

Interlocutory Order

IN THE MATTER OF

AIRCO, INC.

Docket 9098. Interlocutory Order, Feb. 15, 1978

Order establishing a protective order providing ten (10) days' notice before release of certain documents to be supplied in response to subpoena duces tecum.

ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge has certified to the Commission a paragraph undertaking to provide ten days' notice before release of certain documents to be supplied by Airco, Inc. in response to a subpoena *duces tecum*. If we so authorize, it is the Administrative Law Judge's intention to incorporate this paragraph in a protective order which he will grant to Airco. As our Rules now stand, a "ten-day notice" undertaking can only be made by the Commission itself.

The action requested by the Administrative Law Judge's certification is similar to that which the Commission took by order dated January 31, 1977, in *Exxon Corporation, et al.* The Commission has determined to authorize incorporation of paragraph 6 in the proposed protective order. The language set out in the Administrative Law Judge's certification will be modified, however, by deletion of the words "designated by Airco as confidential" and substitution therefor of the words "covered by this protective order." The coverage of such an order is of course for the Administrative Law Judge, not respondent, to determine.

So ordered.

Complaint

91 F.T.C.

IN THE MATTER OF

SECURITY PACIFIC MORTGAGE CORPORATION, AS
SUCCESSOR TO KASSLER & CO.CONSENT ORDER, ETC., IN REGARD TO ALLEGED VIOLATION OF
THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION AND TRUTH IN LENDING
ACTS*Docket C-2917. Complaint, Feb. 17, 1978 — Decision, Feb. 17, 1978*

This consent order, among other things, requires a Denver, Colo. finance company to cease failing to provide consumers, in connection with the extension of credit, such material and disclosures as are required by Federal Reserve System regulations. Further, the company is required to make prescribed efforts to obtain information pertaining to third-party fees.

Appearances

For the Commission: *James T. Rohrer.*

For the respondent: *Gordon Greiner and Donald G. Palmer,
Holland & Hart, Denver, Colo.*

COMPLAINT

Pursuant to the provisions of the Truth in Lending Act and the implementing regulation promulgated thereunder, and the Federal Trade Commission Act, and by virtue of the authority vested in it by said Acts, the Federal Trade Commission having reason to believe that Security Pacific Mortgage Corporation, as successor in interest to Kassler & Co., a corporation, hereinafter referred to as respondent, has violated the provisions of said Acts and implementing regulation, and it appearing to the Commission that a proceeding by it in respect thereof would be in the public interest, hereby issues its complaint stating its charges in that respect as follows:

PARAGRAPH 1. Respondent Kassler & Co. was a corporation organized, existing and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Colorado, with its principal office and place of business located at Diamond Hill Office Park, 2460 West 26th Ave., Denver, Colorado.

PAR. 2. Security Pacific Mortgage Corporation is a "successor and assign" of Kassler & Co., having merged with Kassler in 1974, after the acts and practices alleged in the complaint. Security Pacific Mortgage Corporation is a corporation organized, existing and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Delaware, with its office and principal place of business located at 2460 West 26th Ave. Denver, Colorado.