category of those to be granted under section 3.20 of the Commission's rules of practice:

Accordingly, it is ordered, That respondents' interlocutory appeal filed February 19, 1958, be, and it hereby is, denied.

GULF OIL CORP.

Docket 6689. Order, Feb. 28, 1958

Interlocutory order upholding hearing examiner's order directing complaint counsel to furnish opposing counsel lists of witnesses to be called at scheduled hearings.

ORDER DENYING INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL

Counsel supporting the complaint having filed an interlocutory appeal from that part of the hearing examiner's order of October 16, 1957, which directs that counsel furnish to opposing counsel, not less than two weeks prior to the date of each scheduled hearing or series of hearings, a list of the names and addresses of the witnesses whom they expect to call at such hearings; and

It appearing that no clear showing has been made that the challenged ruling constitutes an abuse of the hearing examiner's discretion or that said ruling involves substantial rights or will materially affect the final decision of the case; and

The Commission being of the opinion that in the circumstances the appeal is not one to be granted under section 3.20 of the Commission's rules of practice:

It is ordered, That said appeal be, and it hereby is, denied.

VOSS HAIR EXPERTS OF GEORGIA

Docket 6498. Order, Mar. 20, 1958

Interlocutory order upholding hearing examiner's rulings denying respondent's motions to dismiss complaint for alleged failure to establish a prima facie case respecting jurisdiction and public interest, and to strike scientific testimony of complaint counsel's physician witness.

ORDER DISPOSING OF INTERLOCUTORY APPEALS FILED BY THE RESPONDENT

The respondent having filed on February 27, 1958, and March 3, 1958, interlocutory appeals from the hearing examiner's rulings denying motions by the respondent (1) to dismiss the complaint for alleged failure to establish a prima facie case respecting jurisdiction and public interest and (2) to strike the scientific testimony of a physician called as a witness by counsel supporting the complaint; and

It appearing that the challenged rulings of the hearing examiner do not constitute a decision on the merits of the case, nor do they affect the final decision or any of the respondent's rights to present fully his defense to the charges against him; and

The Commission having determined that neither of the appeals come within the category of those to be granted under section 3.20 of the Commission's rules of practice and that the respondent's request to present oral argument in support of the appeals should be denied:

It is ordered, That the respondent's interlocutory appeals be, and the same hereby are, denied.

PURE OIL CO.

Docket 6640. Order and Opinion, Mar. 20, 1958

Interlocutory orders upholding hearing examiner's ruling directing that complaint counsel produce a certain interview report, and vacating and setting aside his rulings conditionally denying said counsel's requests for production by respondent of certain statements and for a subpena duces tecum for production thereof.

INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL FROM CERTAIN RULINGS

By the COMMISSION:

This matter is before the Commission on an interlocutory appeal of counsel supporting the complaint from several rulings of the hearing examiner made during the course of hearings held June 27, 1957, and December 10 and 11, 1957. The June 27 ruling appealed from is that directing counsel supporting the complaint to produce a Commission investigator's report of an interview with J. F. Liles, who appeared as a witness and testified at the instance of counsel supporting the complaint. The December 10 and 11 rulings appealed from