

Interlocutory Orders, Etc.

IN THE MATTER OF

**ALTRIA GROUP, INC.,
AND
JUUL LABS, INC.***Docket No. 9393. Order, May 27, 2022*

Order scheduling oral argument.

ORDER SCHEDULING ORAL ARGUMENT

Complaint Counsel have filed their Appeal Brief perfecting their appeal from the Initial Decision in this matter; Respondents have filed their Answering Brief; and [Complaint Counsel must file their Reply Brief on or before May 31, 2022] or [Complaint Counsel filed their Reply Brief on May 31, 2022]. Commission Rule 3.52(b)(2), 16 C.F.R. § 3.52(b)(2), provides that the Commission ordinarily will schedule an Oral Argument within fifteen days after the date on which the Reply Brief is filed. Commission Rule 3.51(a), 16 C.F.R. § 3.51(a), however, provides that the Commission may extend for good cause any of the time periods relating to an appeal from an Initial Decision. The briefing in this proceeding raises numerous issues and draws upon an extensive evidentiary record, and a new Member of the Commission has recently taken office. The Commission therefore has determined to conduct the Oral Argument in this matter on July 14, 2022, commencing at 1:00 pm EDT. The argument will be conducted virtually, by video conferencing. Pursuant to Rule 3.52(h), 16 C.F.R. § 3.52(h), public access to the argument, to the extent permitted by any *in camera* orders and for monitoring purposes only, will be provided via telephone or live web streaming.

Each side will be allotted forty-five minutes to present its argument. Complaint Counsel will have the opportunity to open the argument and may reserve time for rebuttal. If either side wishes to provide the Commission with a short written or electronic compilation of material to facilitate its presentation during the Oral Argument, any such compilation may contain only public information that is already in the record of the proceeding, and copies must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission and provided to opposing counsel no later than July 7, 2022, at 5:00 pm EDT.

By the Commission.

Interlocutory Orders, Etc.

IN THE MATTER OF

**HEALTH RESEARCH LABORATORIES, LLC,
WHOLE BODY SUPPLEMENTS, LLC,
AND
KRAMER DUHON**

Docket No. 9397. Order, June 6, 2022

Order granting Complaint Counsel's second motion that this matter be withdrawn from adjudication in order to enable the Commission to consider a proposed consent agreement.

**SECOND ORDER WITHDRAWING MATTER FROM ADJUDICATION FOR THE PURPOSE OF
CONSIDERING A PROPOSED CONSENT AGREEMENT**

Complaint Counsel having filed a second motion that this matter be withdrawn from adjudication in order to enable the Commission to consider a proposed consent agreement, and Respondents consenting to the motion; and

Complaint Counsel and Respondents, having submitted a proposed Consent Agreement containing a proposed Decision and Order, executed by Respondents and by Complaint Counsel and approved by the Director of the Bureau of Consumer Protection that, if accepted by the Commission, would resolve the claims against Respondents in their entirety;

IT IS ORDERED that pursuant to Section 3.25(c) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 16 C.F.R. § 3.25(c), that this matter in its entirety be, and it is hereby withdrawn from adjudication, and that all proceedings before the Administrative Law Judge in this matter are hereby stayed pending a determination by the Commission with respect to the proposed Consent Agreement, pursuant to Section 3.25(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 16 C.F.R. § 3.25(f); and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to Section 3.25(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 16 C.F.R. § 3.25(b), that the proposed Consent Agreement shall not be placed on the public record unless and until it is accepted by the Commission.

By the Commission.