

COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF
TEAL TRAINA, INC.

CONSENT ORDER, ETC., IN REGARD TO THE ALLEGED VIOLATION OF SEC. 2(d)
OF THE CLAYTON ACT

*Docket C-772. Complaint, June 30, 1964—Decision, June 30, 1964**

Consent order requiring a New York City seller of wearing apparel to cease violating Sec. 2(d) of the Clayton Act by discriminating in the payment of promotional allowances among competing resellers of its products, effective date postponed until further order of the Commission.

*This order was made effective on, Aug. 9, 1965, see *Abby Kent Co., Inc., et al.*, docket No. C-328, et al., Aug. 9, 1965.

Decision and Order

65 F.T.C.

COMPLAINT

The Federal Trade Commission, having reason to believe the respondent named in the caption hereof has violated and is now violating the provisions of subsection (d) of Section 2 of the Clayton Act, as amended by the Robinson-Patman Act (U.S.C., Title 15, Sec. 13), and it appearing to the Commission that a proceeding by it in respect thereto is in the interest of the public, the Commission hereby issues its complaint stating its charges as follows:

PARAGRAPH 1. The respondent is a corporation engaged in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the amended Clayton Act, and sells and distributes its wearing apparel products from one state to customers located in other states of the United States. The sales of respondent in commerce are substantial.

PAR. 2. The respondent in the course and conduct of its business in commerce paid or contracted for the payment of something of value to or for the benefit of some of its customers as compensation or in consideration for services and facilities furnished by or through such customers in connection with their sale or offering for sale of wearing apparel products sold to them by respondent, and such payments were not made available on proportionally equal terms to all other customers competing with favored customers in the sale and distribution of respondent's wearing apparel products.

PAR. 3. Included among, but not limited to, the practices alleged herein, respondent has granted substantial promotional payments or allowances for the promoting and advertising of its wearing apparel products to certain department stores and others who purchase respondent's said products for resale. These aforesaid promotional payments or allowances were not offered and made available on proportionally equal terms to all other customers of respondent who compete with said favored customers in the sale of respondent's wearing apparel products.

PAR. 4. The acts and practices alleged in Paragraphs One through Three are all in violation of subsection (d) of Section 2 of the Clayton Act, as amended by the Robinson-Patman Act.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Federal Trade Commission having initiated an investigation of certain acts and practices of the respondent named in the caption hereof, and subsequently having determined that complaint should issue, and the respondent having entered into an agreement containing an order to cease and desist from the practices being investigated and

having been furnished a copy of a draft of complaint to issue herein charging it with violation of subsection (d) of Section 2 of the Clayton Act, as amended, and

The respondent having executed the agreement containing a consent order which agreement contains an admission of all the jurisdictional facts set forth in the complaint to issue herein, and a statement that the signing of the said agreement is for settlement purposes only and does not constitute an admission by the respondent that the law has been violated as set forth in such complaint, and also contains the waivers and provisions required by the Commission's rules; and

The Commission, having considered the agreement, hereby accepts the same, issues its complaint in the form contemplated by said agreement, makes the following jurisdictional findings, and enters the following order:

1. Respondent Teal Traina, Inc., is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, with its office and principal place of business located at 550 Seventh Avenue, New York, New York.

2. The Federal Trade Commission has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this proceeding and of the respondent.

ORDER

It is ordered, That respondent TEAL TRAINA, INC., a corporation, its officers, directors, agents and representatives and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in the course of its business in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Clayton Act, as amended, do forthwith cease and desist from:

(1) Paying or contracting for the payment of anything of value to, or for the benefit of, any customer of the respondent as compensation or in consideration for advertising or promotional services, or any other service or facility, furnished by or through such customer in connection with the handling, sale or offering for sale of wearing apparel products manufactured, sold or offered for sale by respondent, unless such payment or consideration is made available on proportionally equal terms to all other customers competing with such favored customer in the distribution or resale of such products.

It is further ordered, That the effective date of this order to cease and desist be and it hereby is postponed until further Order of the Commission.

Complaint

65 F.T.C.

IN THE MATTER OF

MAX WIESEN & SONS, INC.

CONSENT ORDER, ETC., IN REGARD TO THE ALLEGED VIOLATION OF
SEC. 2(d) OF THE CLAYTON ACT*Docket C-773. Complaint, June 30, 1964—Decision, June 30, 1964**

Consent order requiring a New York City seller of wearing apparel to cease violating Sec. 2(d) of the Clayton Act by discriminating in the payment of promotional allowances among competing resellers of its products, effective date postponed until further order of the Commission.

COMPLAINT

The Federal Trade Commission, having reason to believe the respondent named in the caption hereof has violated and is now violating the provisions of subsection (d) of Section 2 of the Clayton Act, as amended by the Robinson-Patman Act (U.S.C., Title 15, Sec. 13), and it appearing to the Commission that a proceeding by it in respect thereto is in the interest of the public, the Commission hereby issues its complaint stating its charges as follows:

PARAGRAPH 1. The respondent is a corporation engaged in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the amended Clayton Act, and sells and distributes its wearing apparel products from one state to customers located in other states of the United States. The sales of respondent in commerce are substantial.

PAR. 2. The respondent in the course and conduct of its business in commerce paid or contracted for the payment of something of value to or for the benefit of some of its customers as compensation or in consideration for services and facilities furnished by or through such customers in connection with their sale or offering for sale of wearing apparel products sold to them by respondent, and such payments were not made available on proportionally equal terms to all other customers competing with favored customers in the sale and distribution of respondent's wearing apparel products.

PAR. 3. Included among, but not limited to, the practices alleged herein, respondent has granted substantial promotional payments or allowances for the promoting and advertising of its wearing apparel products to certain department stores and others who purchase respondent's said products for resale. These aforesaid promotional pay-

*This order was made effective on, Aug. 9, 1965, see *Abby Kent Co., Inc., et al.*, docket No. C-328, et al., Aug. 9, 1965.

1258

Decision and Order

ments or allowances were not offered and made available on proportionally equal terms to all other customers of respondent who compete with said favored customers in the sale of respondent's wearing apparel products.

PAR. 4. The acts and practices alleged in Paragraphs One through Three are all in violation of subsection (d) of Section 2 of the Clayton Act, as amended by the Robinson-Patman Act.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Federal Trade Commission having initiated an investigation of certain acts and practices of the respondent named in the caption hereof, and subsequently having determined that complaint should issue, and the respondent having entered into an agreement containing an order to cease and desist from the practices being investigated and having been furnished a copy of a draft of complaint to issue herein charging it with violation of subsection (d) of Section 2 of the Clayton Act, as amended, and

The respondent having executed the agreement containing a consent order which agreement contains an admission of all the jurisdictional facts set forth in the complaint to issue herein, and a statement that the signing of the said agreement is for settlement purposes only and does not constitute an admission by the respondent that the law has been violated as set forth in such complaint, and also contains the waivers and provisions required by the Commission's rules; and

The Commission, having considered the agreement, hereby accepts the same, issues its complaint in the form contemplated by said agreement, makes the following jurisdictional findings, and enters the following order:

1. Respondent Max Wiesen & Sons, Inc., is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, with its office and principal place of business located at 463 Seventh Avenue, New York, New York.

2. The Federal Trade Commission has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this proceeding and of the respondent.

ORDER

It is ordered, That respondent MAX WIESEN & SONS, INC., a corporation, its officers, directors, agents and representatives and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in the course of its business in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Clayton Act, as amended, do forthwith cease and desist from:

(1) Paying or contracting for the payment of anything of value to, or for the benefit of, any customer of the respondent as

Complaint

65 F.T.C.

compensation or in consideration for advertising or promotional services, or any other service or facility, furnished by or through such customer in connection with the handling, sale or offering for sale of wearing apparel products manufactured, sold or offered for sale by respondent, unless such payment or consideration is made available on proportionally equal terms to all other customers competing with such favored customer in the distribution or resale of such products.

It is further ordered, That the effective date of this order to cease and desist be and it hereby is postponed until further Order of the Commission.

IN THE MATTER OF

LANZ ORIGINALS, INC.

CONSENT ORDER, ETC., IN REGARD TO THE ALLEGED VIOLATION OF
SEC. 2(d) OF THE CLAYTON ACT

*Docket C-774. Complaint, June 30, 1964—Decision, June 30, 1964**

Consent order requiring a Los Angeles, Calif., seller of wearing apparel to cease violating Sec. 2(d) of the Clayton Act by discriminating in the payment of promotional allowances among competing resellers of its products, effective date postponed until further order of the Commission.

COMPLAINT

The Federal Trade Commission, having reason to believe the respondent named in the caption hereof has violated and is now violating the provisions of subsection (d) of Section 2 of the Clayton Act, as amended by the Robinson-Patman Act (U.S.C., Title 15, Sec. 13), and it appearing to the Commission that a proceeding by it in respect thereto is in the interest of the public, the Commission hereby issues its complaint stating its charges as follows:

PARAGRAPH 1. The respondent is a corporation engaged in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the amended Clayton Act, and sells and distributes its wearing apparel products from one state to customers located in other states of the United States. The sales of respondent in commerce are substantial.

PAR. 2. The respondent in the course and conduct of its business in commerce paid or contracted for the payment of something of value to or for the benefit of some of its customers as compensation or in con-

*This order was made effective on, Aug. 9, 1965, see *Abby Kent Co., Inc., et al.*, docket No. C-328, et al., Aug. 9, 1965.

sideration for services and facilities furnished by or through such customers in connection with their sale or offering for sale of wearing apparel products sold to them by respondent, and such payments were not made available on proportionally equal terms to all other customers competing with favored customers in the sale and distribution of respondent's wearing apparel products.

PAR. 3. Included among, but not limited to, the practices alleged herein, respondent has granted substantial promotional payments or allowances for the promoting and advertising of its wearing apparel products to certain department stores and others who purchase respondent's said products for resale. These aforesaid promotional payments or allowances were not offered and made available on proportionally equal terms to all other customers of respondent who compete with said favored customers in the sale of respondent's wearing apparel products.

PAR. 4. The acts and practices alleged in Paragraphs One through Three are all in violation of subsection (d) of Section 2 of the Clayton Act, as amended by the Robinson-Patman Act.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Federal Trade Commission having initiated an investigation of certain acts and practices of the respondent named in the caption hereof, and subsequently having determined that complaint should issue, and the respondent having entered into an agreement containing an order to cease and desist from the practices being investigated and having been furnished a copy of a draft of complaint to issue herein charging it with violation of subsection (d) of Section 2 of the Clayton Act, as amended, and

The respondent having executed the agreement containing a consent order which agreement contains an admission of all the jurisdictional facts set forth in the complaint to issue herein, and a statement that the signing of the said agreement is for settlement purposes only and does not constitute an admission by the respondent that the law has been violated as set forth in such complaint, and also contains the waivers and provisions required by the Commission's rules; and

The Commission, having considered the agreement, hereby accepts the same, issues its complaint in the form contemplated by said agreement, makes the following jurisdictional findings, and enters the following order:

1. Respondent Lanz Originals, Inc., is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, with its office and

principal place of business located at 6150 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles 48, California.

2. The Federal Trade Commission has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this proceeding and of the respondent.

ORDER

It is ordered, That respondent LANZ ORIGINALS, INC., a corporation, its officers, directors, agents and representatives and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in the course of its business in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Clayton Act, as amended, do forthwith cease and desist from:

(1) Paying or contracting for the payment of anything of value to, or for the benefit of, any customer of the respondent as compensation or in consideration for advertising or promotional services, or any other service or facility, furnished by or through such customer in connection with the handling, sale or offering for sale of wearing apparel products manufactured, sold or offered for sale by respondent, unless such payment or consideration is made available on proportionally equal terms to all other customers competing with such favored customer in the distribution or resale of such products.

It is further ordered, That the effective date of this order to cease and desist be and it hereby is postponed until further Order of the Commission.

IN THE MATTER OF

SMOLER BROS., INC.

CONSENT ORDER, ETC., IN REGARD TO THE ALLEGED VIOLATION OF SEC. 2(d)
OF THE CLAYTON ACT

*Docket C-775. Complaint, June 30, 1964—Decision, June 30, 1964**

Consent order requiring a Chicago, Ill., seller of wearing apparel to cease violating Sec. 2(d) of the Clayton Act by discriminating in the payment of promotional allowances among competing resellers of its products, effective date postponed until further order of the Commission.

*This order was made effective on, Aug. 9, 1965, see *Abby Kent Co., Inc., et al.*, docket No. C-328, et al., Aug. 9, 1965.

COMPLAINT

The Federal Trade Commission, having reason to believe the respondent named in the caption hereof has violated and is now violating the provision of subsection (d) of Section 2 of the Clayton Act, as amended by the Robinson-Patman Act (U.S.C., Title 15, Sec. 13), and it appearing to the Commission that a proceeding by it in respect thereto is in the interest of the public, the Commission hereby issues its complaint stating its charges as follows:

PARAGRAPH 1. The respondent is a corporation engaged in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the amended Clayton Act, and sells and distributes its wearing apparel products from one state to customers located in other states of the United States. The sales of respondent in commerce are substantial.

PAR. 2. The respondent in the course and conduct of its business in commerce paid or contracted for the payment of something of value to or for the benefit of some of its customers as compensation or in consideration for services and facilities furnished by or through such customers in connection with their sale or offering for sale of wearing apparel products sold to them by respondent, and such payments were not made available on proportionally equal terms to all other customers competing with favored customers in the sale and distribution of respondents' wearing apparel products.

PAR. 3. Included among, but not limited to, the practices alleged herein, respondent has granted substantial promotional payments or allowances for the promoting and advertising of its wearing apparel products to certain department stores and others who purchase respondent's said products for resale. These aforesaid promotional payments or allowances were not offered and made available on proportionally equal terms to all other customers of respondent who compete with said favored customers in the sale of respondent's wearing apparel products.

PAR. 4. The acts and practices alleged in Paragraphs One through Three are all in violation of subsection (d) of Section 2 of the Clayton Act, as amended by the Robinson-Patman Act.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Federal Trade Commission having initiated an investigation of certain acts and practices of the respondent named in the caption hereof, and subsequently having determined that complaint should issue, and the respondent having entered into an agreement containing an order to cease and desist from the practices being investigated

and having been furnished a copy of a draft of complaint to issue herein charging it with violation of subsection (d) of Section 2 of the Clayton Act, as amended, and

The respondent having executed the agreement containing a consent order which agreement contains an admission of all the jurisdictional facts set forth in the complaint to issue herein, and a statement that the signing of the said agreement is for settlement purposes only and does not constitute an admission by the respondent that the law has been violated as set forth in such complaint, and also contains the waivers and provisions required by the Commission's rules; and

The Commission, having considered the agreement, hereby accepts the same, issues its complaint in the form contemplated by said agreement, makes the following jurisdictional findings, and enters the following order:

1. Respondent Smoler Bros., Inc., is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Illinois, with its office and principal place of business located at 2300 Wanansia Avenue, Chicago, Illinois.

2. The Federal Trade Commission has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this proceeding and of the respondent.

ORDER

It is ordered, That respondent Smoler Bros., Inc., a corporation, its officers, directors, agents and representatives and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in the course of its business in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Clayton Act, as amended, do forthwith cease and desist from:

(1) Paying or contracting for the payment of anything of value to, or for the benefit of, any customer of the respondent as compensation or in consideration for advertising or promotional services, or any other service or facility, furnished by or through such customer in connection with the handling, sale or offering for sale of wearing apparel products manufactured, sold or offered for sale by respondent, unless such payment or consideration is made available on proportionally equal terms to all other customers competing with such favored customer in the distribution or resale of such products.

It is further ordered, That the effective date of this order to cease and desist be and it hereby is postponed until further Order of the Commission.

Complaint

IN THE MATTER OF

RELIABLE MANUFACTURING COMPANY ET AL.

CONSENT ORDER, ETC., IN REGARD TO THE ALLEGED VIOLATION OF THE
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION ACT*Docket C-776. Complaint, June 30, 1964—Decision, June 30, 1964*

Consent order requiring Franklin Park, Ill., manufacturers of electric cooker-fryers which they sold to wholesalers, stamp redemption firms, catalog and mail order firms, wholesale discounters and retailers for resale, to cease their practice of supplying to their customers catalog sheets, circulars and cartons bearing representations such as "\$19.95 Suggested List Price Guaranteed For 2 Years", when such "suggested price" appreciably exceeded the highest price at which substantial sales were made in their trade area and the purported "2 year guarantee" was subject to undisclosed conditions.

COMPLAINT

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Trade Commission Act, and by virtue of the authority vested in it by said Act, the Federal Trade Commission having reason to believe that Reliable Manufacturing Company a corporation, and Charles W. Leigh, individually and as an officer of said corporation, hereinafter referred to as respondents, have violated the provisions of said Act, and it appearing to the Commission that a proceeding by it in respect thereof would be in the public interest, hereby issues its complaint stating its charges in that respect as follows:

PARAGRAPH 1. Respondent Reliable Manufacturing Company is a corporation organized, existing and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Illinois, with its principal office and place of business located at 9201 King Street, Franklin Park, Illinois.

Respondent Charles W. Leigh is an officer of the corporate respondent. He formulates, directs and controls the acts and practices of the corporate respondent, including the acts and practices hereinafter set forth. His address is the same as that of the corporate respondent.

PAR. 2. Respondents are now, and for some time last past have been, engaged in the manufacturing, advertising, offering for sale, sale and distribution of electric cooker-fryers to wholesalers, stamp redemption firms, catalogue and mail order firms, wholesale discounters and retailers for resale to the public and in the production of metal stampings for various customers.

PAR. 3. In the course and conduct of their business, respondents now cause, and for some time last past have caused, their said products, when sold, to be shipped from their place of business in the State of Illinois to purchasers thereof located in various other States of the