

Interlocutory Orders, Etc.

IN THE MATTER OF

**HEALTHCARE TECHNOLOGY HOLDINGS,
INC.***Docket No. C-4340. Order, March 15, 2012*

Letter approving the divestiture of the SDI Audits Business to inVentiv Health, Inc.

LETTER ORDER APPROVING DIVESTITURE OF CERTAIN ASSETS

David I. Gelfand, Esquire
Cleary, Gottlieb, Steen & Hamilton

*Re: In the Matter of Healthcare Technology Holdings, Inc.
FTC File No. 111-0097, Docket No. C-4340*

Dear Mr. Gelfand:

This letter responds to the *Petition of Healthcare Technology, Inc. for Approval of Proposed Divestiture* (“Petition”) filed by Healthcare Technology, Inc. (“Healthcare Technology”) on January 12, 2012, requesting that the Commission approve Healthcare Technology Inc.’s proposed divestiture of the SDI Audits Business to inVentiv Health, Inc. (“inVentiv”) pursuant to the Decision and Order in this matter. The Petition was placed on the public record for comments until February 27, 2012 and no comments were received.

After consideration of the proposed divestiture as set forth in the Petition and supplemental documents, as well as other available information, the Commission has determined to approve the proposed divestiture. In according its approval, the Commission has relied upon the information submitted and representations made in connection with the Petition, and has assumed them to be accurate and complete.

By direction of the Commission.

Interlocutory Orders, Etc.

IN THE MATTER OF

**GRACO INC.,
ILLINOIS TOOL WORKS INC.,
AND
ITW FINISHING LLC**

Docket No. 9350. Order, March 26, 2012

Order to Hold Separate and Maintain Assets while the Commission considers a proposed Consent Agreement.

ORDER TO HOLD SEPARATE AND MAINTAIN ASSETS

The Federal Trade Commission (“Commission”), having heretofore issued its administrative Complaint charging Respondents Graco Inc. (“Graco”), Illinois Tool Works Inc., and ITW Finishing LLC (“ITW”), hereinafter referred to as Respondents, with violations of Section 7 of the Clayton Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 18, and Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 45, and Respondents having been served with a copy of the Complaint, together with a notice of contemplated relief, and the Respondents having answered the Complaint denying said charges; and

Respondents, their attorneys, and counsel for the Commission having thereafter executed an Agreement Containing Consent Orders (“Consent Agreement”), containing an admission by Respondents of all the jurisdictional facts set forth in the aforesaid Complaint, a statement that the signing of said Consent Agreement is for settlement purposes only and does not constitute an admission by Respondents that the law has been violated as alleged in such Complaint, or that the facts as alleged in such Complaint, other than jurisdictional facts, are true, and waivers and other provisions as required by the Commission’s Rules; and

The Secretary of the Commission having thereafter withdrawn the matter from adjudication in accordance with § 3.25(c) of its Rules; and

The Commission having thereafter considered the matter and the executed Consent Agreement, now in further conformity with