

Order

IN THE MATTER OF

CHICAGO BRIDGE & IRON COMPANY N.V.**ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR
FURTHER BRIEFING ON SPECIFIC REMEDY ISSUES**

On May 10, 2005, the Commission issued a Decision and Order that required within 10 days of service Respondents Chicago Bridge & Iron Company and Chicago Bridge & Iron Company N.V. to file a brief addressing specific remedy issues. On May 19, 2005, Respondents filed a Motion to Extend Time for Further Briefing on Specific Remedy Issues ("Motion"). On May 20, 2005, Complaint Counsel filed a Response indicating that they do not object to Respondents' Motion.

The Commission has decided to grant Respondents' request for additional time and allow Respondents up to 20 days to file their brief. As a matter of equity, Complaint Counsel will be afforded equal time to file their response brief.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED THAT Respondents' Motion is **GRANTED**. Respondents shall file their brief pursuant to the May 10, 2005 Decision and Order on or before June 6, 2005; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT Complaint Counsel respond to Respondents' brief within 20 days of service or, in the alternative, give the Commission notice within that 20-day time period that no response will be filed.

Order

IN THE MATTER OF

RAMBUS INCORPORATED**ORDER GRANTING JOINT PETITION TO MODIFY
SCHEDULE**

Counsel for Respondent and Counsel for the Complaint have filed a Joint Petition to Modify the Schedule in the Commission's May 13, 2005 Order. The parties state that as a consequence of scheduling constraints, the schedule in the Commission's Order appears to be impractical, and they therefore request a modified schedule.

The Commission has determined to grant the Joint Petition. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED THAT the parties' Joint Petition to Modify the Schedule in the Commission's May 13, 2005 Order is hereby **GRANTED**; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the following schedule shall replace the schedule set forth in the Commission's May 13, 2005 Order:

1. On or before June 17, 2005, Complaint Counsel and Rambus may each file such parts of the record of the evidentiary hearing in the Infineon litigation as each party may deem relevant to any issue in this matter; provided, however, that the filing of such materials shall be accompanied by a schedule of exhibits which includes both exhibit numbers for each exhibit and a brief description of each exhibit; and
2. On or before July 8, 2005, either party may file any objections to the exhibits filed by the other party, stating with particularity each exhibit to which each objection is made and the nature of and legal basis for the objection; and