

Interlocutory Orders, Etc.

IN THE MATTER OF

**MCWANE, INC.,
AND
STAR PIPE PRODUCTS, LTD.**

Docket No. 9351. Order, March 29, 2012

Order extending the withdrawal of Respondent Star Pipe Products from adjudication in this matter to facilitate further consideration of a proposed consent agreement.

ORDER

On February 23, 2012, all claims in this matter against Respondent Star Pipe Products, Ltd. (“Respondent Star”) were by order withdrawn from adjudication for the purpose of considering a proposed consent agreement. Under the February 23, 2012 Order, all proceedings in this matter as they pertain to Respondent Star are scheduled to revert to Part 3 adjudicative status at 12:01 a.m. on Saturday, March 31, 2012. To facilitate further consideration of a proposed consent agreement, the Commission has decided to further extend the withdrawal of Respondent Star from adjudication in this matter. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to Rule 3.25(c) of the Commission Rules of Practice, 16 C.F.R. § 3.25(c), that all claims against Respondent Star, as set forth in the First Violation Alleged and the Second Violation Alleged in the Complaint will remain withdrawn in their entirety from adjudication until 12:01 a.m. on June 1, 2012, at which time Respondent Star will return to adjudicative status under Part 3 of the Commission Rules of Practice.

By the Commission.

Interlocutory Orders, Etc.

IN THE MATTER OF

PROMEDICA HEALTH SYSTEM, INC.*Docket No. 9346. Order, April 17, 2012*

Order giving notice of the Commission's intent to disclose *in camera* information served on Complaint Counsel, Counsel for the Defendant, and eight non-party participants. This Notice was served via ten individual Orders, which were identical except for the identity of the individual participant.

NOTICE OF INTENT TO DISCLOSE IN CAMERA INFORMATION

This notice advises counsel for the parties and [] in this matter that, consistent with Section 21(d)(2) of the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) Act, 15 U.S.C. § 57b-2(d)(2), and FTC Rule of Practice 3.45, 16 C.F.R. § 3.45, the Commission intends to place on the public record the information described in the attachment to this notice as part of the Commission's Opinion and Final Order in the above-captioned matter. (Except for notice to Complaint Counsel and to Counsel for Respondent, the attachment to this notice describes only information submitted by the recipient of this notice, and does not describe information submitted by others, who are being served with their own notices and attachments.)

In determining to release information for which [] has requested *in camera* treatment in the course of an adjudicative proceeding, the Commission balances the potential harm [] of disclosure against the substantial interest in making publicly available the key facts and background underlying a Commission decision. *Orkin Exterminating Co.*, 108 F.T.C. 147 (1986). Public knowledge of such information both permits improved evaluation of the fairness and wisdom of a given Commission decision and provides clearer guidance to affected parties. *Id.* See also *RSR Corp.*, 88 F.T.C. 206 (1976); *id.*, 88 F.T.C. 734, 735 (1976). Accordingly, the *in camera* standard requires that there be a "clearly defined, serious injury" [] sufficient to outweigh the public interest in disclosure. See *H.P. Hood & Sons, Inc.*, 58 F.T.C. 1184, 1188 (1961); *General Foods Corp.*, 95 F.T.C. 352, 355 (1980). As noted in its *in camera* rule, the Commission reserves the authority to disclose *in camera* material to the extent