Board. The rule also specifies circumstances under which no fees are to be charged.

In view of the fact that the Board has adopted the interim rule without change, the Board finds for good cause that the provision of section 553 of Title 5 of the United States Code, relating to deferred effective date of final rules and regulations, is not being followed in connection with the adoption of this final rule.

Chapter IV of Title 13 of the Code of Federal Relgulations is amended such that Paragraph (g) of § 400.7 now reads as follows:

PART 400—RULES OF PROCEDURE

§ 400.7 Access to Records.

* * * * *

(g) *Fees for Services.* (1) *General.* A person requesting access to or copies to particular records shall pay the costs of searching for and copying such records. The fees indicated in this section shall be charged only for search and duplication and no fee shall be charged for determining whether an exemption can or should be asserted, deleting exempt matter being withheld from records, or monitoring a person's inspection of records.

(2) *Documents available through Government Printing Office.* While certain relevant publications are available for sale through the Government Printing Office and are made available for inspection by the Secretary of the Board, such publications are not available for sale at the offices of the Board. Persons desiring to purchase such publications should contact the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402. However, copies of pages of such publications may be obtained in accordance with the schedule of fees set forth in this section.

(3) *Form of Payment.* Payment of fees shall be made by check or money order payable to the Chrysler Corporation Loan Guarantee Board.

(4) *Waiver or Reduction of Fees.* In accordance with Section 552(a)(4)(A) of Title 5 of the United States Code, documents may be furnished without charge or at a reduced charge where the Secretary of the Board determines that waiver or reduction of the fees in the public interest because furnishing the information can be considered as primarily benefiting the general public. Any request for waiver or reduction of fees shall be submitted as part of the initial request for the information involved. An appeal from denial of a request for waiver or reduction of fees shall be determined in accordance with the procedures set forth in paragraph (e) of this section.

(5) *Avoidance of Unexpected Fees.* In order to protect a person from unexpected fees, all requests for records shall state the agreement of the person to pay the fees determined in accordance with this section or state the maximum amount of fees that the person is willing to pay in connection with the request. When the fees for processing the request are estimated to exceed that limit, or when the request does not state a limit and the costs are estimated to exceed $50 and the Secretary of the Board has not then determined to waive or reduce the fees, a notice shall be sent to the person. This notice shall:

(i) Inform the person of the estimated costs;

(ii) Extend an offer to the person to confer with Board personnel in an attempt to reformulate the request in a manner that will reduce the fees and still meet the person's needs; and

(iii) Inform the person that the running of the time period, within which the Board is obliged to make a determination on the request, shall be tolled pending a reformulation of the request or the receipt of advance payment or an agreement from the person to bear the estimated costs.

(6) *Fee Schedule.* (i) *Duplication.* Fees for photocopies of material shall be charged at the rate of 10 cents for each page up to a size of 8½" by 14", except that no charge shall be imposed for copying 10 or less pages. Fees for duplicating other materials, including photographs or films, shall be charged on the basis of actual cost.

(ii) *Search Services.* Fees for the services of personnel to locate records shall be charged at the rate of $5 per hour and each portion of an hour, except that no charge shall be imposed for the first hour of search time involved for a request.

(iii) *Computer Records.* Where, because of the nature of the records requested and the manner in which records are stored, a computer search is involved, fees for such services shall be charged at a rate of $5 for each hour (and each portion of an hour) of personnel time associated with the search plus an amount that reflects the actual costs of extracting the stored information in the format in which it is normally produced, based on computer time and supplies necessary to comply with the request.

(iv) *Searches Requiring Travel or Transportation.* Fees for shipping records from one location to another, or for the transportation of personnel to the site of requested records when it is necessary to locate rather than examine the records, shall be charged at the rate of the actual cost of such shipping or transportation.

Dated: June 24, 1980.

Brian M. Freeman,

Secretary, Chrysler Corporation Loan Guarantee Board.

[FR Doc. 80-19771 Filed 6-27-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-27-M

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

16 CFR Part 13

[Docket No. C-3021]

Eli Lilly and Co.; Prohibited Trade Practices, and Affirmative Corrective Actions

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Final order.

SUMMARY: In settlement of alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair acts and practices and unfair methods of competition, this consent order requires, among other things, an Indianapolis, Indiana manufacturer and seller of pharmaceuticals and other chemical substances, to cease engaging in several anticompetitive practices involving the United States finished insulin industry. Additionally the order requres Eli Lilly and Co. to grant certain licenses covering its existing and future insulin-related technology to existing and prospective competitors.

DATES: Complaint and order issued April 29, 1980.[1]

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul W. Turley, Director, 3R, Chicago Regional Office, Federal Trade Commission, 55 East Monroe St., Suite 1437, Chicago, Ill. 60603. (312) 353-4423.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On Friday, Sept. 21, 1979, there was published in the **Federal Register**, 44 FR 54726, a proposed consent agreement with analysis In the Matter of Eli Lilly and Company, a corporation, for the purpose of soliciting public comment. Interested parties were given sixty (60) days in which to submit comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed form of order. On Tuesday, December 18, 1979, there was published in the **Federal Register**, 44 FR 74845, a notice extending the period of time for filing comments on the consent agreement for thirty days to January 17, 1980.

Comments were filed and considered by the Commission. The Commission

[1] Copies of the Complaint and the Decision and Order filed with the original document.

has ordered the issuance of the complaint in the form contemplated by the agreement, made its jurisdictional findings and entered its order to cease and desist, as set forth in the proposed consent agreement, in disposition of this proceeding.

The prohibited trade practices and/or corrective actions, as codified under 16 CFR Part 13, are as follows: Subpart-Combining or Conspiring: § 13.384 Combining or conspiring; § 13.388 To control allocations and solicitation of customers; § 13.395 To control marketing practices and conditions; § 13.435 To fix prices through patent license agreements; § 13.450 To limit distribution or dealing to regular, established or acceptable channels or classes; § 13.470 To restrain or monopolize trade. Subpart-Corrective Actions and/or Requirements: § 13.533 Corrective actions and/or requirements; § 13.533–43 Grant license(s). Subpart-Using Patents, Rights or Privileges Unlawfully: § 13.2485 Using patents, rights or privileges unlawfully.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; (15 U.S.C. 46). Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; sec. 7, 38 Stat. 731, as amended; (15 U.S.C. 45, 18))

Carol M. Thomas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-19710 Filed 6-30-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

---

16 CFR Part 13

[Docket No. C-3020]

Hooper Holmes, Inc.; Prohibited Trade Practices, and Affirmative Corrective Actions

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Final order.

SUMMARY: In settlement of alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair acts and practices and unfair methods of competition, this consent order requires, among other things, a Basking Ridge, N.J. firm, through its Credit Index Division, a consumer reporting and collection agency, to cease violating federal credit laws by failing to maintain reasonable procedures designed so as to ensure that reports are furnished only for lawful purposes and assure the maximum accuracy of reported information. In its role as a debt collector, the agency is required to include in collection communications prescribed notices informing consumers of their rights under federal credit laws. Consumers requesting information in their credit files have to be provided with a copy of this information. Additionally, the agency is required to mail to its subscribers, each year for a five year period, a prescribed notice informing them of their statutory obligations.

DATES: Complaint and order issued June 11, 1980.[1]

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Leroy Richie, Director, 8R, New York Regional Office, Federal Trade Commission, 2243–EB Federal Bldg., 26 Federal Plaza, New York, N.Y. 10007. (212) 264–1207.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On Monday, April 7, 1980, there was published in the **Federal Register**, 45 FR 23466, a proposed consent agreement with analysis In the Matter of Hooper Holmes, Inc., a corporation through its Credit Index Division, for the purpose of soliciting public comment. Interested parties were given sixty (60) days in which to submit comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed form of order.

No comments having been received, the Commission has ordered the issuance of the complaint in the form contemplated by the agreement, made its jurisdictional findings and entered its order to cease and desist, as set forth in the proposed consent agreement, in disposition of this proceeding.

The prohibited trade practices and/or corrective actions, as codified under 16 CFR Part 13, are as follows: Subpart-Coercing and Intimidating: § 13.356 Delinquent debtors. Subpart-Collecting, Assembling, Furnishing or Utilizing Consumer Reports and/or Information: § 13.382 Collecting, assembling, furnishing or utilizing consumer reports and/or information; § 13.382–1 Confidentiality, accuracy, relevancy, and proper utilization; § 13.382–1(a) Fair Credit Reporting Act; § 13.382–5 Formal regulatory and/or statutory requirements; § 13.382–5(a) Fair Credit Reporting Act. Subpart Corrective Actions and/or Requirements: § 13.533 Corrective actions and/or requirements; § 13.533–20 Disclosures; § 13.533–37 Formal regulatory and/or statutory requirements. Subpart-Enforcing Dealings or Payments Wrongfully: § 13.1045 Enforcing dealings or payments wrongfully. Subpart-Failing To Comply With Affirmative Statutory Requirements: § 13.1048 Failing to comply with affirmative statutory requirements; § 13.1048–10 Fair Credit Reporting Act. Subpart-Neglecting, Unfairly or Deceptively, To Make Material Disclosure: § 13.1852 Formal regulatory and statutory requirements; § 13.1852–60 Fair Credit Reporting Act; § 13.1895 Scientific or other relevant facts.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; (15 U.S.C. 46). Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 84 Stat. 1128–36; (15 U.S.C. 1681–1681t))

Carol M. Thomas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-19711 Filed 6-30-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

[1] Copies of the Complaint, and Decision and Order filed with the original document.

---

16 CFR Parts 300, 301, and 303

Regulation Under Specific Acts of Congress; Amendments

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: On May 5, 1980, an Act to amend the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939, was approved, (Pub. L. 96–242, 94 Stat. 344). The Act defines the term "recycled wool" and directs the use of this term for labeling in lieu of the previously defined terms "reprocessed wool" and "reused wool." As a consequence, the Rules and Regulations as issued by the Federal Trade Commission under the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939, must be amended to reflect this Congressional change in the law. Minor amendments are also needed to correct references to these terms in the Rules and Regulations issued by the Federal Trade Commission under the Fur Products Labeling Act and the Textile Fiber Products Identification Act. This notice amends all references in the regulations to "reprocessed wool" and "reused wool" to read "recycled wool."

EFFECTIVE DATE: These amendments are effective on July 4, 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Earl W. Johnson, 202–724–1362, Attorney, Federal Trade Commission, Washington, D.C. 20580.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939 (Public No. 850, 76th Cong. 3d Sess., 15 Stat. 1128, 15 U.S.C. 68 et. seq.) defined the terms "reprocessed wool" and "reused wool" and directed the use of these terms in the labeling of wool products. The Federal Trade Commission issued rules and regulations to implement this Act effective July 15, 1941 (16 CFR Part 300). Congress has recently amended the Wool Act, eliminating the two terms "reprocessed" and "reused," and defining the new term "recycled wool" to be used in their stead. (Pub. L. 96–242, 94 Stat. 344, approved May 5, 1980. The original Wool Act defined the term "reprocessed wool" as:

". . . the resulting fiber when wool has been woven or felted into a wool product