

It is ordered, That the respondents herein shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with the order to cease and desist.

IN THE MATTER OF

JULIAN D. GROLLNEK, ET AL., TRADING AS
G. & G. SPORTSWEAR CO., ETC.

CONSENT ORDER, ETC., IN REGARD TO THE ALLEGED VIOLATION OF THE
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION AND THE WOOL PRODUCTS LABELING ACTS

Docket 7607. Complaint, Oct. 13, 1959—Decision, Feb. 13, 1960

Consent order requiring Los Angeles manufacturers to cease violating the Wool Products Labeling Act by labeling as "60% wool, 40% rayon," women's suits which contained substantially less than 60% wool, by failing to attach labels to the skirts of two-piece suits, and by failing in other respects to comply with labeling requirements.

Mr. Charles Donelan for the Commission.

INITIAL DECISION BY WALTER R. JOHNSON, HEARING EXAMINER

In the complaint dated October 13, 1959, the respondents are charged with violating the provisions of the Federal Trade Commission Act and the Wool Products Labeling Act and the Rules and Regulations made pursuant thereto.

On December 3, 1959, the respondents entered into an agreement with counsel in support of the complaint for a consent order.

Under the foregoing agreement, the respondents admit the jurisdictional facts alleged in the complaint. The parties agree, among other things, that the cease and desist order there set forth may be entered without further notice and have the same force and effect as if entered after a full hearing and the document includes a waiver by the respondents of all rights to challenge or contest the validity of the order issuing in accordance therewith. The agreement further recites that it is for settlement purposes only and does not constitute an admission by the respondents that they have violated the law as alleged in the complaint.

The hearing examiner finds that the content of the agreement meets all of the requirements of section 3.25(b) of the Rules of the Commission.

The hearing examiner being of the opinion that the agreement

