

Complaint

85 F.T.C.

It is further ordered, That respondents shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with the order to cease and desist contained herein.

IN THE MATTER OF
FASHION FLOORS, INC., ET AL.

CONSENT ORDER, ETC., IN REGARD TO ALLEGED VIOLATION OF THE
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION AND TEXTILE FIBER PRODUCTS
IDENTIFICATION ACTS

Docket 8982. Complaint, July 8, 1974-Decision, May 1, 1975

Consent order requiring a Beltsville, Md., retailer, distributor and installer of carpeting and other floor coverings, among other things to cease misrepresenting its prices; failing to maintain adequate records; misrepresenting the qualifications and abilities of its sales personnel; and misbranding or mislabeling its textile fiber products and using fiber trademarks improperly.

Appearances

For the Commission: *Everette E. Thomas, Richard F. Kelly and Richard C. Donohue.*

For the respondents: *Glen A. Mitchell, Stein, Mitchell & Mezines, Wash., D. C.*

COMPLAINT

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Trade Commission Act and the Textile Fiber Products Identification Act, and by virtue of the authority vested in it by said Acts, the Federal Trade Commission, having reason to believe that Fashion Floors, Inc., a corporation, and Donald F. Riesett, individually and as an officer of said corporation, hereinafter sometimes referred to as respondents, have violated the provisions of said Acts, and the rules and regulations promulgated under the Textile Fiber Products Identification Act, and it appearing to the Commission that a proceeding by it in respect thereof would be in the public interest, hereby issues its complaint stating its charges in that respect as follows:

PARAGRAPH 1. Respondent Fashion Floors, Inc. is a corporation organized, existing and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia, with its principal office and place of business located at 10730 Baltimore Ave., Beltsville, Md.

Respondent Donald F. Riesett is an individual and is the principal officer of the corporate respondent. He formulates, directs and controls the acts and practices of the corporate respondent, including the acts and practices hereinafter set forth. His business address is the same as that of the corporate respondent.

PAR. 2. Respondents are now, and for some time last past have been, engaged in the advertising, offering for sale, sale, distribution and installation of carpeting and floor coverings to the public.

COUNT I

Alleging violation of Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, the allegations of Paragraphs One and Two hereof are incorporated by reference in Count I as if fully set forth verbatim.

PAR. 3. In the course and conduct of their business as aforesaid, respondents have caused, and now cause, the dissemination of certain advertisements concerning the aforesaid carpeting and floor coverings, by various means in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, including, but not limited to, advertisements inserted in newspapers of interstate circulation for the purpose of inducing and which were likely to induce, directly or indirectly, the purchase of respondents' said merchandise.

In the further course and conduct of their business, as aforesaid, respondents have caused, and now cause, their said merchandise to be shipped across State lines between their various retail outlets located in the Commonwealth of Virginia and State of Maryland, for sale to purchasers thereof located in the aforesaid States. Thus, respondents maintain, and at all times mentioned herein have maintained, a substantial course of trade in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act.

PAR. 4. In the course and conduct of their aforesaid business, and for the purpose of inducing the purchase of their carpeting and floor coverings, respondents have made, and are now making, numerous statements and representations by repeated advertisements inserted in newspapers of interstate circulation, and by oral statements and representations of their salesmen to prospective purchasers with respect to their products and services.

Typical and illustrative of said statements and representations, but not all-inclusive thereof, are the following:

8 hr. \$50,000 CLEARANCE Savings of 20% to 50% Carpets & Rugs

* * * * *

Complaint

85 F.T.C.

Washington's Birthday Warehouse Sale 25% to 40% Savings Carpets &
Rugs

* * * * *

Fabulous \$100,000 WAREHOUSE RUG SALE

* * * * *

COMP. AREA VALUE

CLEARANCE SALE

\$150

\$29

\$151

\$63

* * * * *

YOU GET: Certified installation by carpet craftsmen who live up to their reputation -
"WE CARE".

* * * * *

EASY CREDIT TERMS AVAILABLE

* * * * *

EASY CREDIT TERMS

PAR. 5. By and through the use of the above-quoted statements and representations, and others of similar import and meaning but not expressly set out herein, separately and in connection with the oral statements and representations of respondents' salesmen to customers and prospective customers, respondents have represented, and are now representing, directly or by implication, that:

1. By and through the use of the word "SALE," and other words of similar import and meaning not set out specifically herein, said carpeting and floor coverings may be purchased at reduced prices, and purchasers are thereby afforded savings from respondents' regular selling prices.

2. Purchasers of respondents' carpet remnants are afforded savings of 25 to 50 percent off the prices at which such carpet remnants are usually and customarily sold at retail.

3. By and through the use of the words "Comp. Area Value" and other words of similar import and meaning not set out specifically herein, said comparative value is the price at which the same carpet remnants are being offered for sale by a substantial number of the principal outlets in respondents' trade area.

4. By and through the use of the words "Certified installation by carpet craftsmen" and other words of similar import and meaning not set out specifically herein, respondents offer to the prospective

customer the services of carpet installers who have received certification by a recognized institution or government licensing agency.

5. By and through the use of the words "Easy Credit Terms" and "Easy Credit Terms Available," purchasers of their products are granted easy credit terms, without regard to their financial status or ability to pay, by financial institutions with which the respondents deal.

PAR. 6. In truth and in fact:

1. Respondents' merchandise is not being offered for sale at reduced prices. To the contrary, in a substantial number of instances, the respondents have not established a regular selling price, and their so-called advertised "sale" price is used to mislead prospective customers into believing there is a saving from a bona fide regular selling price.

2. Purchasers of respondents' carpet remnants are not afforded savings of 25 to 50 percent off the prices at which such carpet remnants are usually and customarily sold at retail. To the contrary, the percentage price comparison is based on prices for quantities of carpeting required for wall-to-wall installation rather than the advertised carpet remnants or rugs which are usually sold for less than wall-to-wall prices.

3. The same carpet remnants are not offered for sale at the comparative price by a substantial number of the principal outlets in respondents' trade area.

4. Respondents' installers have not received certification by a recognized institution or government licensing agency.

5. Purchasers of respondents' products are not granted easy credit terms, without regard to their financial status or ability to pay, by financial institutions with which respondents deal.

Therefore, the statements and representations as set forth in Paragraphs Four and Five hereof, were and are false, misleading and deceptive.

PAR. 7. In the course and conduct of their aforesaid business, and at all times mentioned herein, respondents have been, and now are, in substantial competition in commerce, with corporations, firms and individuals in the sale and distribution of rugs, carpeting, floor coverings services of the same general kind and nature as those sold by respondents.

PAR. 8. The use by respondents of the aforesaid false, misleading and deceptive statements, representations, acts and practices, has had, and now has, the capacity and tendency to mislead members of the purchasing public into the erroneous and mistaken belief that said statements and representations were and are true and complete, and

into the purchase of substantial quantities of respondents' products and services by reason of said erroneous and mistaken belief.

PAR. 9. The aforesaid acts and practices of respondents, as herein alleged, were and are all to the prejudice and injury of the public and of respondents' competitors and constituted, and now constitute, unfair methods of competition in commerce and unfair and deceptive acts and practices in commerce in violation of Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act.

COUNT II

Alleging violation of the Textile Fiber Products Identification Act and the implementing Rules and Regulations promulgated thereunder, and of the Federal Trade Commission Act, the allegations of Paragraphs One and Two hereof are incorporated by reference in Count II as if fully set forth verbatim.

PAR. 10. Respondents are now, and for some time last past have been, engaged in the introduction, delivery for introduction, sale, advertising, and offering for sale, in commerce, and in the transportation or causing to be transported in commerce, of textile fiber products including carpeting and floor covering and have sold, offered for sale, advertised, delivered, transported and caused to be transported, after shipment in commerce, textile fiber products, which have been advertised or offered for sale in commerce; and have sold, offered for sale, advertised, delivered, transported and caused to be transported, after shipment in commerce, textile fiber products, either in their original state or contained in other textile fiber products, as the terms "commerce" and "textile fiber product" are defined in the Textile Fiber Products Identification Act.

PAR. 11. Certain of said textile fiber products were misbranded by respondents within the intent and meaning of Section 4(a) of the Textile Fiber Products Identification Act and of the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, in that they were falsely and deceptively advertised, or otherwise identified as to the name or amount of constituent fibers contained therein.

Among such misbranded textile fiber products, but not limited thereto, were floor coverings which were falsely and deceptively advertised in *The Washington Post* and *The Evening Star*, newspapers published in the District of Columbia, and having a wide circulation in the District of Columbia and various States of the United States, in that the respondents in disclosing the fiber content information as to floor coverings containing exempted backings, fillings, or paddings, failed to set forth such fiber content information in such a manner as to

indicate that it applied only to the face, pile, or outer surface of the floor coverings and not to the exempted backings, fillings, or paddings.

PAR. 12. By means of the aforesaid advertisements and others of similar import and meaning not specifically referred to herein, respondents have falsely and deceptively advertised textile fiber products in violation of the Textile Fiber Products Identification Act in that said textile fiber products were not advertised in accordance with the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder by reason of the fact that in disclosing the fiber content information as to floor coverings containing exempted backings, fillings, or paddings, such disclosure was not made in such a manner as to indicate that such fiber content information related only to the face, pile or outer surface of the floor covering and not to the backing, filling or padding, in violation of Rule 11 of the aforesaid rules and regulations.

PAR. 13. The acts and practices of respondents as set forth above were, and are, in violation of the Textile Fiber Products Identification Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, and constituted, and now constitute, unfair and deceptive acts and practices, in commerce, and unfair methods of competition, in commerce, under the Federal Trade Commission Act.

Commissioner Thompson dissenting for the reason that no evidence of consumer injury having been shown to him, he is not persuaded that this litigation is a sound use of the taxpayer's money.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Commission having heretofore determined to issue its complaint charging the respondents named in the caption hereto with violation of the Federal Trade Commission Act, and the respondents having been served with notice of said determination and with a copy of the complaint the Commission intended to issue, together with a proposed form of order; and

The respondents and counsel for the Commission having thereafter executed an agreement containing a consent order, an admission by the respondents of all the jurisdictional facts set forth in the complaint to issue herein, statement that the signing of said agreement is for settlement purposes only and does not constitute an admission by respondents that the law has been violated as alleged in such complaint, and waivers and other provisions as required by the Commission's rules; and

The Commission having considered the agreement and having provisionally accepted same, and the agreement containing consent order having thereupon been placed on the public record for a period of sixty (60) days, now in further conformity with the procedure

prescribed in Section 2.34(b) of its rules, the Commission hereby issues its complaint in the form contemplated by said agreement, makes the following jurisdictional findings, and enters the following order:

1. Respondent Fashion Floors, Inc. is a corporation organized, existing and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia, with its office and principal place of business located at 10730 Baltimore Ave., Beltsville, Md.

Respondent Donald F. Riesett is an officer of said corporation. He formulates, directs and controls the acts and practices of the corporate respondent, and his principal office and place of business is located at the above stated address.

2. The Federal Trade Commission has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this proceeding and of the respondents, and the proceeding is in the public interest.

ORDER

I.

It is ordered, That respondents Fashion Floors, Inc., a corporation, its successors and assigns, and its officers, and Donald F. Riesett, individually, and as an officer of said corporation, and respondents' agents, representatives, and employees, directly or through any corporation, subsidiary, division or other device, in connection with the advertising, offering for sale, sale, distribution or installation of carpeting and floor coverings, or any other article of merchandise, in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, do forthwith cease and desist from:

1. Using the word "Sale," or any other word or words of similar import or meaning not set forth specifically herein unless the price of such merchandise, being offered for sale constitutes a reduction, in an amount not so insignificant as to be meaningless, from the actual bona fide price at which such merchandise was sold or offered for sale to the public on a regular basis by respondents for a reasonably substantial period of time in the recent, regular course of their business.

2. (a) Representing, directly or indirectly, orally or in writing, that by purchasing any of said merchandise or services, customers are afforded savings amounting to the difference between respondents' stated price and respondents' former price unless such merchandise or services have been sold or offered for sale in good faith at the former price by respondents for a reasonably substantial period of time in the recent, regular course of their business.

(b) Representing, directly or indirectly, orally or in writing, that by purchasing any of said merchandise or services, customers are afforded

savings amounting to the difference between respondents' stated price and a compared price for said merchandise or services in respondents' trade area unless a substantial number of the principal retail outlets in the trade area regularly sell said merchandise or services at the compared price or some higher price.

(c) Representing, directly or indirectly, orally or in writing, that by purchasing any of said merchandise or services, customers are afforded savings amounting to the difference between respondents' stated price and a compared value price for comparable merchandise or services, unless substantial sales of merchandise of like grade and quality are being made in the trade area at the compared price or a higher price and unless respondents have in good faith conducted a market survey or obtained a similar representative sample of prices in their trade area which establishes the validity of said compared price and it is clearly and conspicuously disclosed that the comparison is with merchandise or services of like grade and quality.

3. Advertising or otherwise representing a compared value price for carpet remnants or rugs (a) unless the carpet remnants or rugs being advertised are of the same grade and quality as the carpets with which such advertised prices are compared; and (b) without disclosing in immediate conjunction therewith that the carpet remnants or rugs are usually sold for less than wall-to-wall prices, and that the compared value is based on the wall-to-wall price of carpeting of the same grade and quality.

4. Representing, directly or by implication, orally or in writing, that purchasers of respondents' merchandise will save any stated dollar or percentage amount without fully and conspicuously disclosing, in immediate conjunction therewith, the basis for such savings representations.

5. Failing to maintain and produce for inspection or copying for a period of three (3) years, adequate records (a) which disclose the facts upon which any savings claims, sale claims and other similar representations as set forth in Paragraphs One, Two, and Four of this order are based, and (b) from which the validity of any savings claims, sale claims and similar representations can be determined.

6. Representing, directly or by implication, orally or in writing, that respondents' installers have received certification by a recognized institution or government licensing agency; or misrepresenting in any manner, the training, certification, or qualifications of any of respondents' employees, agents, or representatives.

7. Representing, directly or by implication, orally or in writing, that purchasers of respondents' products are granted easy or assured credit terms by financial institutions with which respondents deal; or

misrepresenting, in any manner, the amount, type, extent or any other facet of the credit terms respondents arrange or may arrange for their purchasers.

II.

It is further ordered, That respondents Fashion Floors, Inc., a corporation, its successors and assigns, and its officers, and Donald F. Riesett, individually and as an officer of said corporation, and respondents' agents, representatives, and employees, directly or through any corporation, subsidiary, division or other device, in connection with the introduction, sale, advertising, or offering for sale, in commerce, or the transportation or causing to be transported in commerce of any textile fiber product; or in connection with the sale, offering for sale, advertising, delivery, transportation or causing to be transported, of any textile fiber product which has been advertised or offered for sale, in commerce; or in connection with the sale, offering for sale, advertising, delivery, transportation, or causing to be transported, after shipment in commerce, of any textile fiber product, whether in its original state or contained in other textile fiber products, as the terms "commerce" and "textile fiber product" are defined in the Textile Fiber Products Identification Act, do forthwith cease and desist from:

1. Misbranding textile fiber products by falsely or deceptively stamping, tagging, labeling, invoicing, advertising or otherwise identifying such products as to the name or amount of the constituent fibers contained therein.

2. Falsely and deceptively advertising textile fiber products by:

- (a) Making any representations by disclosure or by implication, as to fiber content of any textile fiber product in any written advertisement which is used to aid, promote or assist, directly or indirectly, in the sale, or offering for sale, of such textile fiber product unless the same information required to be shown on the stamp, tag, label or other means of identification under Section 4(b)(1) and (2) of the Textile Fiber Products Identification Act is contained in the said advertisement, except that the percentages of the fibers present in the textile fiber product need not be stated.

- (b) Failing to set forth in advertising the fiber content of floor covering containing exempted backings, fillings or paddings, that such disclosure related only to the face, pile or outer surface of such textile fiber products and not to the exempted backings, fillings or paddings.

- (c) Using a fiber trademark in advertising textile fiber products without a full disclosure of the required fiber content information in at least one instance in said advertisement.

(d) Using a fiber trademark in advertising textile fiber products containing only one fiber without such fiber trademark appearing at least once in the advertisement, in immediate proximity and conjunction with the generic name of the fiber, in plainly legible and conspicuous type.

It is further ordered, That respondents shall maintain for at least a one (1) year period, following the effective date of this order, copies of all advertisements, including newspaper, radio and television advertisements, direct mail and in-store solicitation literature, and any other such promotional material utilized for the purpose of obtaining leads for the sale of carpeting or floor coverings, or utilized in the advertising, promotion or sale of carpeting or floor coverings and other merchandise.

It is further ordered, That respondents, for a period of one (1) year from the effective date of this order, shall provide each advertising agency utilized by respondents and each newspaper publishing company, television or radio station or other advertising media which is utilized by the respondents to obtain leads for the sale of carpeting or floor coverings and other merchandise, with a copy of the Commission's News Release setting forth the terms of this order.

It is further ordered, That respondents notify the Commission at least thirty (30) days prior to any proposed change in the corporate respondent such as dissolution, assignment or sale resulting in the emergence of a successor corporation, the creation or dissolution of subsidiaries or any other change in the corporation which may affect compliance obligations arising out of the order.

It is further ordered, That respondents shall forthwith distribute a copy of this order to each of their operating divisions.

It is further ordered, That respondents deliver a copy of this order to all present and future personnel of respondents engaged in the sale, or the offering for sale, of any product, in the consummation of any extension of consumer credit or in any aspect of preparation, creation, or placing of advertising, and secure a signed statement acknowledging receipt of said order from each such person.

It is further ordered, That the individual respondent named herein promptly notify the Commission of the discontinuance of his present business or employment and of his affiliation with a new business or employment. Such notice shall include respondent's current business address and a statement as to the nature of the business or employment in which he is engaged as well as a description of his duties and responsibilities.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the

