

Interlocutory Orders, Etc.

IN THE MATTER OF

**WHOLE FOODS MARKET, INC.,
AND
WILD OATS MARKETS, INC.**

Docket No. 9324 Order, October 10, 2008

Order granting complaint counsel and Whole Foods Market's joint motion for entry of a protective order governing confidential material.

PROTECTIVE ORDER GOVERNING CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL

For the purpose of protecting the interests of the parties and third parties in the above-captioned matter against improper use and disclosure of confidential information submitted or produced in connection with this matter:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT this Protective Order Governing Confidential Material ("Protective Order") shall govern the handling of all Discovery Material, as hereafter defined.

1. As used in this Order, "confidential material" shall refer to any document or portion thereof that contains non-public competitively sensitive information, including trade secrets or other research, development or commercial information, the disclosure of which would likely cause commercial harm to the producing party, or sensitive personal information. "Discovery Material" shall refer to documents and information produced by a party or third party in connection with this matter. "Document" shall refer to any discoverable writing, recording, transcript of oral testimony, or electronically stored information in the possession of a party or a third party. "Commission" shall refer to the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC"), or any of its employees, agents, attorneys, and all other persons acting on its behalf, excluding persons retained as consultants or experts for purposes of this proceeding.

Interlocutory Orders, Etc.

2. Any document or portion thereof produced or submitted by a respondent or a third party during a Federal Trade Commission investigation or during the course of this proceeding that is entitled to confidentiality under the Federal Trade Commission Act, or any regulation, interpretation, or precedent concerning documents in the possession of the Commission, as well as any information taken from any portion of such document, shall be treated as confidential material for purposes of this Order.
3. The parties and any third parties, in complying with informal discovery requests, disclosure requirements, or discovery demands in this proceeding may designate any responsive document or portion thereof as confidential material, including documents obtained by them from third parties pursuant to discovery or as otherwise obtained.
4. The parties, in conducting discovery from third parties, shall provide to each third party a copy of this Order so as to inform each such third party of his, her, or its rights herein.
5. A designation of confidentiality shall constitute a representation in good faith and after careful determination that the material is not reasonably believed to be already in the public domain and that counsel believes the material so designated constitutes confidential material as defined in Paragraph 1 of this Order.
6. Material may be designated as confidential by placing on or affixing to the document containing such material (in such manner as will not interfere with the legibility thereof) the designation "CONFIDENTIAL-FTC Docket No. 9324" or any other appropriate notice that identifies this proceeding, together with an indication of the portion or portions of the document considered to be confidential material. Confidential information contained in electronic documents may also be designated as confidential by placing the designation "CONFIDENTIAL-FTC Docket No. 9324" or any other appropriate notice that identifies this proceeding, on the face of the CD or DVD or other medium on

Interlocutory Orders, Etc.

which the document is produced. Masked or otherwise redacted copies of documents may be produced where the portions deleted contain privileged matter, provided that the copy produced shall indicate at the appropriate point that portions have been deleted and the reasons therefor.

7. Confidential material shall be disclosed only to: (a) the Administrative Law Judge presiding over this proceeding, personnel assisting the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission and its employees, and personnel retained by the Commission as experts or consultants for this proceeding, provided such experts or consultants are not employees of the respondent, or any entity established by the respondent, or employees of any third party which has been subpoenaed to produce documents or information in connection with this matter, and provided further that each such expert or consultant has signed an agreement to abide by the terms of this protective order; (b) judges and other court personnel of any court having jurisdiction over any appellate proceedings involving this matter; (c) outside counsel of record for the respondent, their associated attorneys and other employees of their law firm(s), provided such personnel are not employees of the respondent or of any entity established by the respondent; (d) anyone retained to assist outside counsel in the preparation or hearing of this proceeding including experts or consultants, provided such experts or consultants are not employees of the respondent, or any entity established by the respondent, or employees of any third party which has been subpoenaed to produce documents or information in connection with this matter, and provided further that each such expert or consultant has signed an agreement to abide by the terms of this protective order; and (e) any witness or deponent who authored or received the information in question, or who is presently employed by the producing party.

8. Disclosure of confidential material to any person described in Paragraph 7 of this Order shall be only for the purposes of the preparation and hearing of this proceeding, or any appeal therefrom, and for no other purpose whatsoever, provided,

Interlocutory Orders, Etc.

however, that the Commission may, subject to taking appropriate steps to preserve the confidentiality of such material, use or disclose confidential material as provided by its Rules of Practice; Sections 6(f) and 21 of the Federal Trade Commission Act; or any other legal obligation imposed upon the Commission.

9. In the event that any confidential material is contained in any pleading, motion, exhibit or other paper filed or to be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, the Secretary shall be so informed by the party filing such papers, and such papers shall be filed *in camera*. To the extent that such material was originally submitted by a third party, the party including the materials in its papers shall immediately notify the submitter of such inclusion. Confidential material contained in the papers shall continue to have *in camera* treatment until further order of the Administrative Law Judge, provided, however, that such papers may be furnished to persons or entities who may receive confidential material pursuant to Paragraphs 7 or 8. Upon or after filing any paper containing confidential material, the filing party shall file on the public record a duplicate copy of the paper that does not reveal confidential material. Further, if the protection for any such material expires, a party may file on the public record a duplicate copy which also contains the formerly protected material.

10. If counsel plans to introduce into evidence at the hearing any document or transcript containing confidential material produced by another party or by a third party, they shall provide advance notice to the other party or third party for purposes of allowing that party to seek an order that the document or transcript be granted *in camera* treatment. If that party wishes *in camera* treatment for the document or transcript, the party shall file an appropriate motion with the Administrative Law Judge within 5 days after it receives such notice. Until such time as the Administrative Law Judge rules otherwise, the document or transcript shall be accorded *in camera* treatment. If the motion for *in camera* treatment is denied, all documents and transcripts shall be part of the public record. Where *in camera* treatment is granted, a duplicate copy of such document or transcript with the

Interlocutory Orders, Etc.

confidential material deleted therefrom may be placed on the public record.

11. If any party receives a discovery request in another proceeding that may require the disclosure of confidential material submitted by another party or third party, the recipient of the discovery request shall promptly notify the submitter of receipt of such request. Unless a shorter time is mandated by an order of a court, such notification shall be in writing and be received by the submitter at least 10 business days before production, and shall include a copy of this Protective Order and a cover letter that will apprise the submitter of its rights hereunder. Nothing herein shall be construed as requiring the recipient of the discovery request or anyone else covered by this Order to challenge or appeal any order requiring production of confidential material, to subject itself to any penalties for non-compliance with any such order, or to seek any relief from the Administrative Law Judge or the Commission. The recipient of the discovery request shall not oppose the submitter's efforts to challenge the disclosure of confidential material. In addition, nothing herein shall limit the applicability of Rule 4.11(e) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 16 CFR § 4.11(e), to discovery requests in another proceeding that are directed to the Commission.

12. At the time that any consultant or other person retained to assist counsel in the preparation or hearing of this action concludes participation in the action, such person shall return to counsel all copies of documents or portions thereof designated confidential that are in the possession of such person, together with all notes, memoranda or other papers containing confidential information. At the conclusion of this proceeding, including the exhaustion of judicial review, the parties shall return documents obtained in this action to their submitters, provided, however, that the Commission's obligation to return documents shall be governed by the provisions of Rule 4.12 of the Rules of Practice, 16 CFR § 4.12.

Interlocutory Orders, Etc.

13. The inadvertent production or disclosure of information or documents produced by a party or third party in discovery that is subject to a claim of privilege will not be deemed to be a waiver of any privilege to which the producing party would have been entitled had the inadvertent production or disclosure not occurred, provided the producing party exercised reasonable care to preserve its privilege. In the event of such inadvertent production or disclosure, the party claiming inadvertence shall promptly notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being so notified, the receiving party must promptly return the specified information, and all copies of it, and may not use or disclose the information unless the claim is resolved such that no privilege applies to the information. Nothing in this Order presupposes a determination on the claim of privilege or of reasonable care in preserving privilege if challenged.

14. The provisions of this Protective Order, insofar as they restrict the communication and use of confidential discovery material, shall, without written permission of the submitter or further order of the Commission, continue to be binding after the conclusion of this proceeding.

By the Commission.

Interlocutory Orders, Etc.

IN THE MATTER OF

RAMBUS INCORPORATED

Docket No. 9302 Order, October 16, 2008

Order granting the joint motion seeking an order authorizing Rambus to receive excess consideration incurred by contingent contractual rights pursuant to Paragraph 1 of the Commission's March 16, 2007 Stay Order.

**ORDER AUTHORIZING RESPONDENT TO RECEIVE EXCESS
CONSIDERATION HELD PURSUANT TO CONTINGENT
CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATION**

Paragraph 1.c. of the Commission *Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Respondent's Motion for Stay of Final Order Pending Appeal* (March 16, 2007) ("Stay Order") permitted Respondent to incur contingent contractual rights to consideration in excess of that permitted by the Final Order issued in this matter if the consideration were payable to Respondent only upon the issuance by the Commission of an order authorizing Respondent to receive such consideration. The Commission stated in Paragraph 1.c. of the Stay Order that it would issue an order authorizing Respondent to receive such consideration promptly after receiving a mandate from a court of appeals.

On April 22, 2008, the District of Columbia Circuit Court of Appeals ordered that the Commission's orders in this matter be set aside and that this matter be remanded for further proceedings consistent with the Court's opinion. On August 26, 2008, the Court denied the Commission's petition for a rehearing en banc. On September 9, 2008, the Court issued its mandate. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED THAT, as used herein, the term "Excess Consideration" shall mean fees, royalties, payments, judgments, and other consideration in excess of that permitted by Paragraphs IV, V.A., VI, and VII of the Final Order; and