

Interlocutory Orders, Etc.

IN THE MATTER OF

REALCOMP II LTD.*Docket No. 9320 – Order, April 8, 2008***ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE BRIEF
AMICUS CURIAE ON ISSUES OF REMEDY**

On January 25, 2008, the American Homeowners Grassroots Alliance filed a timely Motion For Leave To File Responding Amicus Curiae Brief On Issues Of Remedy in this matter, and attached a copy of the brief that it proposed to file. The Alliance describes itself as a grassroots advocacy organization serving the nation's homeowners. Neither Counsel for the Respondent nor Complaint Counsel has filed an Answer objecting to the Alliance Motion.

The Commission has determined to grant the Motion because it satisfies the Commission's requirement that the public interest will benefit from the Commission's consideration of the attached brief.¹ The Commission takes no position on the substantive or procedural merit of any of the arguments presented in the brief. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that the Alliance Motion For Leave To File Responding Amicus Curiae Brief On Issues Of Remedy be, and it hereby is, **GRANTED**.

By the Commission.

¹ See, e.g., *In the Matter of Evanston Northwestern Healthcare Corporation, et al.*, Docket No. 9315, Order Granting Motions For Leave to File Briefs *Amici Curiae* (January 24, 2006); *In the Matter of Telebrands Corp., et al.*, Docket No. 9313, Order Granting Motion for Leave to File Brief *Amicus Curiae* and Revising Briefing Schedule (Dec. 1, 2004); *In the Matter of Rambus Incorporated*, Docket No. 9302, Order Granting Motions for Leave to File Briefs *Amici Curiae* and Scheduling Oral Argument (April 30, 2004), and Order Granting Motions for Leave to File Briefs *Amici Curiae* (June 21, 2004).

Interlocutory Orders, Etc.

IN THE MATTER OF

**EVANSTON NORTHWESTERN HEALTHCARE
CORPORATION,
AND
ENH MEDICAL GROUP, INC.**

Docket No. 9315 – Order, April 29, 2008

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE BRIEF
*AMICUS CURIAE***

On October 17, 2007, a number of Economics Professors filed a Motion for Leave to File Brief As *Amicus Curiae* In Support of Neither Party (“Motion”) in this matter, and attached to that motion a copy of the Brief they propose to file (“Proposed Brief”).¹ The Professors advise that they are professors at major universities who have researched and written extensively on health economics, industrial organization, and the economics of competition in health care, and that the Proposed Brief “describes what [they] believe are consensus views on some economic questions that arise in connection with the August 6, 2007 ruling by the . . . Commission in [this proceeding].” Motion at 2. They also state that they are

acting independently of the Commission and any interested parties [and] take no side in this matter, but believe that [their] brief may assist the Commission in addressing any appeals and future decisions.

¹ David Dranove, a Professor in the Department of Management and Strategy at the Kellogg School of Management at Northwestern University, has filed the Motion and the proposed Brief on behalf of himself and the other Economics Professors identified in the Motion.