

Interlocutory Orders, Etc.

IN THE MATTER OF

**SERVICE CORPORATION INTERNATIONAL
AND
STEWART ENTERPRISES, INC.**

Docket No. C-4423. Order, September 18, 2014

Letter approving application to divest the Cheatham Hill Memorial Park / Southern Cremations & Funerals (which is a combination funeral home and cemetery) and Holly Hill Memorial Park and Eastlawn Memorial Park in Georgia to Hunsaker Partners LLC.

LETTER ORDER APPROVING DIVESTITURE OF CERTAIN ASSETS

Amanda Wait, Esq.
Hunton & Williams LLP

*Re: In the Matter of Service Corporation International and
Stewart Enterprises, Inc., Docket No. C-4423*

Dear Ms. Wait:

This is in reference to the Petition For Approval of Proposed Divestiture filed by Service Corporation International (“SCI”) and received on March 7, 2014, and the Petition For Approval of Proposed Divestiture filed by SCI and received on July 3, 2014 (collectively the “Petitions”). Pursuant to the Decision and Order in Docket No. C-4423, SCI requests prior Commission approval of its proposals to divest certain assets to Hunsaker Partners LLC.

After consideration of SCI’s Petitions and other available information, the Commission has determined to approve the proposed divestitures as set forth in the Petitions. In according its approval, the Commission has relied upon the information submitted and the representations made by SCI and Hunsaker Partners LLC in connection with SCI’s Petitions and has assumed them to be accurate and complete.

By direction of the Commission.

Interlocutory Orders, Etc.

IN THE MATTER OF

JERK, LLC D/B/A JERK.COM
AND
JOHN FANNING

Docket No. 9361. Order, October 9, 2014

Order extending the time in which Respondent needs to reply to Complaint Counsel's motion.

ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S
MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

On October 2, 2014, Respondent John Fanning ("Fanning") filed a Motion for Extension of Time requesting an additional thirty days to file his response opposing Complaint Counsel's Motion for Summary Decision in this proceeding. Complaint Counsel opposes the Motion, but, in the alternative, proposes an extension of fourteen days. For the reasons below, the Commission grants Mr. Fanning an additional twenty-one days to file his response.

Commission Rule 3.24(a)(2), 16 C.F.R. § 3.24(a)(2), gives parties fourteen days after service of a motion for summary decision to file opposing affidavits with the Commission. The time periods prescribed by the Commission Rules of Practice ordinarily should afford parties to FTC proceedings sufficient time to file submissions of sufficient quality and detail to aid in the preparation of Commission opinions and orders. Absent a Commission order granting an extension of time to Mr. Fanning, his response would be due on October 14.

Respondent has requested that the time to file an opposition be extended by thirty days. Respondent represents that he needs additional time due to a combination of the voluminous nature of the case record, the need to separate and address materials directed at Jerk, LLC or Mr. Fanning, and the effect of the compressed schedule on his counsel (Motion ¶¶ 2, 5). He asserts that it would be unfair and prejudicial to require him to respond without a reasonable extension in the deadline (Motion ¶ 4).