

Interlocutory Orders, Etc.

## GEMTRONICS, INC. AND WILLIAM H. ISELY

*Docket No. 9330. Order, October 7, 2010*

Order holding that oral argument on Respondents' appeal of the Chief Administrative Law Judge's Initial Decision regarding attorney fees is unnecessary.

## ORDER DISPENSING WITH ORAL ARGUMENT

In this matter, Respondents appeal from the Chief Administrative Law Judge's Initial Decision on Respondents' Application for an Award of Attorney Fees and Other Expenses. Commission Rule 3.52(h) contemplates oral argument in cases on appeal to the Commission, "unless the Commission otherwise orders on its own initiative."<sup>1</sup>

In this case, the Commission has received extensive briefing from the parties on the issues presented by Respondents' appeal, as well as briefing from a third party as *amicus curiae*. It is unlikely that oral argument would provide any additional information the parties have not already thoroughly addressed in their briefs, and the Commission has therefore determined that oral argument in this matter is not necessary. Accordingly,

**IT IS ORDERED THAT** no oral argument will be held in this matter.

By the Commission.

---

<sup>1</sup> 16 C.F.R. § 3.52(h) (2010); *see also* 16 C.F.R. § 3.52(b)(2) (2010) (applicable to cases which were initiated after Jan. 13, 2009); 74 Fed. Reg. 1804, 1834 (Jan. 13, 2009).