

**INTERLOCUTORY, MODIFYING, VACATING,
AND MISCELLANEOUS ORDERS**

IN THE MATTER OF

**SOUTH CAROLINA STATE BOARD OF
DENTISTRY**

Docket No. 9311 – Order, March 15, 2007

**ORDER WITHDRAWING MATTER FROM ADJUDICATION FOR
THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING A PROPOSED CONSENT
AGREEMENT**

Complaint Counsel and Respondent having jointly moved that this matter be withdrawn from adjudication to enable the Commission to consider a proposed Consent Agreement; and

Complaint Counsel and Respondent having submitted a proposed Consent Agreement containing a proposed Decision and Order, executed by the Respondent and by Complaint Counsel and approved by the Director of the Bureau of Competition, which, if accepted by the Commission, would resolve this matter in its entirety;

IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to Rule 3.25(c) of the Commission Rules of Practice, 16 C.F.R. § 3.25(c) (2006), that this matter in its entirety is hereby withdrawn from adjudication, and that all proceedings before the Administrative Law Judge are hereby stayed pending a determination by the Commission with respect to the proposed Consent Agreement, pursuant to Rule 3.25(f), 16 C.F.R. § 3.25(f); and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to Rule 3.25(b) of the Commission Rules of Practice, 16 C.F.R. § 3.25(b), that the proposed Consent Agreement shall not be placed on the public record unless and until it is accepted by the Commission.

By the Commission.

Interlocutory Orders, etc.

IN THE MATTER OF
RAMBUS INCORPORATED

Docket No. 9302 – Order, March 16, 2007

**ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART
RESPONDENT’S MOTION FOR STAY OF FINAL ORDER PENDING
APPEAL**

The Commission issued its Opinion and Final Order in this matter on February 2, 2007. The Opinion and Final Order were served on Rambus and its counsel on February 9, 2007, and the Final Order will therefore become effective on April 12, 2007. 16 C.F.R. § 3.56(a); *accord* 15 U.S.C. § 45(g)(1),(2). Pursuant to Rule 3.56 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice, 16 C.F.R. § 3.56, Respondent Rambus Inc. moved for a stay of the Final Order pending judicial review on February 16, 2007. The Commission has determined to grant Respondent’s motion in part and to deny it in part.

Accordingly:

IT IS ORDERED THAT enforcement of, and Respondent’s obligation to comply with, Paragraphs IV, V.A., VI, and VII of the Final Order in this matter be, and they hereby are, stayed in part, upon the filing of a timely petition for review of the Final Order in an appropriate court of appeals and until the court of appeals issues its mandate, in accordance with the following conditions:

1. Respondent will be permitted to acquire, and to seek to acquire, rights to (but not possession of) fees, royalties, payments, judgments, and other consideration in excess of that permitted by Paragraphs IV, V.A., VI, and VII of the Final Order (“Excess Consideration”), provided that: