

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report, in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with the order to cease and desist contained herein.

IN THE MATTER OF

KOSCOT INTERPLANETARY, INC., ET AL.*

Docket 8888. Order, May 22, 1975

Publication of initial decision pursuant to established procedures directed.

ORDER DIRECTING PUBLICATION OF INITIAL DECISION

On Mar. 20, 1975, the administrative law judge certified to the Commission the question of whether, and in what form, the initial decision in this matter should be published, inasmuch as it contains references to testimony which we had been directed to keep *in camera* by Judge Hodges of the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida. At the direction of the Commission, the General Counsel sought modification of the Court's order and, by Order of May 9, 1975, the Court granted leave to publish the initial decision in full. This renders moot complaint counsel's motion of Apr. 7, 1975, requesting, *inter alia*, *in camera* service of the initial decision pending the Commission's decision on the law judge's certification. Accordingly, *It is ordered*, That the initial decision in the above-captioned matter be published pursuant to the Commission's established procedures.

IN THE MATTER OF

ENCYCLOPAEDIA BRITANNICA, INC., ET AL.

Docket 8908. Order, May 22, 1975

Denial of motion by respondents or postponement of oral argument until they obtain documents currently being sought under the Freedom of Information Act.

* For appearances, see p. 19, herein.

Appearances

For the Commission: *Paul L. Chassy, Lemuel Dowdy & Donald L. Bachman.*

For the respondents: *Mayer, Brown & Platt*, Chicago, Ill.

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO POSTPONE ORAL ARGUMENT

By motion filed May 16, 1975, respondents have moved for a postponement of oral argument in this matter until they are able to obtain certain documents which they are currently seeking from the Commission under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552, as amended. Respondents contend that, without these documents, they will be unable to anticipate all of the arguments which complaint counsel may raise in oral argument.

Respondents have had full opportunity for discovery in this matter, and, in preparing for oral argument, they have had complete access to the record in this case. Therefore, the Commission finds respondents' contention too speculative a ground on which to postpone the argument, since it assumes that respondents will ultimately obtain the documents and that the documents will contain information necessary to a resolution of the issues of this case. Accordingly,

It is ordered, That the aforesaid motion be, and it hereby is, denied.
