Interlocutory Orders, Etc.

POLYPORE INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Docket No. 9327, Order, September 8, 2009

Order granting the Administrative Law Judge's request for a 60-day extension of time to file the Initial Decision.

ORDER

Chief Administrative Law Judge Chappell has moved, pursuant to former Rule 3.51(a) of the Commission Rules of Practice, for a 60-day extension within which to file the Initial Decision in this case, which would give him until November 20, 2009, to file the Initial Decision.[1] Upon consideration of the motion, the Commission has determined that, in light of the other matters on the Administrative Law Judge's docket and the voluminous record in the above-captioned matter, his request should be granted. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED THAT the Administrative Law Judge's request for a 60-day extension of time be and it hereby is **granted**. The Administrative Law Judge shall have until November 20, 2009, to file the Initial Decision in this case.

By the Commission.

---

[1] Until January 13, 2009, former Commission Rule 3.51(a) provided that an Initial Decision shall be filed "within ninety (90) days after closing the hearing record pursuant to § 3.44(c) . . . or within such further time as the Commission may by order allow upon written request from the Administrative Law Judge." The Complaint in this matter was issued last year, and former Commission Rule 3.51(a) consequently applies to this proceeding. Federal Trade Commission, *Interim Final Rules With Request for Comment*, 74 Fed. Reg. 1804 (January 13, 2009); *see also* Federal Trade Commission, *Final Rule*, 74 Fed. Reg. 20205 (May 1, 2009). Pursuant to former Commission Rule 3.44(c), the hearing record was closed on June 22, 2009, and the ninety-day period will consequently end on September 21, 2009. A sixty-day extension will therefore extend the Administrative Law Judge's time to file an Initial Decision until November 20, 2009.

Interlocutory Orders, Etc.

CARILION CLINIC

Docket No. 9338, Order, September 9, 2009

Order extending the withdrawal from adjudication.

ORDER

On August 11, 2009, the Commission withdrew this matter from adjudication until 12:01 a.m. on Friday, September 11, 2009, in response to a joint motion filed by Complaint Counsel and Respondent pursuant to Rule 3.25(b) of the Commission Rules of Practice. To facilitate further consideration of a proposed consent agreement, the Commission has determined to further extend the withdrawal of this matter from adjudication. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED THAT this matter will remain withdrawn from adjudication – and the deadline for Respondent to file its Answer to the Complaint is hereby extended – until 12:01 a.m. on Wednesday, October 14, 2009, at which time this matter will return to adjudicative status under Part 3 of the Commission Rules of Practice.

By the Commission.