. NPRM issued May 22, 1978 (49 CFR 571.208).	....do	FR September 1978.
Seat Belt Anchorages (docket No. 2-14).	Responds to petition to exempt passive belts from requirements regarding location of anchorages. NPRM issued May 26, 1978 (49 CFR 571.210).	....do	FR August 1978.
Hydraulic Brake Systems (docket No. 70-27).	This proposal would extend coverage of FMVSS 105-75 from passenger cars to multi-purpose vehicles, buses, and all trucks (49 CFR 571.105).	....do	NPRM July 1979.
Headlight Candlepower	This proposal would increase the maximum allowable candlepower of motor vehicle headlighting systems from 75,000 cp to 150,000 cp. It would also require an identification code for headlamps. FR published July 27, 1978 (43 FR 32416) (49 CFR 571.108).	....do	Action complete.
Brake System Inspectability	Would establish certain levels of brake degradation as unsafe and to establish test procedures and criteria for measurement. The ANPRM would request technological approaches (new).	....do	ANPRM January 1979.
Theft Protection (docket No. 1-21)	Would amend existing standards to require separate keys for doors and ignition, door lock modifications, internal control of hood latch, modification in ignition wiring and ignition key alarm. Would apply to passenger cars, light trucks and vans. NPRM issued May 1, 1978 (49 CFR 571.114).	....do	FR February 1979.
Controls and Displays (docket No. 1-18).	This rule requires the use of symbols and allow the use of additional words if the manufacturer desires. Symbols allowed would generally conform to those adopted by the international standards organization to create an internationally uniform scheme. FR issued June 21, 1978 (43 FR 27541) (49 CFR 571.101).	....do	Action complete.
Brake Hoses (docket No. 1-5)	This amendment to FMVSS 106 relieves several restrictions relating to assembly labelling requirements. FR issued May 11, 1978 (49 CFR 571.106).	....do	Do.
Fuel Economy Exemptions			
Exemption from and Establishment of Fuel Economy Standards (docket No. LVM 77-01).	Analysis of petition of exemption from 1978 standard and setting of alternative standard for Avanti Motor Corp. FR issued June 29, 1978 (49 CFR 525).	R. Strombotne 202-426-0846	Do.
Do	Analysis of petition for exemption from 1979 and 1980 standards and setting of alternative standards for Avanti Motor Corp (49 CFR 525).	....do	NPRM September 1978, FR October 1978.
Exemption from and Establishment of Fuel Economy Standards (docket No. LVM 77-03).	Analysis of petition of exemption from 1978 standard and setting of alternative standard for Checker Motors NPRM issued June 8, 1978. FR issued Aug. 7, 1978 (43 FR 34785) (49 CFR 525).	....do	Action complete.
Do	Analysis of petition for exemption from 1979 and 1980 standards and setting of alternative standards for Checker Motors (49 CFR 525).	....do	NPRM September 1978, FR October 1978.
Exemption from and Establishment of Fuel Economy Standards (docket No. LVM 77-02).	Analysis of petition for exemption from 1978 standard and setting of alternative standard for Rolls-Royce Motor, Inc. NPRM issued July 15, 1978 (43 FR 30081) (49 CFR 525).	....do	FR September 1978.
Do	Analysis of petition for exemption from 1979 and 1980 standards and setting of alternative standards for Rolls-Royce Motors, Inc. (49 CFR 525).	....do	NPRM September 1978, FR December 1978.
Exemption from and Establishment of Fuel Economy Standards (docket No. LVM 77-04).	Analysis of petition for exemption from 1979-80 standards and setting of alternative standards for Aston Martin Lagonda. (49 CFR 525).	....do	NPRM October 1978, FR November 1978.
Exemption from and Establishment of Fuel Economy Standards (docket No. LVM 77-05).	Analysis of petition for exemption from 1978 standard and setting of alternative standard for Excalibur Automobile Corp. NPRM issued July 31 1978 (43 FR 33268) (49 CFR 525).	....do	FR September 1978.
	Analysis of petition for exemption from 1979 and 1980 standards and setting of alternative standards for Excalibur Automobile Corp. (49 CFR 525).	....do	NPRM August 1978, FR October 1978.
Exemption from and Establishment of Fuel Economy Standards.	Analysis of petition for exemption from 1978 standard and setting of alternative standard for Lamborghini S.p.A. (49 CFR 525).	....do	NPRM August 1978, FR September 1978.
Do	Analysis of petition for exemption from 1979 and 1980 standards and setting of alternative standards for Lamborghini, S.p.A. (49 CFR 525).	....do	NPRM December 1978, FR February 1979.
Do	Analysis of petition for exemption from 1978 standard and setting of alternative standard for Maserati S.p.A (49 CFR 525).	....do	NPRM August 1978, FR September 1978.
Do	Analysis of petition for exemption from 1979 and 1980 standards and setting of alternative standards for Maserati, S.p.A. (49 CFR 525).	....do	NPRM November 1978, FR January 1979.

URBAN MASS TRANSPORTATION ADMINISTRATION

SIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS

Withdrawal of Interstate Segments and Substitution of Alternative Projects (docket No. 77-9).	A. *Description:* The regulations would implement secs. 103(e)(2) and 103(e)(4) of Title 23, U.S.C. sec. 103(e)(2) provides for the withdrawal of nonessential interstate routes and the substitution of alternative interstate routes. Sec. 103(e)(4) provides for the withdrawal of nonessential interstate routes and the substitution of other transportation projects, both highway and nonhighway. B. *Why significant:* There is substantial public interest and controversy concerning this proposal. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will be required. D. *Need:* This regulation is needed to implement the provisions of 23 U.S.C. sec. 103 enacted in the 1976 Federal-aid Highway Act.	R. White, 202-472-6991	NPRM August 1978, FR December 1978.

URBAN MASS TRANSPORTATION ADMINISTRATION—Continued
SIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS—continued

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
	E. *Legal basis:* 23 U.S.C. 103(e)(2) and 103(e)(4). F. *Chronology:* The current substitution regulations were issued on June 12, 1974. The Federal-Aid Highway Act Amendments of 1974 and the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1976 amended the original statutory provisions enacted by the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1973. G. *Citation:* 23 CFR pt. 476 subpt. D, and pt. 450.		
Payback for Interstate System Withdrawals and Modifications.	A. *Description:* The regulations would prescribe the disposition and use of property acquired with Federal-aid funds for highway projects which are later modified, withdrawn, or terminated. They would also prescribe the requirements for repayment (payback) of Federal-aid funds expended on such projects. B. *Why significant:* There is substantial public interest and controversy concerning the withdrawal under 23 U.S.C., 103(e)(4) of routes designated after Aug. 13, 1973 and the question of payback. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will be required. D. *Need:* This regulation is needed to implement the provisions of 23 U.S.C. 103 enacted in the 1976 Federal-Aid Highway Act, and the provisions of OMB Circular 102. E. *Legal basis:* 23 U.S.C. 103(e). F. *Chronology:* Proposed regulations on payback were issued on Nov. 17, 1975 (40 FR 53252). Sec. 110(b) of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1976 required substantial changes in the proposed regulations. The proposed regulations were withdrawn by an ANPRM on Sept. 27, 1976 (41 FR 42221). New proposed regulations were published on Aug. 7, 1978 (43 FR 35008). G. *Citation:* 23 CFR pt. 476.	R. White, 202-472-6991	FR November 1978.
Section 3(e) Regulations	A. *Description:* Pursuant to sec. 3(e) of the UMT Act, UMTA plans to publish procedures regarding the involvement of private mass transit operators in federally assisted programs. B. *Why significant:* While these regulations would implement statutory requirements, this is a controversial issue for both the transit industry and private operators. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* To resolve an area of continuing controversy. E. *Legal basis:* Sec. 3(e) of the UMT Act. F. *Chronology:* NPRM to be prepared by November 1978. G. *Citation:* 49 CFR pt. 619.	Robert Batchelder, 202-426-1908	NPRM November 1978.
Paratransit Policy	A. *Description:* UMTA will publish a policy regarding the availability of Federal assistance for public and private operators in the provision of paratransit services. In addition, an ANPRM will be issued seeking comments on development of more specific guidelines concerning paratransit and the involvement of private operators in the planning and provision of service. B. *Why significant:* Any major policy regarding UMTA assistance such as this will be of public interest. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* To provide uniform guidance to public and applicants. E. *Legal basis:* The UMT Act, 49 U.S.C. 1601. F. *Chronology:* ANPRM to be prepared and issued by September 1978. G. *Citation:* None.	Douglas Birnie, 202-426-4060	NPRM September 1978.
Urban Transportation Planning Process, and Transportation Improvement Program.	A. *Description:* Revisions to these regulations may include: (1) Periodic rather than annual certification. (2) Elimination of narrative discussion on merging long-range and transportation systems management plan elements and inclusion of nonfederally funded project in the transportation improvement program. (3) Added flexibility in initiating and selecting highway projects. (4) Implementation of planning related aspects of the 1977 amendments to the Clean Air Act (NPRM August 1978). B. *Why significant:* These are significant regulations since they can substantially streamline transportation planning and would have substantial impact on FHWA. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* Continuing review has identified areas where added flexibility will not impair effectiveness. The air quality planning must be included in accordance with the DOT-EPA memoranda of understanding. E. *Legal basis:* 23 U.S.C. 104(f)(3), 134 and 315. F. *Chronology:* Following agreement with FHWA and EPA with respect to air quality, proposed regulatory changes will be prepared. G. *Citation:* 23 CFR pt. 450, subpts. A and C.	Urban Mass Transportation Administration, Bob Kirkland, 202-426-4991; Federal Highway Administration, Vincent Paparella, 202-426-2961	NPRM November 1978.
Environmental Procedures	A. *Description:* The Council on Environmental Quality will be issuing new procedures to implement the National Environmental Policy Act. These procedures will require all Federal agencies to implement new environmental regulations. B. *Why significant:* UMTA policy in this area may be expected to be of substantial interest to both UMTA grantees and the public. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* To provide uniform guidance to the public and applicants. E. *Legal basis:* NEPA, CEQ regulations, and DOT order 5610.1. F. *Chronology:* NPRM to be issued by January 1979. G. *Citation:* 49 CFR pt. 617.	Daniel Duff, 202-426-1907	NPRM January 1979.

URBAN MASS TRANSPORTATION ADMINISTRATION

SIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS—continued

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
Rural and Small Urban Public Transportation.	A. *Description:* Proposed regulation would implement proposed new transportation legislation providing for a continuing program of rural and small urban public transportation including operating subsidies. B. *Why significant:* The proposed new program involves FHWA and UMTA and impacts State and local transportation programs. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* The proposed regulation would implement legislation by providing for administration of the rural and small urban public transportation assistance program. E. *Legal basis:* Proposed legislation. F. *Chronology:* A DOT rural and small urban working group is now summarizing the policy issues for the proposed program. Field trips are now in progress for Federal officials to visit States and rural and small urban projects. The NPRM is to be published in November 1978. G. *Citation:* No CFR citation as yet.	UMTA, Lillian Liburdi 202-426-4050; FHWA, Donald Morin, 202-426-0210	NPRM November 1978.
	NONSIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS		
Charter and School Bus Regulations (49 CFR Pt. 604 and Pt. 605).	These regulations provide more detailed information regarding the restrictions placed on charter and school bus operations in sec. 3(f) and 3(g) of the UMT Act, 49 U.S.C. 1601. UMTA has received considerable comment as a result of a NPRM dated Jan. 25, 1977, and it is now planning to issue another NPRM for comment.	Prentis Cook, 202-426-1906	NPRM October 1978.
	SAINT LAWRENCE SEAWAY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION		
Rules of Procedure of the Joint Review Board.	*Nonsignificant regulations:* Revision of joint United States-Canadian procedures for the appeal of the classification of a particular commodity under the joint Seaway tariff of tolls. FR Issued July 1978 (43 FR 30538).	Robert D. Kraft, 202-426-3574	Action complete.
Operational Regulations	*Routine and frequent:* Annual update of operational regulations developed for the most part jointly with Seaway Authority of Canada.	Frederick A. Bush, 315-764-0271	March 1979.
	RESEARCH AND SPECIAL PROGRAMS ADMINISTRATION		
	SIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS		
Highway Routing of Radioactive Materials.	A. *Description:* This regulation would establish routing requirements for the highway carriage of radioactive materials. B. *Why significant:* There is substantial public interest and controversy over the regulation and it would have significant impact on another operating administration. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* To generate public comments which will aid in deciding whether additional Federal routing requirements are necessary for the highway transportation of radioactive materials. E. *Legal basis:* 49 U.S.C. 1803, 1804, and 1808. F. *Chronology:* Administrative ruling: Published a public notice and invitation to comment on Aug. 15, 1977 (42 FR 41202); public hearing was held on Nov. 10, 1977 (42 FR 54487); ruling published Apr. 20, 1978 (42 FR 16954); rulemaking: targeted date of issuance of NPRM July 1979: targeted date of final rule, July 1980. G. *Citation:* 49 CFR pt. 177.	D. Crockett, 202-755-4972	ANPRM August 1978.
Development of New Standards for Transportation of Hazardous Waste Materials (Docket No. HM-145A).	A. *Description:* Would establish new standards and procedures for the transportation of hazardous waste materials. B. *Why significant:* This regulation would have a significant impact on the operating administrations and another Federal agency. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* These standards are necessary to govern the transportation of hazardous waste materials and to provide consistency with the hazardous waste materials regulations to be promulgated by EPA under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act. E. *Legal basis:* (49 U.S.C. 1803, 1804, and 1808). F. *Chronology:* NPRM jointly developed with EPA, public hearing held on Oct. 26, 1977 (42 FR 51625); NPRM issued May 25, 1978 (43 FR 22626); public hearing on NPRM held on June 20, 1978 (43 FR 22626); targeted date of final rule to be issued March 1979. G. *Citation:* 49 CFR pts. 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, and 177.	A. Roberts, 202-426-0656	FR March 1979.
Development of new standards for LNG facilities (docket No. OPSO-46).	A. *Description:* Comprehensive new standards would be proposed for the siting, design, construction, operation, and maintenance of LNG facilities. B. *Why significant:* There will be substantial public interest and controversy over this regulation. C. *Regulatory analysis:* Will not be required. D. *Need:* The concerns of Federal, State, and local agencies over LNG safety. E. *Legal basis:* 49 U.S.C. 1672.	P. Hammond, 202-426-0135	NPRM December 1978.

RESEARCH AND SPECIAL PROGRAMS ADMINISTRATION—Continued

SIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS—continued

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
	F. *Chronology:* ANPRM (42 FR 20776) Apr. 21, 1977, closing date for comments December 1977. NPRM (siting and design) December 1978. NPRM (construction, operation, and maintenance) March 1979. Final rules (siting and design) August 1979. Final rules (construction, operation, and maintenance) December 1979. G. *Citation:* 49 CFR pt. 193 (new).		

NONSIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS

Retrofit Program for DOT 112 and 114 Tank Cars (docket No. HM-144).	Consideration of possible changes in the current schedule to retrofit DOT 112 and 114 tank cars with safety devices. NPRM issued May 1978 (49 CFR pt. 179). FR issued July 1978 (43 FR 30057).	W. Black, 202-426-2748	Action complete.
Repairs and Maintenance of Vehicles (docket No. HM-110).	Would establish conditions under which repair and maintenance may be performed on motor vehicles containing hazardous materials (49 CFR 177.854).	J. Horning, 202-755-4902	FR August 1978.
Intermodal Portable Tank	Proposed standards for new specifications for portable tanks and procedures for use of these portable tanks for certain hazardous materials (new sections, pt. 178).	E. Altemos, 202-426-0656	NPRM September 1978.
Location of Manhole Assemblies and Certification Plates on Cargo Tanks (docket No. HM-136).	Would specify the location of a manhole assembly on a cargo tank and authorize the attachment of certification plates to an integral supporting structure of certain cargo tanks (49 CFR 178.337, 178.340).	J. Horning, 202-755-4902	FR August 1978.
Review: Recodification of radioactive requirements.	Proposed consolidation, simplification and recodification of the existing requirements applicable to the transportation of radioactive materials (new pt. 127).	R. Rawl, 202-426-2311	NPRM September 1978.
Blasting Agents (docket No. HM-143).	Proposed new standards for the transportation of blasting agents (49 CFR 173.96).	C. Schultz, 202-755-4906	FR September 1978.
Transportation of Asbestos (docket No. HM-160).	Proposed standards to control asbestos emissions during transportation (49 CFR 172.101, 173.1090).	A. Roberts, 202-426-0656	Do.
Safety Improvement Program for DOT 105 Tank Cars.	Consideration of possible changes to current safety performance standards of DOT 105 tank cars (49 CFR pt. 179).	W. Black, 202-426-2748	NPRM September 1978.
Color Coding of Compressed Gas Packages (docket No. HM-141).	Reconsideration of color standard to be applied to compressed gas cylinders as a safety measure (49 CFR pt. 172).	P. Seay, 202-755-4906	Withdrawal September 1978.
Commercial Detonators and Detonating Primers (docket No. HM-161).	Proposed standards for establishing appropriate shipping descriptions and hazard classifications for many detonators which are currently used in commercial service; NPRM issued May 1978 (49 CFR 172.101).	C. Schultz, 202-755-4906	FR October 1978.
Use of Metric System	Proposal to allow the use of metric system of measurements in place of the present U.S. liquid measure and the avoirdupois weight measurement. NPRM issued June 1978 (49 CFR 173.26).	A. Roberts, 202-426-0656	Do.
Cryogenic Liquids (docket No. HM-115).	Proposed standards and procedures for the transportation of cryogenic liquids (49 CFR 172.101, 173.316).	P. Seay, 202-755-4906	NPRM November 1978.
Operating Safety Concerns for Aircraft.	Proposed standards for the safe operation of aircraft having certain hazardous materials aboard (49 CFR pt. 175).	L. Metcalfe, 202-755-4902	Do.
Availability of Shipping Papers to Emergency Response Personnel.	Proposal to require shipping papers covering hazardous materials to be made available by train crew to emergency personnel (49 CFR 174.24).	J. Horning, 202-755-4902	Do.
Use of United Nations Materials Shipping Terminology/Numbers.	Proposed incorporation of shipping descriptions and serial numbers from United Nations regulations covering the transport of dangerous goods (49 CFR 172.101).	E. Altemos, 202-426-0656	NPRM December 1978.
Definition of a Flammable Solid (docket No. HM-118).	Proposed new standards for classifying a material as a flammable solid (49 CFR 173.150)	C. Schultz, 202-755-4906	NPRM March 1979.
Radiation Exposure of Transportation Workers.	Consideration of methods which will reduce radiation exposure levels to transportation workers (new sections).	R. Rawl, 202-426-2311	Do.
Requirements for Radioactive Materials (docket No. HM-152).	Proposed revision of certain sections of pt. 175 which will reduce the exposure to radioactive materials for passengers aboard aircraft (49 CFR pt. 175).	do	FR January 1979.
Forbidden Materials (docket No. HM-159).	Proposed standards to add the names of materials to the hazardous materials table that are known to be too hazardous to be permitted in commercial transportation (49 CFR 172.101).	C. Schultz, 202-755-4906	NPRM February 1979.
Review: Recodification of Operating Procedures for Motor Vehicles.	Proposed simplification and recodification of the existing operating procedures for transportation of hazardous materials by motor vehicles as prescribed in pt. 177 (49 CFR pt. 177).	D. Goodman, 202-426-1700	NPRM March 1979.
Revision of Certain Requirements Applicable to Radioactive Materials.	Proposal to require labeling of excepted radioactive materials packages and notation on shipping papers regarding losses of radioactive shipments. This proposal has been reconsidered; no regulations are being developed.	A. Grella, 202-426-2311	Action complete.
Qualification and Design of Steel Pipe (docket No. 77-10).	The manufacturing and design requirements for steel pipe (including grade X-70) in the 1977 editions of API5LX and API 5LS would be incorporated by reference. FR issued May 1978 (49 CFR pts. 192, 195).	P. Cory, 202-426-2082	Do.
Safety Plans for Normal Operations and Emergencies for Pipelines Carrying Highly Volatile Liquids, e.g., LPG/NH_3 (49 CFR pt. 195) (project No. 175-7).	Criteria would be proposed to govern a carrier's plans for preventing and handling emergencies for pipelines carrying highly volatile liquids (LPG/NH_3).	do	NPRM August 1978.
Standards to Reduce Spill Size Risks, Associated with Pipeline Transportation of Highly Volatile Liquids Such as Liquid Petroleum Gas (project No. 173-7).	Valve spacing or other requirements would be proposed to minimize the amount of commodity or vapor that can spread into populated areas in event of a spill (49 CFR pt. 195).	F. Robinson, 202-426-2082	NPRM August 1978.

RESEARCH AND SPECIAL PROGRAMS ADMINISTRATION—Continued

NONSIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS—continued

Title	Summary	Contact	Decision date
Joining and Testing Plastic Pipe (project No. 178-8).	Requirements involving joining procedures, personnel training, or field tests would be proposed to assure the integrity of plastic pipe joints (49 CFR pt. 192).	P. Cory, 202-426-2082	NPRM September 1978.
Standards to Reduce Pipeline Failure Rates in Pipelines Carrying Highly Volatile Liquids (LPC/NH_3) (project No. 82-41).	Testing or operating requirements would be proposed to assure the safe operation of existing pipeline transporting highly volatile liquids (49 CFR pt. 195).	G. Mocharko, 202-426-2082	NPRM October 1978.
System Failure Warning (docket No. OPS-29).	Advance notice of proposed rulemaking on use of telemetry for pressure or flow measurements to warn of system failure would be withdrawn (49 CFR pt. 192).	L. Furrow, 202-426-0135	Withdrawal September 1978.
Definition of "Gathering Line" (docket No. OPS-31).	Notice of proposed rulemaking to redefine the term "gathering line" would be withdrawn (49 CFR pt. 192).	....do....	Do.
Corrosion Control (project No. 176-7).	Changes would be proposed to current cathodic protection requirements to alleviate compliance burdens (49 CFR pt. 192).	G. Mocharko, 202-426-2082	NPRM August 1978.
Requirements for Reporting Gas Incidents (docket No. OPS-49).	The present reporting forms would be revised to provide additional and more appropriate information about gas safety problems and to require reports from certain systems not now covered. NPRM issued June 1978 (49 CFR pt. 191).	A. Garcia, 202-426-2082	FR November 1978.

ROUTINE AND FREQUENT NONSIGNIFICANT REGULATIONS

Conversion of Individual Exemptions to Regulations of General Applicability (docket No. HM-139).	NPRM approximately every 3 mo.; with FR targeted approximately 2 mo. thereafter.	D. Raines, 202-755-4962	June 1978 to June 1979.
Minor Regulatory Adjustments to Regulations of General Applicability (docket No. HM-DOD).	NPRM approximately every 4 mo.; with FR targeted approximately 2 mo. thereafter.	....do....	June 1978 to June 1979.
Matter Incorporated by Reference (docket No. HM-22).	NPRM every 6 mo.; with FR targeted 2 mo.; thereafter.	J. Horning, 202-755-4902	June 1978 to June 1979.
Withdrawal of certain delegations of authority to the Bureau of Explosives (docket No. HM-163).	Prior responsibilities delegated to the Bureau of Explosives would be withdrawn in series of rulemaking actions. NPRM every 3 mo.; with FR targeted 2 mo. thereafter.	D. Raines, 202-755-4962	June 1978 to June 1979.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, REGULATIONS REVIEW LIST

U.S. COAST GUARD

REVIEWS UNDER CONDITION

Regulations selected for review	Reasons for selection	Contact	Decision date
Charges for duplicate medals and sales of personal property, equipment, or services and rental (33 CFR 1.26).	Length of time since last evaluated; changing economic factors.	Mr. A. Bell, 202-426-1863	October 1978.
Delegation of authority (33 CFR 1.01).	Section is out-of-date and will be reviewed for possible consolidation with other related sections	Mr. B. Novak, 202-426-2299	Do.
Regulations, U.S. Coast Reserve (33 CFR pt. 8).	Length of time since last evaluated; need to reflect changed procedures.	Capt Grover, 202-426-2348	December 1978.
Boating safety: Equipment requirements—personal flotation devices (33 CFR 175.15).	Length of time since last evaluated; research and development project initiated to determine need for carriage regulations revision.	Lt Gauthier, 202-426-4176	August 1980.
Boats and associated equipment: Safe loading (33 CFR pt. 183, subpt. C).	Length of time since last evaluated; standards may not be effective for all boats to which these regulations apply.	Mr. L. Gray, 202-426-4027	January 1979.
Boats and associated equipment: Safe powering (33 CFR pt. 183, subpt. D).	....do....	....do....	January 1980.
Boats and associated equipment: Flotation Standards (33 CFR pts. 90-105).	Length of time since last evaluated; standards may be limited in applicability.	....do....	August 1980.
Marine investigation regulations (46 CFR pt. 4).	Being reviewed for purposes of simplification, clarification, and accuracy. Review completed on June 1978, and no revision is planned.	CDR Whaley, 202-426-1455	
Suspension and revocation proceedings (46 CFR pt. 5).	....do....	Lcdr O'Pezio, 202-426-2215	
Vessel inspection (46 CFR pt. 2).....	Being reviewed for purposes of simplification, clarification, and accuracy.	Lcdr Anderson, 202-426-2183	December 1978.
Regattas and marine parades (33 CFR pt. 100).	Length of time since last evaluated; survey of district commanders will determine whether changes in existing regulations are needed.	Lt Gauthier, 202-426-4176	Do.

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION

REVIEWS UNDER CONSIDERATION

Objects affecting navigable airspace (14 CFR pt. 77).	These regulations were selected for review to determine whether the requirements for evaluation of objects intruding into the navigable airspace need to be clarified and to determine the FAA's proper role and functions in obstruction evaluation. A decision was made to proceed with regulatory development. For further information regarding this item see the FAA's portion of the agenda for nonsignificant regulations.	O. E. Falsetti, 212-426-8777	
Rotorcraft review.....	These regulations were selected for review to determine the need for (1) developing IFR airworthiness standards for rotorcraft certification, and (2) improving and updating the rotorcraft airworthiness requirements and operating regulations.	Joe Sullivan, 212-755-8716	October 1978.

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

REVIEWS UNDER CONSIDERATION

	FHWA has conducted, since 1977, reviews of all the regulations in the Federal-aid highway program manual and the Federal motor carrier safety regulations. The reviews have been completed. There are, consequently, no reviews currently under consideration. The FHWA portion of the agenda lists those regulatory actions that will be taken in the next 12 mos. as a result of the reviews.	Dennis Judycki, 202-426-0848	

FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION

REVIEWS UNDER CONSIDERATION

General safety inquiry.....	FRA has initiated a general railroad safety inquiry to obtain information from the public to assist in evaluating and improving the effectiveness of its safety regulatory program. A series of public hearings, each focused on a single regulatory topic covered by FRA regulations, will be held throughout the remainder of 1978. See 43 FR 19696 for a listing of the topics to be covered and the hearing dates.	Rolf Mowatt-Larssen, 202-426-0924	Completion December 1978.
Freight car safety standards (49 CFR pt. 215).	A large number of complaints and petitions have been received relative to these regulations. The railroad industry alleges that parts of these regulations are not truly safety requirements. Amendments could incorporate new safety requirements based on laboratory and service tests as well as operating experience.	Philip J. Brannighan, 202-426-9186	Completion June 1979.
Guarantee of certificates of trustees of railroads in reorganization (pursuant to the Emergency Rail Services Act of 1970) (49 CFR pt. 250).	This program is likely to become active again due to the July 1, 1978 repayment of $50 million in trustee certificates by the Penn Central trustees. The regulations will be reviewed to determine whether the information requirements should be modified to make them consistent with the regulation issued under FRA's other loan guarantee program. (49 CFR pt. 260).	Robert Vermut, 202-426-7737	August 1978.

FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION—Continued
REVIEWS UNDER CONSIDERATION—continued

Regulations selected for review	Reasons for selection	Contact	Decision date
Nondiscrimination on federally assisted railroad programs (49 CFR pt. 265).	In working with the "sec. 905" regulations regularly for a year or more, FRA has found that there are inconsistencies in definitions compared with other Federal regulations and programs concerned with barring discrimination against minorities. Further, although the regulations cover the northeast corridor improvement project ("NECIP"), they are not written to accommodate a construction contract program, making application of the regulations to the NECIP needlessly cumbersome and difficult. Further, consideration is being given to developing criteria for determining "bona fides" of minority businesses.	Rufus Watson, 202-472-5438	September 1978.
Loans under the Emergency Rail Facilities Restoration Act (49 CFR pt. 252).	The programs to which these regulations apply have accomplished their purpose and been terminated. Termination notice issued June 1978.	Jeffery Mercer, 202-426-7737	Action complete
Payments to trustees of railroads in reorganization for the continued provision of essential transportation services pursuant to sec. 213(a) of the Regional Rail Reorganization Act of 1973 (49 CFR pt. 253).	....do....	....do....	Do.
Agreements pursuant to sec. 215 of the Regional Rail Reorganization Act of 1973 (49 CFR pt. 254).	....do....	....do....	Do.
Acquisition and modernization loan assistance (49 CFR pt. 257).	The authority for these regulations is found in secs. 402 and 403 of the Regional Rail Reorganization Act of 1973. Those sections were repealed as of Apr. 1, 1978, pursuant to sec. 806 of the Railroad Revitalization and Regulatory Reform Act of 1976. This program has never been funded and is inactive. Termination notice issued June 1978.	Patrick O'Driscoll, 202-426-7710	Do.
Assistance to State, local and regional transportation authorities in the northeast region for continuation of local rail services (49 CFR pt. 255).	Sec. 402 of the Regional Rail Reorganization Act of 1973 was repealed as of Apr. 1, 1978. Because grants entered into prior to Apr. 1, 1978 are still in effect and subject to the regulations, a determination will be made as to when 49 CFR pt. 255 will be repealed after the grants have expired.	Larry Friedman, 202-426-7710	Expiration of sec. 402 grants—June 1981.

NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY ADMINISTRATION
REVIEWS UNDER CONSIDERATION

Air brakes (49 CFR 571.121)	Cost, safety benefits, and public interest	Frank Ephraim, 202-426-1574	April 1979.
Hydraulic brakes (49 CFR 571.105)	Cost and safety benefits	....do....	December 1980.
Lamps, reflective devices and associated equipment (49 CFR 571.108).	....do....	....do....	Do.
Motorcycle brakes (49 CFR 571.122)	....do....	....do....	Do.
Head restraints (49 CFR 571.202)	Costs	....do....	Do.
Seating systems (49 CFR 571.207)	....do....	....do....	Do.
Child seating systems (49 CFR 571.213).	Public interest	....do....	Preliminary review December 1980.
Occupant protection (49 CFR 571.213).	Cost, safety benefits, and public interest	....do....	Do.
Active belts			August 1979.
Passive belts			Preliminary review April 1978.
Air cushion restraint or other passive systems.			Preliminary review 1985.
Side door strength (49 CFR 571.214)	Public interest	Frank Ephraim, 202-426-1574	August 1979.
Exterior protection (49 CFR 571.215 and 49 CFR pt. 581).	Cost and public interest	....do....	April 1980.
Fuel system integrity (49 CFR 571.301).	Cost, safety benefits, and public interest	....do....	August 1978.
School bus protection (49 CFR 571.220).	Public interest	....do....	Preliminary review December 1980.
School bus body joint strenght (49 CFR 571.221).	....do....	....do....	Do.
School bus seating system (49 CFR 571.222).	....do....	....do....	December 1980.

URBAN MASS TRANSPORTATION ADMINISTRATION
REVIEWS UNDER CONSIDERATION

Charter and school bus regulations (49 CFR pt. 604 and pt. 605).	UMTA has received considerable comment as a result of a NPRM dated Jan. 25, 1977, and it is now planning to issue another NPRM for comment. For further information see the UMTA portion of the agenda for nonsignificant regulations.	Prentis Cook, 202-426-1906	NPRM October 1978.

ST. LAWRENCE SEAWAY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

REVIEWS UNDER CONSIDERATION

Regulations selected for review	Reasons for selection	Contact	Decision date
Rules of procedure of the Joint Tolls Advisory Board (33 CFR pt. 403).	Recent agreement between United States and Canada changed role of this bilateral committee. Rules of procedure to be changed to reflect this. Review completed. Final rule published July 1978. For further information see SLSDC portion of the agenda for nonsignificant regulations.		

RESEARCH AND SPECIAL PROGRAMS ADMINISTRATION

REVIEWS UNDER CONSIDERATION

Shippers—general requirements for shipments and packagings (49 CFR pt. 173):			
Matches (49 CFR 173.176)	Due to inquiries requesting an interpretation of this section and to eliminate the possibility of noncompliance based on a misunderstanding of the requirements, there is a need to simplify and clarify present standards.	J. Nalevanko, 202-755-4904	January 1979.
Electric storage batteries, wet (49 CFR 173.360).	do	do	February 1979.
Charcoal (49 CFR 173.162)	do	do	Do.
Welding of steel in gas pipelines (49 CFR pt. 192, subpt. E).	Present requirements to be examined in light of changes in technology.	do	December 1979.
Maintenance of gas pipelines (49 CFR pt. 192, subpt. M).	The performance required by the maintenance standards needs clarification as indicated by extent of interpretations generated by these standards.	do	Do.

[FR Doc. 78-26053 Filed 9-20-78; 8:45 am]