

Complaint

57 F.T.C.

of August 1960, become the decision of the Commission; and, accordingly:

It is ordered, That respondent herein shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon it of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which it has complied with the order to cease and desist.

IN THE MATTER OF

CHARLES GLICKMAN TRADING AS CHARLES GLICKMAN

CONSENT ORDER, ETC., IN REGARD TO THE ALLEGED VIOLATION OF THE
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION AND THE FUR PRODUCTS LABELING ACTS

Docket 7809. Complaint, Mar. 10, 1960—Decision, Aug. 11, 1960

Consent order requiring a New York City furrier to cease violating the Fur Products Labeling Act by failing to comply with invoicing provisions.

COMPLAINT

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Trade Commission Act and the Fur Products Labeling Act, and by virtue of the authority vested in it by said Acts, the Federal Trade Commission, having reason to believe that Charles Glickman, an individual trading as Charles Glickman, hereinafter referred to as respondent, has violated the provisions of said Acts, and it appearing to the Commission that a proceeding by it in respect thereof would be in the public interest, hereby issues its complaint stating its charges in that respect as follows:

PARAGRAPH 1. Charles Glickman is an individual trading as Charles Glickman with his office and principal place of business located at 270 Seventh Avenue, New York, New York.

PAR. 2. Subsequent to the effective date of the Fur Products Labeling Act on August 9, 1952, respondent has been and is now engaged in the introduction into commerce, and the sale, advertising and offering for sale, in commerce, and the transportation and distribution in commerce, of fur, as the terms "commerce" and "fur" are defined in the Fur Products Labeling Act.

PAR. 3. Certain fur was falsely and deceptively invoiced by the respondent in that such fur was not invoiced as required by Section 5(b)(1) of the Fur Products Labeling Act.

PAR. 4. The aforesaid acts and practices of respondent, as herein alleged, are in violation of the Fur Products Labeling Act and constitute unfair and deceptive acts and practices, in commerce, under the Federal Trade Commission Act.

Mr. DeWitt T. Puckett supporting the complaint.
Respondent, *Pro Se*.

INITIAL DECISION BY EDWARD CREEL, HEARING EXAMINER

The Federal Trade Commission issued its complaint against the above-named respondent on March 10, 1960 charging him with having violated the Fur Products Labeling Act, the rules and regulations issued thereunder and the Federal Trade Commission Act by falsely and deceptively invoicing certain fur products.

On June 9, 1960 there was submitted to the undersigned hearing examiner an agreement between respondent and counsel supporting the complaint providing for the entry of a consent order.

Under the terms of this agreement, the respondent admits the jurisdictional facts alleged in the complaint. The parties agree, among other things, that the cease and desist order there set forth may be entered without further notice and have the same force and effect as if entered after a full hearing and the document includes a waiver by the respondent of all rights to challenge or contest the validity of the order issuing in accordance therewith. The agreement further recites that it is for settlement purposes only and does not constitute an admission by the respondent that he has violated the law as alleged in the complaint.

The hearing examiner finds that the content of the agreement meets all the requirements of Section 3.25(b) of the Rules of the Commission.

The hearing examiner having considered the agreement and proposed order, and being of the opinion that they provide an appropriate basis for settlement and disposition of this proceeding, the agreement is hereby accepted, and it is ordered that said agreement shall not become a part of the official record unless and until it becomes a part of the decision of the Commission. The following jurisdictional findings are made and the following order issued.

1. Respondent Charles Glickman is an individual trading as Charles Glickman with his office and principal place of business located at 270 Seventh Avenue, New York, N.Y.

2. The Federal Trade Commission has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this proceeding and of the respondent, and the proceeding is in the public interest.

ORDER

It is ordered, That respondent Charles Glickman, an individual trading as Charles Glickman, or under any other name, and respondent's representatives, agents and employees, directly or through

Complaint

57 F.T.C.

any corporate or other device, in connection with the introduction into commerce, or the sale, advertising, offering for sale, transportation or distribution, in commerce, of fur, or in connection with the sale, advertising, offering for sale, transportation, or distribution of fur which has been shipped and received in commerce, as "commerce" and "fur" are defined in the Fur Products Labeling Act, do forthwith cease and desist from falsely or deceptively invoicing fur by failing to furnish to purchasers of fur an invoice showing all the information required to be disclosed by each of the subsections of Section 5(b)(1) of the Fur Products Labeling Act.

DECISION OF THE COMMISSION AND ORDER TO FILE REPORT OF COMPLIANCE

Pursuant to Section 3.21 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, the initial decision of the hearing examiner did, on the 11th day of August 1960, become the decision of the Commission; and, accordingly:

It is ordered, That the respondent herein shall within sixty (60) days after service upon him of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which he has complied with the order to cease and desist.